

As of: 6/6/18 8:46 AM Received: June 05, 2018 Status: Pending_Post Tracking No. 1k2-93jy-a2wa Comments Due: June 05, 2018 Submission Type: Web

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

Docket: NRC-2018-0017
Storing Spent Nuclear Fuel Waste

Comment On: NRC-2018-0017-0003
Requirements for the Indefinite Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel

Document: NRC-2018-0017-DRAFT-0064
Comment on FR Doc # 2018-05776

Submitter Information

Name: Patricia Gracian
Address:
4609 Shoshoni Ave
San Diego, CA, 92117
Email: patricia_gracian@yahoo.com

General Comment

I am very disturbed to note that our citizens have not been told by our local media about your disastrous intentions to bury dangerous nuclear waste at the San Onofre Nuclear Power plant in thin-skinned casks that probably cannot withstand any serious perturbations- such as the expected earthquakes that are overdue for California, or any tsunami that could be triggered by perturbations across the ocean, or by rising waters expected imminently due to melting glaciers!

It is foolhardy to use the short-sighted plan that looks only 40 years to the future, when all of these threats are expected, and when we should be striving to REMOVE all of the nuclear waste from our shores and from the proximity to Las Angeles and all of the other southern California cities and towns! The storage casks chosen must be strong enough to withstand these threats and to withstand removal and transportation away from the coastline of southern California!

I demand that you use a reasonable time-line and plan for a 1000-yr storage. I understand there is a plan called HELMS that does a good job of addressing all of my concerns and uses much stronger double-walled storage casks that can withstand the removal and transportation process with more chances of success.

I will continue to oppose your short-sighted plan of using thin-skinned casks and of continued storage on our southern California coasts.