NRC FORM 591M PART 1 U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION								
10 CFR 2.201 SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION								
1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED: 2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE								
Dow Corning Corporation 3901 South Saginaw Road, Mail No. 002 Midland, MI 48686			Region III U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210 Lisle, IL 60532-4352					
REPORT NUMBER(S								
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) 4. LICENSE NUMBER			(S)	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTI	NC			
030-10509		21-08362-12		June 19m	2018			
LICENSEE: The inspection was an examination of the activities conducted under your license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regulations and the conditions of your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows: 1. Based on the inspection findings, no violations were identified. 2. Previous violation(s) closed. 3. The violations(s), specifically described to you by the inspector as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self-identified, non-repetitive, and corrective action was or is being taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, to exercise discretion, were satisfied. Non-cited violation(s) were discussed involving the following requirement(s):								
	Non-cited violation(s) were discuss	sed involving the folio	wing requirement(s):					
cited in ac	is inspection, certain of your activities, coordance with NRC Enforcement Poli							
	FR 19.11. s and Corrective Actions)			•				
Statement of Corrective Actions I hereby state that, within 30 days, the actions described by me to the Inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified. This statement of corrective actions is made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201 (corrective steps already taken, corrective steps which will be taken, date when full compliance will be achieved). I understand that no further written response to NRC will be required, unless specifically requested.								
	iance will be achieved). I understand t PRINTED NAME	inat no turtner written	response to NRC will be requir SIGNATURE	eu, uniess specifically re	DATE			
TITLE LICENSEE'S	FRINTED NAME		GIGHATURE					
REPRESENTATIVE	Ryan Craffey		RL Calor	E 1	6/17/18			
BRANCH CHIEF	Aaron McCraw		1ATA	V	06/29/2018			
NRC FORM 591M PART								

NRC FORM 591M PART 1 (07-2012)

NRC FORM 591M PART 3 (07-2012)		U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION						
Docket File Information 10 CFR 2.201 SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION								
1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECT	ED:		2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE					
Dow Corning Corporation 3901 South Saginaw Road Midland, MI 48686			Region III U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210 Lisle, IL 60532-4352					
REPORT NUMBER(S) 2018001								
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)		4. LICENSE NUMBER(S)		5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION				
030-10509		21-08362-12		June 19, 2018				
6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES USED		7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS						
87124		All						
SUPPLEMENTAL INSPECTION INFORMATION								
1. PROGRAM CODE(S)	2. PRIORITY	3. LICENSEE CONTACT		4. TELEPHONE NUMBER				
03120	5	Bruce Reed - RSO		(989) 496-8823				
✓ Main Office Inspec	tion	Next Inspection Date: 06/19/2023						
Field Office Inspection								
Temporary Job Site Inspection								
PROGRAM SCOPE								
This was an unannounced routine inspection of a multinational silicone products manufacturer authorized to use sealed								

sources of radioactive material primarily for level measurements on process vessels at its facility in Midland, Michigan. At the time of the inspection, the licensee possessed a variety of Vega Americas and Berthold Technologies fixed gauges containing Co-60 or Cs-137, as well as one CPN International MCM-2 portable gauge containing Am-241 that the licensee occasionally used for measuring the moisture content of vessel insulation. One individual, the RSO, was actively involved in the oversight of these devices, while the manufacturer or an authorized service provider performed non-routine maintenance and analyzed leak tests collected by the licensee every six months.

PERFORMANCE OBSERVATIONS

The inspector toured the facility in Midland to evaluate the licensee's measures for materials security, hazard communication, and exposure control. The inspector conducted independent surveys around numerous source holders, and found no exposures in unrestricted areas in excess of regulatory limits, nor any unexpected readings in the vicinity of these devices. The inspector observed the implementation of lock out / tag out procedures, verified that the licensee adequately secured its portable gauge with two barriers, and interviewed staff involved in overseeing the gauges to discuss gauge maintenance and program oversight. The staff were knowledgeable of radiation protection principles, licensee procedures, and regulatory requirements.

The inspector also reviewed a selection of records, including documentation of leak tests, shutter checks, physical inventories, gauge installation surveys, and radiation safety program audits.

The licensee was previously cited in IR 03010509/2008001(DNMS) for the failure to adequately post radiation areas at its facility. The inspector reviewed the licensee's corrective actions, which appeared to be adequate, and identified no additional instances of recurrence. This violation is therefore closed. No other violations of NRC requirements were identified as a result of this inspection.