10CFR50.73

Virginia Electric and Power Company Surry Power Station P. O. Box 315 Surry, Virginia 23883

December 16, 1993

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, D. C. 20555 Serial No.: 93-785 SPS:MDK Docket No.: 50-280 License No.: DPR-32

Dear Sirs:

Pursuant to Surry Power Station Technical Specifications, Virginia Electric and Power Company hereby submits the following Licensee Event Report applicable to Surry Power Station Unit 1.

REPORT NUMBER

50-280/93-013-00

This report has been reviewed by the Station Nuclear Safety and Operating Committee and will be forwarded to the Management Safety Review Committee for its review.

Very truly yours, Kansler

Station Manager

Enclosure

cc: Regional Administrator 101 Marietta Street, NW, Suite 2900 Atlanta, Georgia 30323

> M. W. Branch NRC Senior Resident Inspector Surry Power Station



NRC (5-92)	FORM 366	<u></u>		U.S. M	UCLEAR I	REG	ULATORY	COMM	ISSION		APPR	OVED B EXPI	Y OMB NO RES 5/31/	. 3150 95	-0104	
	(See		ENSEI	E EVENT R	EPORT	(L	ER)	ock)		ESTIMATE INFORMA COMMEN AND REC REGULAT THE PAF MANAGEN	ED BURD TION CO ITS REGAR ORDS MA ORY COM PERWORK MENT AND	EN PER LLECTION RDING BU NAGEMEN IMISSION, REDUCTIO BUDGET,	RESPONSE REQUEST: RDEN ESTIMA IT BRANCH (M WASHINGTON ON PROJECT WASHINGTON	TO CO 50.0 H TE TO NBB 77 , DC 20 (3150-1 J, DC 20	MPLY W IRS. I THE INFC 14), U.S. 9555-0001 0104), OI 503.	ITH THIS FORWARD DRMATION NUCLEAR , AND TO FFICE OF
FACILIT	Y NAME (1)							<u> </u>		DOCKET	NUMBER	(2)	280		PA	GE (3)
TITLE #	Su:	rry Po	ontro	Tank Leve	L I	285	e Resu	lts 1	<u>n</u>			5000	- 200		11	OF 4
	Ex	cessiv	<u>e Read</u>	ctor Coolan	t Syste	em	Leakag	e								
EV	ENT DAT	E (5)		LER NUMBER	1 (6)		REPOR	T NUMB	ER (7)		OTHE	R FACI	LITIES INV	OLVE	D (8)	
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISI NUMBER NUMB				MONTH DAY YEAR							05000		
11	17	93	93	013	00		12	16	93	FACILITY N	NAME		DOCKET NUMBER		BER	
OPE	RATING	N	THIS RI	EPORT IS SUBM	ITTED PUP	isu/	ANT TO TH	IE REQI	JIREM	INTS OF	10 CFR	§ : (Ch	eck one or	more)	(11)	
МО	DE (9)		20.4	02(b)		<u> </u>	20.405(c)				50.73($\frac{a}{2}(2)(iv)$		4-4	73.71(b)
PC	WER	091		U5(a)(1)(l)		┣	50.36(C)(1) 2)			50.73($\frac{a}{2}(2)(v)$		+	(3.71(C)	
			20.4	$\frac{1}{1}$		v	50.30(C)(2	-) 2)(i) (P	<u></u>	50.73(a) (2) (vii)						
			20.4	05(a)(1)(iv)		<u> </u>	50.73(a)(2	2)(ii)	/	50.73(a)(2)			(2) (viii) (A)		below and in Text, NRC	
			20.4	05(a)(1)(v)		-	50.73(a)(2)(iii)				50.73(a)(2)(x)				300A)	
					LICENSE	EC	ONTACT F	OR THI	S LER	(12)	1			<u> </u>		
NAME	M. R.	Kansl	.er, St	tation Mana	lger						TELEPHI (80	ONE NUME 4) 35	BER (Include A 57-3184	rea Cod	;)	
			COMPLI	ETE ONE LINE F	OR EACH	CON	IPONENT	FAILUR	E DESC	RIBED I	N THIS	REPOR	T (13)			
CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMP	ONENT	MANUFACTURER	REPORTABI TO NPRDS	LE S		c	AUSE .	SYSTEM	M COMPONENT MANUFACTURER				REPOF TO N	RTABLE PRDS
x	СВ	TS	v	G257	Yes											
			SL	JPPLEMENTAL F	EPORT E	PEC	CTED (14)					EX	PECTED	MON	TH DA	Y YEAR
YE (If y	S /es, complete	EXPECTED	SUBMISSIC	N DATE)		x	NO					SUB DA	MISSION TE (15)			
ABSTF	ACT (Lin	nit to 140	On No Cold S during notified isolatid transfe valve. minute Theref conditi Syster	i.e., approximate ivember 17, 19 Shutdown, the an ion exchai d immediately on valve was c er piping. The Since the leak e, a condition iore, this repo ion prohibited n total leakage	993, at 0 Unit 1 V Inger resil by the R liverting of leakage kage was not allow ort is beir by Tech e exceede	945 945 /olu n tra eac cha wec ng r nica ad 1	aced typev aced typev bours, to me Con ansfer. tor Oper hineralize s isolated aracterize d by Tec nade in al Specifi 0 gallon	with Ui trol Ta The Ur ator (R er efflud d prom ed as F chnical accord ication s per n	nit 1 a nk (Vi nit 1 So No). L ent aw ptly by CS le Spec Jance s whic ninute.	t 91% r CT) leve enior Re eakage ay from closing akage a ificatior with 10 ch occur	reactor el deci eactor throug the V g the ru nd exc s exis DCFR5 rred w	powe reased Opera contraction	r and Uni I unexped tor (SRO vo-inch m d into the ansfer iso I 10 gallor r a brief I)(2)(i)(B) eactor Co	t 2 a ctedly) was anua resir latior is pe for a polan		

NRC FORM 366 (5-92)

.

-

4

REQUIRED NUMBER OF DIGITS/CHARACTERS FOR EACH BLOCK

-

ħ

á

.

.

BLOCK NUMBER	NUMBER OF DIGITS/CHARACTERS	TITLE
1	UP TO 46	FACILITY NAME
2	8 TOTAL 3 IN ADDITION TO 05000	DOCKET NUMBER
3	VARIES	PAGE NUMBER
4	UP TO 76	TITLE
5	6 TOTAL 2 PER BLOCK	EVENT DATE
6	7 TOTAL 2 FOR YEAR 3 FOR SEQUENTIAL NUMBER 2 FOR REVISION NUMBER	LER NUMBER
7	6 TOTAL 2 PER BLOCK	REPORT DATE
8	UP TO 18 FACILITY NAME 8 TOTAL DOCKET NUMBER 3 IN ADDITION TO 05000	OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED
9	1	OPERATING MODE
10	3	POWER LEVEL
11	1 CHECK BOX THAT APPLIES	REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR
12	UP TO 50 FOR NAME 14 FOR TELEPHONE	LICENSEE CONTACT
13	CAUSE VARIES 2 FOR SYSTEM 4 FOR COMPONENT 4 FOR MANUFACTURER NPRDS VARIES	EACH COMPONENT FAILURE
14	1 CHECK BOX THAT APPLIES	SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED
15	6 TOTAL 2 PER BLOCK	

NRC FORM 366A (5-92)	FORM 366A U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION			APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 5/31/95						
LICENS	SEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION		ESTIMATE INFORMAT COMMEN AND RECU REGULATO THE PAPI MANAGEN	ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRS. FORW COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE INFORMAT AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (MNBB 7714), U.S. NUCL REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555-0001, ANI THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.						
FACILIT	Y NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)		LER NUMBER (6)	· ····································	PAGE (3)				
·	······································		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER					
Surry Power Stati	on, Unit l	05000 - 280	93	- 013 -	00	2 OF 4				

1.0 DESCRIPTION OF THE EVENT

On November 17, 1993, with Unit 1 at 91% reactor power in End-of-Life coast down and Unit 2 at Cold Shutdown for maintenance, resin transfers from Deborating Demineralizer 3B [EIIS: CB,IX] and Mixed Bed Demineralizer 1A were scheduled for the day. After prejob briefs had taken place, the resin transfers began. The transfer from Deborating Demineralizer 3B was completed without incident, and the valve lineup was performed to allow the transfer from Mixed Bed Demineralizer 1A.

At approximately 0945 hours, the Unit 1 Volume Control Tank (VCT) [EIIS: CG, TK] level decreased unexpectedly. The Unit 1 Reactor Operator (RO) immediately notified the Unit 1 Senior Reactor Operator (SRO). The Unit 1 SRO directed the RO to bypass the Mixed Bed Demineralizers, commence makeup to the VCT, secure the resin transfer lineup, and initiate Abnormal Procedure 1-AP-16.00, "Excessive RCS Leakage". After estimating the leak rate to be greater than 25 gallons per minute (GPM), the RO confirmed pressurizer level, pressurizer pressure, and average RCS temperature stable in accordance with 1-AP-16.00. Charging flow did not increase during the event. Prompt operator actions taken to secure the resin transfer lineup were appropriate in identifying and isolating the source of the leakage within approximately two minutes.

The VCT and Mixed Bed Demineralizers are part of the Chemical and Volume Control System (CVCS) [EIIS:CB] which maintains and controls proper water inventory and water chemistry. Following a review of station drawings, valve alignment checks, and troubleshooting by the operating team, it was determined that the manual isolation valve on the discharge side of Mixed Bed Demineralizer 1A was leaking. Leakage through two-inch manual isolation valve, 1-CH-21, into the tagged boundary of Mixed Bed Demineralizer 1B into the Mixed Bed Demineralizer 1A resin transfer lineup. In this condition, demineralizer effluent flow to the VCT was decreased and resulted in a decreasing VCT level. This condition did not affect normal makeup capability to the VCT or normal charging flow to the RCS.

The unexpected decrease in VCT level was considered a loss of RCS inventory until such time as the source of leakage was isolated. Technical Specifications (TS) pertaining to RCS leakage, specifically TS 3.1.C.5, do not allow plant operation with a RCS total leak rate of greater than 10 gallons per minute. Consequently, this event is being reported pursuant to 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i)(c) as a result of operating in a condition prohibited by Technical Specifications.

NRC FORM 366A (5-92)	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION			APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 5/31/95						
L	ICENSEE EVENT REPOR TEXT CONTINUATION	RT (LER) N	ESTIMATE INFORMA COMMEN AND REC REGULAT THE PAP MANAGEN	ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO CO INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 H COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO T AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (MNBB 77 REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20 THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0 MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20				THIS WARE ATION CLEAF ND TO XE OF		
	FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	1	LER NUMBER (6)		P	AGE (3	3)		
		280	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	2				
Surry Power Station, Unit 1		05000 200	93	- 013 -	00		OF	4		

2.0 SAFETY CONSEQUENCES AND IMPLICATIONS

A limited amount of leakage from the RCS is expected. The Technical Specification allowable values are 1 GPM from unidentified sources and 10 GPM from identified sources. These values are sufficiently low to ensure corrective actions are taken before the leakage becomes excessive. RCS leak rate calculations are performed once each shift, or more often if required. The leakage past 1-CH-21 was contained within the resin transfer piping with no breech of piping, component, or system integrity. Effluent parameters did not exceed the piping design conditions. RCS integrity remained intact throughout the event with the RCS pressure boundary valves, the letdown isolation valves, and the containment isolation valves operable. These RCS isolation valves were available to isolate the RCS through automatic actions or procedurally controlled manual operator actions from the main control room.

In accordance with Operating and Abnormal Procedures, VCT level was restored and the leakage through 1-CH-21 isolated when the operators performing the resin transfer secured the resin transfer lineup. The leakage was contained in the Low Level Liquid Waste System. The gaseous radioactivity released from the waste tank vent was monitored by the installed radioactivity monitoring system, and no TS limits were exceeded. There were no personnel injuries, no personnel contamination, no uncollected leakage, and no safety significant radiological consequences associated with this event. The health and safety of the public were not affected. Therefore, no significant safety consequences or implications resulted from this event.

3.0 <u>CAUSE</u>

The decrease in VCT level was due to leakage past the seat of manual isolation valve 1-CH-21. This was the valve closed to isolate Mixed Bed Demineralizer 1A.

4.0 IMMEDIATE CORRECTIVE ACTION(S)

Upon noting the level decrease in the VCT, the Unit 1 RO immediately notified the Unit 1 SRO. The Unit 1 SRO directed the RO to bypass the Mixed Bed Demineralizers, commence makeup to the VCT, secure from the resin transfer lineup, and initiate Abnormal Procedure 1-AP-16.00, "Excessive RCS Leakage".

NRC FORM 366A (5-92)	U.S. NUCLEA		APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 5/31/95					
LICEN	SEE EVENT REPOI TEXT CONTINUATION	ESTIMATE INFORMA COMMEN AND REC REGULAT THE PAP MANAGEI	ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE INFORMATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (MNBB 7714), U.S. NUCLEAF REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555-0001, AND TC THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.					
FACI	LITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	7/	LER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)		
		280	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER			
Surry Power Stati	ion, Unit 1	05000 - 280	93	- 013 -	- 00	4 OF 4		

5.0 ADDITIONAL CORRECTIVE ACTION(S)

The operators reviewed the valve lineup and system drawings and verified their tagging boundary. Based on these reviews, they determined that 1-CH-21, the manual isolation valve downstream of Mixed Bed Demineralizer 1A, was the source of the leakage. By utilizing an alternate valve line up, operators successfully completed the resin transfer evolution.

The manual isolation valve, 1-CH-21, will be repaired or replaced.

6.0 ACTIONS TO PREVENT RECURRENCE

The shift involved with the resin transfer was debriefed by Management. When possible, the isolation capability of the isolation valves will be tested as part of future resin transfers.

A failure analysis will be conducted on the failed valve, and appropriate corrective actions will be taken based on the results of that analysis.

7.0 <u>SIMILAR EVENTS</u>

The following Licensee Event Reports for Surry Units 1 and 2 contain Reactor Coolant System Leakage issues:

- LER S1-87-025: Excessive RCS Leakage Due to Valve Seat Leakage.
- LER S1-90-008: Reactor Coolant System Leakage Exceeds 10 GPM Due to Gage Sensing Line Break.
- LER S2-91-005: RCS Leakage exceeds TS Limits Due to Mechanical Failure of Isolation Valve.
- LER S2 92-008: RCS Leakage Rate Greater Than 10 GPM Due to Failure of Swagelok Fitting on a Flow Transmitter

8.0 MANUFACTURER/MODEL NUMBER

Manufacturer: Grinnell Type: Two-inch diaphragm valve Serial Number: none