

**As of:** 6/8/18 8:45 AM  
**Received:** June 02, 2018  
**Status:** Pending\_Post  
**Tracking No.** 1k2-93hx-1t7p  
**Comments Due:** June 21, 2018  
**Submission Type:** Web

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

**Docket:** NRC-2018-0101  
Turkey Point Nuclear Plant Units 3 and 4

**Comment On:** NRC-2018-0101-0001  
Florida Power & Light Company; Turkey Point Nuclear Plant Units 3 and 4

**Document:** NRC-2018-0101-DRAFT-0007  
Comment on FR Doc # 2018-10806

---

## Submitter Information

**Name:** James Hopf  
**Address:**  
1430 Tennis Lane  
Tracy, CA, 95376  
**Email:** jamesehopf@gmail.com

---

## General Comment

Any public health risks or environmental impacts from continued operation of Turkey point are far smaller than those associated with the fossil generation that would mainly replace it if it closed. Not allowing it to continue to operate would significantly \*increase\* public health risks, along with global warming. NRC must consider the big picture. Any water issues (i.e., salinity or temperature issues with the canals, etc..) are much less significant than the environmental impacts from the air pollution and CO2 emissions from the fossil generation most likely to replace the nuclear facility.

Thus, unless there are major issues, it is incumbent upon NRC to do what's right, for both public health and the climate, and grant Turkey Point a license extension.

SUNSI Review Complete  
Template = ADM-013  
E-RIDS=ADM-03  
ADD= Yvonne Edmonds, Eric Oesterie,  
LaShawwna Lewis, Benjamin Beasley

COMMENT (7)  
PUBLICATION DATE: 5/22/2018  
CITATION # 83 FR 23726