

SUNSI Review Complete
Template = ADM-013
E-RIDS=ADM-03
ADD= Anntoinette Walker-
Smith, Jill Caverly (JSC1)

COMMENT (#126)
PUBLICATION DATE:
3/30/2018
CITATION: 83 FR 13802

117 Northway
Greenbelt MD 20710
May 3, 2018

May Ms
Office of Administration
Mail Stop: TWFN-7-A60M
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington DC 20555-0001

Dear Sir or Madam:

I am writing about Docket ID NRC-2018-0052.

First, I think that all nuclear waste should remain at the sites where it was produced. It will harm the fewest people in that case.

Second, it is a bad idea to reconsider using Yucca Mountain as a disposal site. All the reasons why it is a ^{very} bad place to put nuclear waste still exist.

Third, I strongly oppose any kind of "Permanent Surface Storage" for nuclear waste. Surface storage is not permanent. almost by definition, as all containers will eventually break, leak, etc. and then hazardous radioactivity would be released into the environment, where it will harm living things, including people. The U.S. E.P.A. acknowledges that irradiated nuclear fuel ~~is~~ will remain radioactive for a million years.
Please make a responsible choice.

Sincerely,

Marjory M. Donn

marjory.donn@verizon.net