

SUNSI Review Complete

Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS=ADM-03

ADD= Antoinette Walker-Smith, Jill Caverly (JSC1)

COMMENT (170)

PUBLICATION DATE: 3/30/2018

CITATION # 83 FR 13802

NRC-2018-0052  
To U.S. NRC 22 May 2018

- 1) Introductory remarks were difficult to understand in English & Spanish (6:15 PM)
- 2) McSorley said it correctly. He reps are in the dark as the rest of New Mexicans.
- 3) 85% casket container reliability leaves 15% possibility of radiation escape, which is VERY, VERY HIGH indeed.
- 4) HOLTEC's economic benefits to NM will also bring untold and immeasurable costs to future generations of NMexicans. The balance is not in our favor!
- 5) The GREAT MAJORITY of New Mexicans are against this "project", very much like the attendees tonight.

OVER →

MEETING NOTES

40 YRS HOLTEC contract too long

In-ground container way too exposed

2018 start EIS 2020 <sup>should get more time</sup>

60 people signed up, most of them opposed  
measurable impacts are negative

waste transportation is highly problematic

ecological damage can be untold

too dangerous proposal

6) NM is still fairly unspoiled and ecologically clean. Let's keep it that way; we don't need to create another Superfund site.

DARIO & SUSAN RODRIGUEZ  
Residents of Albuquerque, NM