ENCLOSURE 1

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Virginia Electric and Power Company Surry Units 1 and 2 Docket Nos. 50-280 and 50-281 License Nos. DPR-32 and DPR-37

During the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) inspection conducted between the period of March 4-31, 1990, a violation of NRC requirements was identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C (1989), the violation is listed below:

Technical Specification 6.4.D requires, in part, that detailed written procedures with appropriate checkoff lists and instructions shall be followed for testing of systems and components involving nuclear safety of the station.

- 1. Contrary to the above, the procedural requirements of Periodic Test 2-PT-23.8B, Main Station Battery 2B Cell Voltage Check, dated June 26, 1989, performed on December 12, 1989, were not followed in that station battery 2B was not placed on a 135 hour equalizing charge and the discrepancy was not noted on the test critique sheet as required by Step 6.2.1.
- 2. Contrary to the above, the procedural requirements of Periodic Test PT 25.3C, Emergency Service Water Pump (1-SW-P-1C), dated October 10, 1989, performed on March 20, 1990, were not followed in that electrical readings were not taken in the location specified in Step 5.10.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement I).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Virginia Electric and Power Company is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to the Director, Office of Enforcement, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, within 30 days of the date of this Notice. This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include: (1) admission or denial of the violation, (2) the reason for the violation if admitted, (3) the corrective steps which have been taken and the results achieved, (4) the corrective steps which will be taken to avoid further violations, and (5) the date when full compliance will be achieved. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the response time. If an adequate reply is not received within the time specified in this Notice, an order may be issued to show cause why the license should not be modified, suspended, or revoked; or

Docket Nos. 50-280 and 50-281 License Nos. DPR-32 and DPR-37

why such other action as may be proper should not be taken. Under the authority of Section 182 of the Act, 42 U.S.C. 2232, this response shall be submitted under oath or affirmation.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Luis A. Reyes, Director
Division of Reactor Projects

Dated at Atlanta, Georgia this 27th day of April 1990