

ENCLOSURE 1

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Virginia Electric and Power Company  
Surry

Docket No.: 50-281  
License No.: DPR-37

During an NRC inspection conducted on July 8-12, 1991, a violation of NRC requirements was identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C (1991), the violation is listed below:

Technical Specification 4.10B requires that during periods of power operation at greater than 10 percent of rated power, the hot channel factors of Technical Specification 3.12 shall be determined during every effective full power month. Technical Specification 4.0.2 allows a 25 percent tolerance on surveillance intervals.

Contrary to the above, while operating at greater than 10 percent of rated power, the interval between surveillances of hot channel factors of Unit 2, between July 18, 1990 and September 4, 1990, was 1.44 effective full power months. Consequently, the determination of continued core operability was delayed beyond the maximum allowed 1.25 effective full power months.

This is a severity level IV violation (Supplement I).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, D.C. 20555 with a copy to the Regional Administrator, Region II, and if applicable, a copy to the NRC Resident Inspector, within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice of Violation (Notice). This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include [for each violation]: (1) the reason for the violation, or, if contested, the basis for disputing the violation, (2) the corrective steps that have been taken and the results achieved, (3) the corrective steps that will be taken to avoid further violations, and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. If an adequate reply is not received within the time specified in this Notice, an order may be issued to show cause why the license should not be modified, suspended, or revoked, or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the response time.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



Thomas A. Peebles, Chief  
Operations Branch  
Division of Reactor Safety

Dated at Atlanta, Georgia  
this 20th day of September 1991