5 5 V L		PR] accele	RATED R	RIDS PROCESSING				
	REGULATORY	INFORM	MATION	DISTRIBUTION SYSTE	M (RID	S)		
ACCESSION FACIL:50 AUTH.N	N NBR:9505230201 0-281 Surry Power S AME AUTHOR 2	DOC.I Station	DATE: 9 n, Unit	95/05/17 NOTARIZED t 2, Virginia Elect	: NO ric &	Powe	DOCKET # 05000281	
BOWLING RECIP.1	,M.L. Virginia NAME RECIPIE Docume	Power NT AFF nt Cont	(Virg: ILIATIO trol Bi	inia Electric & Pow DN ranch (Document Con	er Co. trol D	) )esk)		Ρ
SUBJECT	: Forwards util ted	chnica	l rept	NE-1011, Rev 0, enti	tled,	"Surr	У	R
חדפייסדפו	Unit 2,Cycle 12 (	Core Pe	Eriorma	ance Rept."	- 	. 11	- 55	i
TITLE: (	OR Submittal: Gener	ral Dis	stribut	tion	012D	•• <u>•</u>		0
NOTES:							05000281	R
	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPII LTTR	ES ENCL	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COF LTTR	PIES ENCL		I
	BUCKLEY, B	1	1	PD2-1 PD	Ŧ	Т		Т
INTERNAL:	ACRS NRR/DE/EMCB NRR/DSSA/SPLB NUDOCS-ABSTRACT	6 1 1 1	6 1 1 1	FILE CENTER 0.1 NRR/DRCH/HICB NRR/DSSA/SRXB OGC/HDS2	1 1 1 1	1 1 1 0		Y
EXTERNAL:	NOAC	1	1	NRC PDR	1	1		1
NOTES:		1	1					
				-1				D
		-						0

NOTE TO ALL "RIDS" RECIPIENTS: PLEASE HELP US TO REDUCE WASTE! CONTACT THE DOCUMENT CONTROL DESK, ROOM P1-37 (EXT. 504-2083 ) TO ELIMINATE YOUR NAME FROM DISTRIBUTION LISTS FOR DOCUMENTS YOU DON"T NEED!

TOTAL NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED: LTTR

ENCL

19

NI

С

U

Μ

Ε

Ν

Т



VIRGINIA ELECTRIC AND POWER COMPANY Richmond, Virginia 23261

May 17, 1995

United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attention: Document Control Desk Washington, D. C. 20555 Serial No.95-254NA&F/DAT-CGLR0Docket Nos.50-281License Nos.DPR-37

Gentlemen:

### VIRGINIA ELECTRIC AND POWER COMPANY SURRY POWER STATION UNIT 2 CYCLE 12 CORE PERFORMANCE REPORT

For your information, enclosed are five copies of the Virginia Electric and Power Company Technical Report NE-1011, Revision 0, entitled "Surry Unit 2, Cycle 12 Core Performance Report."

Very truly yours,

Mh Burling

M L. Bowling, Manager Nuclear Licensing & Programs

Enclosures - Surry Unit 2, Cycle 12 Core Performance Report (5 copies)

cc: U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region II 101 Marietta Street, N. W. Suite 2900 Atlanta, Georgia 30323

> Mr. M. W. Branch NRC Senior Resident Inspector Surry Power Station

9505230201 950517 PDR ADOCK 050002

ADDI 15

Surry Unit 2 Cycle 12 Core Performance Report

Nuclear Analysis and Fuel Nuclear Engineering Services

March 1995



9505230204 PDR ADUCK Virginia Power

#### TECHNICAL REPORT NE-1011 - Rev. 0

SURRY UNIT 2, CYCLE 12

CORE PERFORMANCE REPORT

NUCLEAR ANALYSIS AND FUEL NUCLEAR ENGINEERING SERVICES VIRGINIA POWER MARCH, 1995

PREPARED BY: W.S. Miller 3-24-95 Date REVIEWED BY: R. F. Villafler <u>3-27-95</u> R. F. Villaflor <u>Date</u> REVIEWED BY: T.A 3-27-95 A. Brookmire T. Date WILLUL 4/6/45 ence Date **REVIEWED BY:** D. C. Lawrence 4/10/95 APPROVED BY: D.

QA Category: Nuclear Safety Related

Keywords: S2C12, Core Performance

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	•		· ·		.•	PAGE
Table of Cont	tents	· · ·		••••		. 1
List of Table	25	• • •	• • •	• • • •		. 2
List of Figur	ces	• • •		• • • •	••••	. 3
Section 1	Introduction and Su	mmary.		••••		. 5
Section 2	Burnup			• • • •		. 13
Section 3	Reactivity Depletion	n		• • • •	••••••	. 23
Section 4	Power Distribution.			• . • •	••.•	. 25
Section 5	Primary Coolant Act	ivity.	••••	• • • •		. 45
Section 6	Conclusions		• • •	••••		. 51
Section 7	References					. 53

## LIST OF TABLES

TABLE TITLE

#### 

NE-1011 S2C12 Core Performance Report

,

Page 2 of 54

PAGE

## LIST OF FIGURES

TITLE

Э

¥,

FIGURE

1.1	Core Loading Map
1.2	Burnable Poison and Source Assembly Locations
1.3	Movable Detector Locations
1.4	Control Rod Locations
2.1	Cycle Burnup History
2.2	Monthly Average Load Factors
2.3	Assemblywise Accumulated Burnup: Measured and Predicted 17
2.4	Assemblywise Accumulated Burnup: Comparison of Measured and Predicted
2.5A	Sub-Batch Burnup Sharing
2.5B	Sub-Batch Burnup Sharing
2.5C	Sub-Batch Burnup Sharing
3.1	Critical Boron Concentration versus Burnup (HFP,ARO) 24
4.1	Assemblywise Power Distribution - S2-12-04
4.2	Assemblywise Power Distribution - S2-12-16
4.3	Assemblywise Power Distribution - S2-12-28
4.4	Hot Channel Factor Normalized Operating Envelope
4.5	Heat Flux Hot Channel Factor, $F_Q(Z) - S_2 - 12 - 04$
4.6	Heat Flux Hot Channel Factor, $F_Q(Z) - S2-12-16$
4.7	Heat Flux Hot Channel Factor, $F_Q(Z) - S2-12-28$

-

PAGE

# LIST OF FIGURES (CONT'D)

FIGURE

## TITLE

PAGE

4.8	Maximum Heat Flux Hot Channel Factor, $F_Q(Z)*P$ , vs. Axial Position
4.9	Maximum Heat Flux Hot Channel Factor, $F_Q(Z)$ , vs. Burnup 38
4.10	Maximum Enthalpy Rise Hot Channel Factor, F-delta-H vs. Burnup
4.11	Target Delta Flux versus Burnup
4.12	Core Average Axial Power Distribution - S2-12-04
4.13	Core Average Axial Power Distribution - S2-12-16
4.14	Core Average Axial Power Distribution - S2-12-28
4.15	Core Average Axial Peaking Factor vs. Burnup
5.1	Dose Equivalent I-131 vs. Time
5.2	I-131/I-133 Activity Ratio vs. Time

#### Section 1

#### INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

On February 3, 1995, Surry Unit 2 completed Cycle 12. Since the initial criticality of Cycle 12 on May 4, 1993, the reactor core produced approximately  $1.0994 \times 10^8$  MBTU (18,551 Megawatt days per metric ton of contained uranium). The purpose of this report is to present an analysis of the core performance for routine operation during Cycle 12. The physics tests that were performed during the startup of this cycle were covered in the Surry Unit 2 Cycle 12 Startup Physics Test Report<sup>1</sup> and, therefore, will not be included here.

Prior to the beginning of Cycle 12, pressurizer safety valve problems forced Unit 2 to operate at a reactor coolant system pressure of 2150 psia instead of the normal 2250 psia. In addition, Unit 2 was unable to maintain 100% power due to material buildup on the steam generator upper tube support plates.

Between May and August of 1993, Unit 2 experienced several short outages due to equipment failure and the associated repairs. There were also three longer outages. First, on August 6, 1993, Unit 2 experienced a 13 day outage due to problems with three pressurizer safety values. It was necessary to send the valves offsite for repairs. The second outage occurred when Unit 2 was shutdown for 15 days, beginning November

NE-1011 S2C12 Core Performance Report

Page 5 of 54

15, 1993, for steam generator pressure pulse cleaning. The final outage occurred on June 4, 1994 and lasted for 21 days. During this outage, Unit 2 underwent steam generator chemical cleaning, which allowed Unit 2 to operate at 100% power. Additionally, the pressurizer safety valves were replaced, allowing the reactor coolant system pressure to be returned to 2250 psia.

Surry Unit 2 began a power only coastdown on January 11, 1995, at which time the burnup was approximately 17,845 MWD/MTU. The coastdown accounted for an additional core burnup of 706 MWD/MTU from the end of full power reactivity.

The Cycle 12 core consisted of 8 sub-batches of fuel: two fresh batches (batches 14A and 14B); three once-burned batches, two from Cycle 11 (batches 13A, and 13B) and one from Surry 1 Cycle 6 (batch S1/8B); and three twice-burned batches, all from Cycles 10 and 11 (batches 12A, 12B, and 12C). The Surry 2 Cycle 12 core loading map specifying the fuel batch identification and fuel assembly locations is shown in Figure 1.1. The burnable poison locations and source assembly locations are shown in Figure 1.2. Movable detector locations that were available during Cycle 12 are shown in Figure 1.3. Three movable detector locations (N5, J3, and H13) were out of service throughout Cycle 12. Control rod locations are shown in Figure 1.4.

Routine core follow involves the analysis of four principal performance indicators. These are burnup distribution, reactivity depletion, power distribution, and primary coolant activity. The core

NE-1011 S2C12 Core Performance Report

Page 6 of 54

burnup distribution is followed to verify both burnup symmetry and proper batch burnup sharing, thereby ensuring that the fuel held over for the next cycle will be compatible with the new fuel that is inserted. Reactivity depletion is monitored to detect the existence of any abnormal reactivity behavior, to determine if the core is depleting as designed, and to indicate the cycle burnup where coastdown operation will begin. Core power distribution follow includes the monitoring of nuclear hot channel factors to verify that they are within the Technical Specification<sup>2</sup> limits, thereby ensuring that adequate margins for linear power density and critical heat flux thermal limits are maintained. Lastly, as part of normal core follow, the primary coolant activity is monitored to assess the status of the fuel cladding integrity and to compare the concentration of dose equivalent iodine-131 in the reactor coolant with Surry the limits specified by the Technical Specifications<sup>2</sup>.

Each of the four performance indicators is discussed in detail for the Surry Unit 2 Cycle 12 core in the body of this report. The results are summarized below:

1. Burnup - The burnup tilt (deviation from quadrant symmetry) on the core was no greater than  $\pm 0.30\%$  with the burnup accumulation in each batch deviating from design prediction by no more than  $\pm 2.53\%$ .

2. Reactivity Depletion - The critical boron concentration, used to monitor reactivity depletion, was consistently within  $\pm 0.26\% \Delta K/K$ of the design prediction which is within the  $\pm 1\% \Delta K/K$  margin allowed by Section 4.10 of the Technical Specifications.

NE-1011 S2C12 Core Performance Report Page 7 of 54

3. Power Distribution - Incore flux maps taken each month indicated that the assemblywise radial power distributions deviated from the design predictions by a maximum average difference of 1.9%. All hot channel factors met their respective Technical Specification limits.

4. Primary Coolant Activity - The average dose equivalent iodine-131 activity level in the primary coolant during Cycle 12 was approximately 0.000763  $\mu$ Ci/gm. This corresponds to less than 1% of the operating limit for the concentration of radioiodine in the primary coolant. Radioiodine analysis indicated that there were no fuel rod defects.

# Figure 1.1 SURRY UNIT 2 - CYCLE 12 CORE LOADING MAP

R	Ρ	N	н	L	ĸ	L	H	G	۰F	Ε	D	с	В	A
						124	134	1 124	'i					
						104	0V3	1 100	i					
				1 12B	13A	1 14A	13A	144	13A	1 12B	1			· ·
				3U9	1 1 1 3	0W1 	207	1W9 	1V7	5U0 				
			1 12B	14A	14B	1 13B	14B	13B	1 14B	14A	1 12B	1		
			501	0W8 	1 5W2	1 5V2	4₩5	4V0 	6W5	1W3	307		_	
		12B	138	14B	138	14B	13A	148	13B	14B	13B	12B	ΪL	
		300	57	3₩9	1 3V3	6₩7 	1 111	1 5 <del>0</del> 7	4V8	4₩6	3V4	4U9 	1	
	12B	14A	14B	13B	14B	1 13B	144	13B	14B	† 13B	14B	14A	1 12B	1
	4U8 _ 	0₩6 	385	5V9 	6W3	6V3	007	5V4 	i 6W1	4V4	3₩3 	I OW2	1 306	1
	13A	14B	1 13B	14B	134	1 14A	13A	144	1 13A	14B	138	14B	13A	1
	2V4 	5W1 	6V0 	4W7 	1 <b>V</b> 9 	1₩4 	2V1	2W8 	2V5	5₩6 	6V1 	6W0 	2V3	 
12C	14A	13B	14B	1 13B	14A	13A	14A	13A	14A	138	14B	13B	144	12A
5U3 	1W2	5v5 	4₩9 	4V2	2₩5 	0V4 	3112	0V7 	3W0 	4V3 	4W1	4V5 	1₩6 	0U8   
13A	13A	148	13A	14A	13A	144	S1/88	1 144	13A	14A	13A	14B	13A	13A
2V0 	1V5 .	3₩4 	] av6 ]	1W7 	0V5 	2₩9 	4C1	1₩5 <sub>.</sub> 	0V2 	2W4		4W2	1V0 	1V2   
12A	14A	13B	14B	13B	14A	13A	144	134	14A	13B	14B	13B	14A	124
1 009 1	ZWO	4V9 	4H3 	3V8 	0₩4 	2V9 	2WZ		[ 2146 [	5V1 	3₩6 	3V5 	2013	
	13A	14B	138	148	13A	144	13A	14A	13A	14B	13B	14B	13A	!
		6WZ	1 5V0	6W6	<u>.</u>	1 1W8	208		0V9 	4WU	401	1 6W8	<u>3V2</u>	
	12B	14A	14B	13B	14B	13B	14A	138	14B	138	14B	14A	12B	!
	407 	095 	5₩4 	<u>3</u> V6	1 4W8	6V4	UWS		1 5W0	503		[	403 	
		12B	138	14B	13B	14B	13A	14B	138	14B	1 13B	1 12B	1	
		4U5 	1 <u>3</u> 79	4₩4 	5V6 	5W9	1V4 	5W5	4V6 	1 5W3	4V/ 	4U2 		
			12B	144	14B	13B	14B	13B	14B	144	12B	!		
			209 	1 0W9 1	6₩4 	6V2	1 3W7	5V8 	5₩8 	2W1 	401 			
				12B	13A	14A	13A	14A	13A	12B	!	·		
				1 302 	0V8 	2W7	ZV6 	1 7AT	1V6	4U4 				
						12A	13A	12A	ł					
	> BAT		n			1 101	1 178	109	1					
	> A22	CREET 1	υ.			·	·		1					
·														

			FUEL AS	SEMBLY I	JESIGN PA	KAME LEKS	j i					
•	SUB-BATCH											
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	S1/8B	12A	12B	12C	13A	13B	14A	14B				
INITIAL ENRICHMENT (W/O U-235)	3.40	3.79	4.00	3.80	3.81	4.00	3.81	4.00				
BURNUP AT BOC 12 (MWD/MTU)	11348	32950	39661	33819	24142	21067	0	0				
ASSEMBLY TYPE	15×15	15X15	15X15	15X15	15X15	15X15	15X15	15X15				
NUMBER OF ASSEMBLIES	1	7	16	1	32	32	32	36				
FUEL RODS PER ASSEMBLY	204	204	204	204	204	204	204	204				

NE-1011 S2C11 Core Performance Report



Figure 1.2 SURRY UNIT 2 - CYCLE 12 BURNABLE POISON AND SOURCE ASSEMBLY LOCATIONS

XXP or SSX BP±±±

± OF BP RODS or SECONDARY SOURCE ID BP ASSEMBLY ID

10

11

12

13

14

15



Figure 1.3 SURRY UNIT 2 - CYCLE 12 MOVABLE DETECTOR LOCATIONS

MD

Unavailable Location

11 of 54 Page



### Figure 1.4 SURRY UNIT 2 - CYCLE 12 CONTROL ROD LOCATIONS

NE-1011 S2C11 Core Performance Report

12 of 54 Page

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

#### BURNUP

The Surry Unit 2 Cycle 12 burnup history is graphically depicted in Figure 2.1. Surry 2 Cycle 12 achieved a cycle burnup of 18,551 MWD/MTU. As shown in Figure 2.2, the average load factor for Cycle 12 was 86.0% when referenced to rated thermal power (2441 MW(t)). Unit 2 performed a power coastdown starting on January 11, 1995 until shutdown for refueling on February 3, 1995.

Radial (X-Y) burnup distribution maps show how the core burnup is shared among the various fuel assemblies, and thereby allow a detailed burnup distribution analysis. The TOTE<sup>3</sup> computer code is used to calculate these assemblywise burnups. Figure 2.3 is a radial burnup distribution map in which the assemblywise burnup accumulation of the core at the end of Cycle 12 operation is given. For comparison purposes, the design values are also given. Figure 2.4 is a radial burnup distribution map in which the percentage difference comparison of measured and predicted assemblywise burnup accumulation at the end of Cycle 12 operation is given. As can be seen from this figure, the accumulated assembly burnups were generally within  $\pm 4.24\%$  of the predicted values. In addition, deviation from quadrant symmetry in the core throughout the cycle was no greater than  $\pm 0.30\%$ .

The burnup sharing on a batch basis is monitored to verify that the core is operating as designed and to enable accurate end-of-cycle batch

NE-1011 S2C12 Core Performance Report

Page 13 of 54

burnup predictions to be made for use in reload fuel design studies. Batch definitions are given in Figure 1.1. As seen in Figures 2.5A, 2.5B, and 2.5C, the batch burnup sharing for Surry 2 Cycle 12 followed design predictions closely. Batch S1/8B had a batch burnup that deviated from predicted by as much as  $\pm 2.53\%$ . S1/8B is a single assembly batch located in the center of the core. The batch burnup sharing deviations in conjunction with reasonable agreement between actual and predicted assemblywise burnups, and symmetric core burnups indicate that the Cycle 12 core did deplete as designed.





----

MAXIMUM DESIGN BURNUP -

20700 MWD/MTU









# Figure 2.3 SURRY UNIT 2 - CYCLE 12 ASSEMBLYWISE ACCUMULATED BURNUP MEASURED AND PREDICTED (GWD/MTU)

	n	r	n	••	•	n	5		U	•	6	5	~	5	^	
						_										•
1				-		·	38.451 38.301	31.70  32.09	38.461 38.301					HEAS	SURED 1 Icted 1	1
2			_	Ī	47.751 48.091	35.61  36.02	18.04  18.44	39.64  39.80	18.18  18.44	36.36  36.02	47.61) 48.09	·	•.	,		- 2
3				45.851 45.561	19.14  19.20	21.75  22.45	40.24  40.23	22.82  23.50	39.68 40.23	22.481 22.451	19.88  19.20	45.33 45.56				3
4		.	45.35 45.57	37.54  38.17	22.76! 23.61	41.54  42.46	24.77  24.96	44.43  45.14	24.89  24.96	42.53  42.46	23.74  23.61	38.23( 38.17	44.57  45.57			4
5	-	48.00    48.10	19.14  19.22	23.18 23.62	44.77  44.85	24.70  24.96	45.46  46.10!	24.53  24.36	45.92  46.10	25.12  24.96	43.96  44.85	22.98  23.62	19.08  19.22	47.41  48.10	,	. 5
6		35.67 36.02	22.37 22.45	41.92  42.39	24.45  24.93	45.31 45.88	24.56  23.99	45.52! 45.80	24.43  23.99	45.70  45.88	24.87  24.93	41.56  42.39	22.08  22.45	35.86  36.02		6
7	39.46   38.89	18.31 18.36	39.68 40.21	24.72  24.96	45.261 46.071	24.15  23.99	46.041 45.691	25.00  24.47	46.16  45.69	24.48  23.99	45.16  46.07	24.29  24.96	39.80  40.21	17.97  18.38	38.54  38.89	. <b>7</b>
8	31.98   31.98	39.69 39.74	23.29	44.26) 45.13	23.70  24.36	45.20  45.81	24.95  24.47	34.95  34.30	24.90  24.47	46.15 45.81	24.40  24.36	43.74  45.13	23.03  23.47	40.08  39.74	31.41  31.98	8
9	38.64 38.89	17.97   18.38	39.30 40.21	24.58 24.96	45.57  46.07	23.85  23.99	45.03  45.69	24.57  24.47	45.65  45.69	23.72  23.99	45.94  46.07	24.401 24.961	39.691 40.211	18.53  18.38	39.02  38.89	9
10		35.80   36.02	21.49 22.45	41.84  42.39	24.84  24.93	45.14 45.88	23.61 23.99	45.99  45.80	23.87  23.99	45.09  45.88	24.80  24.93	42.60  42.391	22.55  22.45	36.10  36.02		10
11	·	47.51   48.10	18.83 19.22	23.34 23.62	44.221 44.851	24.68  24.96	45.29  46.10	24.08 24.36	45.30  46.10	24.32  24.96	44.96! 44.85	23.88  23.62	19.61  19.22	47.48 48.10		11
12	-		45.35	38.00  38.17	23.47  23.61	42.56  42.46	24.33  24.96	44.09  45.14	24.41  24.96	41.75 42.46	23.42  23.61	37.95  38.17	45.56  45.57			12
13		. •		44.81  45.56	19.64  19.20	22.43  22.45	39.47  40.23	22.91 23.50	39.19 40.23	21.671 22.451	18.88! 19.20	45.06  45.56				13
14		•	•	   	48.10  48.09	35.96  36.02	18.59 18.44	39.36  39.80	17.92  18.44	35.15  36.02	47.13  48.09					14
15			,	-		   	38.99  38.30	32.14 32.09	38.16 38.30					-		15
						-										

1

NE-1011 S2C12 Core Performance Report

Page 17 of 54

# Figure 2.4 SURRY UNIT 2 - CYCLE 12 ASSEMBLYWISE ACCUMULATED BURNUP COMPARISON OF MEASURED AND PREDICTED (GWD/MTU)

			ĸ	•		-	-	-	-	•	-		
1			-	38.45  0.40]	31.70  -1.20	38.46  0.43	,			- ! !	HEASURE H/P % D	D   IFF	1
2	• •	47.7	5  35.61  0  -1.15	18.04  -2.18	39.64  -0.41	18.18  -1.39	36.36  0.93	47.611 -0.99		-			2
3		45.85  19.14   0.64  -0.3	4  21.75  2  -3.09	40.241 0.021	22.82  -2.89	39.68  -1.38	22.48  0.16	19.881 3.521	45.33  -0.50				3
4	45.35   -0.49	37.54  22.7   -1.65  -3.6	6  41.54  L  -2.17	24.771 -0.791	44.43  -1.55	24.89! -0,30	42.53  0.16!	23.74  0.54	38.23 0.17	44.57 -2.21			4
5	48.00  19.14   -0.21  -0.42	23.18  44.7   -1.84  -0.2	7  24.70  0  -1.05	45.46  -1.40	24.53  0.72	45.921 -0.391	25.12  0.62	43.96  -2.00	22.98  -2.69	19.08 -0.73	47.41 -1.43		5
6	35.67  22.37   -0.97  -0.35	41.92  24.4   -1.11  -1.9	5  45.31  5  -1.23	24.56  2.35	45.52  -0.60	24.43! 1.81	45.70  -0.37	24.87  -0.21	41.56  -1.94	22.08 -1.65	35.86  -0.46		6
7	i 39.461 18.311 39.68   1.481 -0.371 -1.31	24.72  45.2   -0.95  -1.7	6  24.15  6  0.65	46.04 0.77	25.00  2.19	46.16 1.02	24.48 2.01	45.16  -1.97	24.29  -2.70	39.80 -1.02	17.97  3 -2.23	8.54  0.89	7
8	31.98  39.69  23.29   0.02  -0.12  -0.77	44.26  23.7   -1.92  -2.6	0  45.20  8  -1.34	24.95  1.97	34.95  1.90]	24.90  1.74	46.15  0.72	24.40  0.20	43.74  -3.07	23.03 -1.87	40.081 0.861	31.41  •1.78	8
9	38.64  17.97  39.30   -0.64  -2.24  -2.26	24.58  45.5   -1.53  -1.0	71 23.85 91 -0.59	45.03  -1.44!	24.57  0.43	45.65  -0.09	23.72  -1.16	45.94  -0.29	24.40  -2.24	39.69 -1.29	18.53  3 0.84	89.02  0.35	9
10	35.80  21.49   -0.62  -4.24	41.84  24.8   -1.29  -0.3	4  45.14 6  -1.61	23.61 -1.61	45.99  0.44	23.87  -0.50	45.09  -1.71	24.80  -0.53	42.60  0.50	22.55 0.47	36.10  0.21		10
11	47.51  18.83   -1.24  -2.00	23.34  44.2   -1.17  -1.4	21 24.68 01 -1.10	45.29  -1.76	24.08  -1.14	45.30  -1.74	24.321 -2.56	44.96  0.24	23.88  1.14	19.61 2.03	47.48  -1.28		11
12	45.35   -0.48	38.00  23.4   -0.45  -0.5	71 42.56 B1 0.23	24.33 -2.54	44.09  -2.33	24.41  -2.23	41.751 -1.67	23.42  -0.78	37.95  -0.57	45.56 -0.04			12
13		44.81  19.6   -1.65  2.2	4  22.43 6  -0.09	39.47  -1.90	22.91  -2.52	39.19  -2.58	21.67  -3.47	18.88  -1.69	45.06  -1.11	•	ARITHME	IC AVG	13
14		48.1   0.0	0  35.96 2  -0.17	18.59 0.82	39.36  -1.11	17.92  -2.82	35.15  -2.41	47.13  -1.99		•		= -0.751	14
15	STANDARD DEV     = 0.88			38.99  1.82	32.14  0.17	38.16  -0.35				1	AVG ABS DIFF = 2	PCT   1.26	15
	R P N	нц	ĸ	J	н	G	F	F	n	c	в	۵	

		SUB-BATCH SHARI (MWD/MTU)	NG		
SUB BATCH	NO. OF ASSEMBLIES	BOC BATCH BURNUP	EOC BATCH BURNUP	CYCLE BURNUP	
S1/8B	1 7	11,348	34,955	23,607	BURNUP TILT
12B	16	32,950	38,609 46,429	5,659 6,768	NW = 0.06   NE = 0.19
12C 13A	1 32	33,819	39,463	5,644	
13B	32	21,067	42,090	21,023	SW = -0.16   SE = -0.10
14A 14B	32 36	0	21,515 23,606	21,515 23,606	
	c	YCLE AVERAGE ACC	UNULATED BURNUP	= 33,562	

NE-1011 S2C12 Core Performance Report

Page 18 of 54



Figure 2.5A SURRY UNIT 2 - CYCLE 12 SUB-BATCH BURNUP SHARING

LINES ARE PREDICTED VALUES, SYMBOLS ARE MEASURED VALUES.

Page = 19 of 54



Figure 2.5B SURRY UNIT 2 - CYCLE 12 SUB-BATCH BURNUP SHARING

LINES ARE PREDICTED VALUES, SYMBOLS ARE MEASURED VALUES.



Figure 2.5C SURRY UNIT 2 - CYCLE 12 SUB-BATCH BURNUP SHARING



Page 21 of 54

Section 3

#### REACTIVITY DEPLETION

The primary coolant critical boron concentration is monitored for the purposes of following core reactivity and to identify any anomalous reactivity behavior. The FOLLOW<sup>4</sup> computer code was used to normalize "actual" critical boron concentration measurements to design conditions taking into consideration control rod position, xenon concentration, moderator temperature, and power level. The normalized critical boron concentration versus burnup curve for the Surry 2 Cycle 12 core is shown in Figure 3.1. It can be seen that the measured data typically compared to within 36 ppm of the design prediction. The largest reactivity anomaly was  $\pm 0.26\%$  AK/K which is within the  $\pm 1\%$  AK/K criterion for reactivity anomalies set forth in Section 4.10 of the Technical Specifications. In conclusion, the trend indicated by the critical boron concentration verifies that the Cycle 12 core depleted as expected without any reactivity abnormalities.







x x x MEASURED ----- PREDICTED

Page 24 of 54

Section 4

#### POWER DISTRIBUTION

Analysis of core power distribution data on a routine basis is necessary to verify that the hot channel factors are within the Technical Specification limits and to ensure that the reactor is operating without "uneven" conditions any abnormal which could cause an burnup distribution. Three-dimensional core power distributions are determined from movable detector flux map measurements using both the  ${\rm INCORE}^{\, 5}$  and CECOR<sup>6</sup> computer programs. The INCORE program was used from the beginning of the cycle through flux map 18. The CECOR program was used from flux map 19 to the end of the cycle. A summary of all full core flux maps taken for Surry 2 Cycle 12 is provided in Table 4.1, excluding the initial power ascension flux maps which were included in the S2C13 Startup Physics Tests Report. Power distribution maps were generally taken at monthly intervals with additional maps taken as needed.

Radial (X-Y) core power distributions for a representative series of incore flux maps are given in Figures 4.1, 4.2, and 4.3. Figure 4.1 shows a power distribution map that was taken early in cycle life. Figure 4.2 shows a power distribution map that was taken near the mid-cycle burnup. Figure 4.3 shows a map that was taken near the end of Cycle 12. The maximum relative assembly power difference between measured and predicted was 10.6% and the maximum average percent difference was equal to 1.9%. In addition, as indicated by the INCORE tilt factors, the power distributions were essentially symmetric for each case.

NE-1011 S2C12 Core Performance Report

Page 25 of 54

An important aspect of core power distribution follow is the monitoring of nuclear hot channel factors. Verification that these factors are within Technical Specification limits ensures that linear power density and critical heat flux limits will not be violated, thereby providing adequate thermal margin and maintaining fuel cladding integrity. Surry Technical Specification 3.12 limited the axially dependent heat flux hot channel factor,  $F_Q(Z)$ , to 2.32 x K(Z), where K(Z) is the hot channel factor normalized operating envelope. Figure 4.4 is a plot of the K(Z) curve associated with the 2.32  $F_Q(Z)$  limit.

The axially dependent heat flux hot channel factors,  $F_Q(Z)$ , for a representative set of flux maps are given in Figures 4.5, 4.6, and 4.7. Throughout Cycle 12, the measured values of  $F_Q(Z)$  were within the Technical Specification limit. A summary of the maximum values of axially-dependent heat flux hot channel factors measured during Cycle 12 is given in Figure 4.8. The minimum margin to the  $F_Q(Z)$  limit was 17.89%. Figure 4.9 shows the maximum values for the heat flux hot channel factor measured during Cycle 12.

The value of the enthalpy rise hot channel factor, F-delta-H, which is the ratio of the integral of the power along the rod with the highest integrated power to that of the average rod, is routinely followed. The Technical Specification limit for this parameter is set such that the departure from nucleate boiling ratio (DNBR) limit will not be violated. Additionally, the F-delta-H limit ensures that the value of this parameter used in the LOCA-ECCS analysis is not exceeded during normal operation. Surry Technical Specification 3.12 limited the enthalpy rise hot channel

NE-1011 S2C11 Core Performance Report

Page 26 of 54

factor to 1.56(1+0.3(1-P)) for Cycle 12, where 1.56 is the F-delta-H at rated thermal power and 0.3 is the power factor multiplier, both as specified in the COLR. A summary of the maximum values for the enthalpy rise hot channel factor measured during Cycle 12 is given in Figure 4.10. As can be seen from this figure, the minimum margin to the limit was 5.77% for Cycle 12.

The target delta flux\* is the delta flux which would occur at conditions of full power, all rods out, and equilibrium xenon. The delta flux is measured with the core at or near these conditions and the target delta flux is established at this measured point. Since the target delta flux varies as a function of burnup, the target value is updated monthly. By maintaining the value of delta flux relatively constant, adverse axial power shapes due to xenon redistribution are avoided. This target delta-flux was also used to establish the operational axial flux difference bands while under CAOC.

The plot of the target delta flux versus burnup, given in Figure 4.11, shows the value of this parameter to have been approximately 2.5% at the beginning of Cycle 12 and decreasing to -1.5% where it leveled off until the middle of the cycle. After an outage near the middle of Cycle 12, the delta flux was approximately -4.5 where it increased until the end of the cycle. This axial power shift can also be observed in the corresponding core average axial power distribution for a representative series of maps given in Figures 4.12 through 4.14. In Map S2-12-04

 $\begin{array}{rl} & \text{Pt-Pb} \\ \text{* Delta Flux} = & \text{----- X 100} & \text{where Pt} = \text{power in top of core (MW(t))} \\ & & 2441 & \text{Pb} = \text{power in bottom of core (MW(t))} \end{array}$ 

NE-1011 S2C12 Core Performance Report

Page 27 of 54

(Figure 4.12), taken at 646 MWD/MTU, the axial power distribution had a shape peaked towards core midplane with an axial peaking factor (F-Z) of 1.228. In Map S2-12-16 (Figure 4.13), taken at approximately 9,368 MWD/MTU, the axial power distribution peaked toward the bottom of the core with an axial peaking factor of 1.143. Finally, in Map S2-12-28 (Figure 4.14), taken at 17,575 MWD/MTU, the axial peaking factor was 1.144, with an axial power distribution similar to Map S2-12-16. The history of F-Z during the cycle can be seen more clearly in a plot of F-Z versus burnup given in Figure 4.15.

In conclusion, the Surry 2 Cycle 12 core performed satisfactorily with power distribution analyses verifying that design predictions were accurate and that the values of the  $F_Q(Z)$  and F-delta-H hot channel factors were within the limits of the Technical Specifications.

Page 28 of 54

Table 4.1SURRY UNIT 2 - CYCLE 12SUMMARY OF FLUX MAPS FOR ROUTINE OPERATION

ı —	1	1	1 1		T			1	1		2	1		1	3	1	1
i ·	i	BURN	i i	BANK	İ F	-0(Z	) нот	•	i F-	DHEND	нот	CORE	F(2)	i cor	Ē	AXIAL	NO.
İMAP	i i	UP	i i	D	CHA	NNFI	FACTO	R	I CHN	L. FA	CTOR	MAX		TIL	r	OFF	I OF
INO.	I DATE	NWDZ	I PWR I	STEPS	i				i			1		i	-	SET	İTHIM
i	i	NTU	1 (2)		ASSY	IPIN	AXIAL	1	i	1	1	AXIAL	F(2)	MAX	LOC	i cz	BLES
i	i i		i		i	i	POINT	F-9(Z)	<b>ASSY</b>	PIN	F-DH(N)	POINT	1	i		1	1
i	i i		ii		i.	i	i i	1	i	i	i	İ.	Ì	i	I	I	1
14	5-29-93	646	99.9	224	D11	HI HI	32	1.890	F04	00	1.424	31	11.228	1.007	NE NE	0.271	46
5	6-25-93	1464	66.2	186	D11	İ HI	30	2.005	E04	I GH	1.457	30	11.285	1.009	NE	-0.057	43
6	7-26-93	2368	98.2	223	D11	HI	30	1.901	D11	HI	1.443	30	11.209	1.012	I NE	0.335	43
7	9-14-93	3169 1	99.8	224	D11	I H I	31	1.879	D11	HI	1.445	35	1.196	1.012	NE	-1.236	43
8	10-15-93	4238	1 98.0	224	j D11	HI	32	1.834	D11	HI	1.436	36	11.178	1.011	NE	-1.329	43
9	11-15-93	5221	95.1	217	D11	I GL	31	1.800	D11	GL	1.430	36	11.164	1.010	NE	-1.454	42
110	12-16-93	5727	100.1	219	F05	HI	41	1.797	1 D11	GL	1.428	41	11.166	1.009	I NE	-2.609	43
11	12-22-93	5895	60.7	178	L 10	GH	32	1.914	D11	FL	1.438	31	1.240	1.008	I NH	-4.503	41
12	1-20-94	6863	100.0	223	F05	HI	43	1.784	F05	HI	1.425	44	11.156	1.007	I NE	-2.568	43
13	2-17-94	7805	98.0	222	80L	I NH	. 42	1.791	J08	I NH	1.432	1 45	1.148	1.005	NE	-2.317	43
14	3-14-94	8629	1 96.71	222	\$ J08	NH	42	1.792	J08	I NH	1.445	47	1.139	1.004	NE	-1.956	43
115	4-03-94	9276	65.8	187	80L	I NM	30	1.861	80L	NH	1.454	30	1.175	1.007	S₩	-2.818	43
16	4-06-94	9368	94.0	Z17	H07	I MB	46	1.806	80L	NH	1.454	47	1.143	1.002	NE/SW	-2.232	42
17	4-12-94	9548	60.6	181	80L	I NH	42	1.878	80L	I NH	1.461	42	1.170	1.007	S₩	-5.315	43
18	4-18-94	9722	94.0	218	H07	I MB	46	1.808	80L	NH	1.460	47	11.138	1.002	NE	-2.002	41
19	5-09-94	10375	90.0	222	H07		- 47	1.791	80L	I I	1.460	48	11.129	1.003	NW	-1.944	43
20	6-26-94	11164	67.8	184	H07 .		20	1.781	H07		1.461	L 20	1.125	1.006	SH	-2.376	46
21	6-30-94	11291	100.1	223	G08 ;		48	1.856	G08	1	1.466	48	1.161	1.003	NE	-4.555	46
22	7-26-94	12134	100.0	223	[ G08		48	1.841	H07		1.465	52	11.152	1.002	NE	-3.788	46
23	8-15-94	12828	99.9	222	G08		48	1.841	H07		1.465	52	1.153	1.002	NW	-3.560	43
24	9-13-94	13800	99.9	224	G08	I	52	1.844	H07		1.469	52	1.153	1.004	NE	-3.618	44
25	10-13-94	14809	100.01	223	G08		53	1.850	1 HO7		1.470	52	1.153	1.004	NE	-3.593	44
26	10-31-94	15416	1 100.0	223	G08		52	1.841	G08		1.464	52	1.149	1.007	NE	-3.075	41
27	111-28-94	16362	100.0	Z24	G08		52	1.827	H07		1.455	52	11.151	1.008	NE	-2.886	43
28	1-03-95	17575	100.0	224	G08		52	1.805	G08		1.443	52	11.144	1.003	NE	-2.509	43
29	1-24-95	18259	89.3	216	H07		11	1.759	H07		1.452	1 11	1.114	1.004	SW	0.150	44
	1 1								1				1 i				

NOTES: HOT SPOT LOCATIONS ARE SPECIFIED BY GIVING ASSEMBLY LOCATIONS (E.G. HO8 IS THE CENTER-OF-CORE ASSEMBLY), FOLLOWED BY THE PIN LOCATION (DENOTED BY THE "" COORDINATE WITH THE FIFTEEN ROWS OF FUEL RODS LETTERED A THROUGH R AND THE "X" COORDINATE DESIGNATED IN A SIMILAR MANNER). AFTER IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CECOR CODE, PIN LOCATIONS WERE NO LONGER AVAILABLE AND ARE NOT INDICATED. IN THE "Z" DIRECTION THE CORE IS DIVIDED INTO 61 AXIAL POINTS STARTING FROM THE TOP OF THE CORE.

1. F-Q(Z) INCLUDES A TOTAL UNCERTAINTY OF 1.08.

2. F-DH(N) INCLUDES NO UNCERTAINTY.

3. CORE TILT - QUADRANT POWER TILT AS DEFINED BY THE INCORE/CECOR CODE.

4. FLUX MAPS 4 THROUGH 18 WERE ANALYZED USING THE INCORE CODE, WHILE FLUX MAPS 19 THROUGH 29 WERE ANALYZED USING THE CECOR CODE.

# Figure 4.1 SURRY UNIT 2 - CYCLE 12 ASSEMBLYWISE POWER DISTRIBUTION S2-12-04

ĸ	P N	н	Ł	ĸ	J	н	G	F	Ł	U	C	В	A	
		• • •								• •				
	. PREDICTED	•	•		. 0.32	. 0.40	. 0.32 .			•	PREI	DICTED .		۰.
	. REASURED	CE.			-1 2	. U.40 . -1 7	. 0.32 .				PCT DIA	FERENCE.		1
			0.32	0.65	. 1.11	. 0.92	. 1.11 .	0.65	. 0.32	•				
			. 0.34 .	0.64	. 1.09	. 0.90 .		0.67	. 0.34	•				2
			. 0.0 .	-1.5	1.4	1.4	. U.4 . 	2.7	. 9.5	•				
		0.38	. 1.09 .	1.24	. 1.25	1.28	. 1.25 .	1.23	. 1.09	. 0.38	•			
		. 0.40	. 1.12 .	1.21	. 1.25	. 1.26	. 1.26 .	1.26	. 1.15	. 0.42	•			3
		. 5./	. 2.2 .	-2.1	0.4	1.1	. 0.6 .	1.9	. 5.4	. 9.9	•			
	0.38	0.86	. 1.28 .	1.31	. 1.28	1.20	. 1.28 .	1.31	. 1.28	. 0.86	. 0.38	3 °.		
	. 0.41	0.87	. 1.26 .	1.30	. 1.29	. 1.21	. 1.28 .	1.32	. 1.31	. 0.89	. 0.40	).		4
	. 6.6	. 1.7	1.1 .	-0.5	. 0.8	. 1.0	. 0.5 .	1.0	. 2.4	. 3.7	. 4.0	•		
	. 0.32 . 1.09	1.28	. 1.23	1.24	. 1.18	1.17	. 1.18 .	1.24	1.23	. 1.28	. 1.09	0.32	•	
	. 0.32 . 1.09	1.26	. 1.23 .	1.23	. 1.20	. 1.18	. 1. <del>2</del> 0 .	1.25	. 1.25	. 1.27	. 1.10	0.33	•	່ 5
	2.20.1 .	1.0	0.5 .	-0.2	. 1.6	. 1.4	. 1.4 .	1.4	. 1.0	0.6	. 1.0	). 2.9	•	
	. 0.65 . 1.24	1.31	1 23	1.11	1.11	1.09	1.11	1 11	1 23	1 31	1 27	0 65	•	
	. 0.63 . 1.21	1.29	1.22	1.12	. 1.14	1.11	1.13	1.13	. 1.24	. 1.30	. 1.22	2.0.64	•	6
	2.22.2 .	1.3	1.3 .	0.7	. 2.2	. 1.3	. 1.5 .	1.9	. 0.4	0.9	1.1	0.6	-	
	1 10 1 25	1 29				1 15	1 00			1 70	1 75			
. 0.30	. 1.08 . 1.23	1.25	1.10.	1.12	. 1.11	1.15	. 1.00 .	1.12	. 1.18	. 1.20	. 1.2	. 1.06	. 0.30 .	7
2.5	2.32.2 .	-1.8	1.5 .	0.8	. 3.5	2.1	1.4 .	1.3	-0.3	2.5	3.0	3.7	2.8 .	•
•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••;•;;••								•••••		•••••	
. 0.39	. 0.91 . 1.27 .	1.18	. 1.16 .	1.09	1.14	1.19	. 1.17 .	1.09	1.16	. 1.20	. 1.27	. 0.91	. 0.40 .	8
2.5	2.42.2	-1.2	1.3	0.4	. 3.7	2.1	0.6.	0.3	-0.9	3.2	3.4	1.6	1.6 .	U
· · <u>· · · ·</u> ·	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••••	• = • = = •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			• • • • • • •	• • • • • •		•••••	••••••••••		
0.30	. 1.10 . 1.25 .	1.27	. 1.18 .	1.11	. 1.07 . 3 07	. 1.15 .	. 1.08 .	1.12	1.18	1.28	. 1.26	· · 1.10	. 0.51 .	
2.5	3.54.5 .	-1.6	0.1 .	-0.3	0.1	0.7	0.7.	-0.8	-0.4	1.3	1.4	1.2	0.8 .	7
	. 0.64 . 1.23 .	. 1.30	. 1.23 .	1.11	1.11	1.09 .	1.11	1.11 .	1.23	. 1.31	. 1.24	0.65	•	
	4.54.5 .	-1.8	0.2	0.1	. 0.7	0.8	0.1	-0.3	0.1	. 0.5	. 0.1	0.1	•	10
	. 0.32 . 1.09 .	1.27	1.23 .	1.23	. 1.18 .	1.17 .	1.18 .	1.24 .	1.23	. 1.28	. 1.09	. 0.32	•	
		1.26	. 1.23 .	1.23	. 1.18 .	1.18	. 1.18 .	1.23	1.25	. 1.31	. 1.12	. 0.33	•	11
	1.71.7 .			-0.2									•	
	. 0.38 .	0.86	1.28 .	1.31	. 1.28 .	1.20 .	1.28 .	1.31 .	1.28	. 0.86	. 0.38			
	. 0.39 .	0.86	1.28 .	1.30	. 1.27 .	1.20 .	. 1.27 .	1.30 .	1.29	. 0.89	. 0.40	•		12
	. 1.0 .			-0.0	u.o .		0.7 .	-0.9.				•		
		0.38	1.09 .	1.23	1.26	1.28	1.25 .	1.23 .	1.09	. 0.38				
	-	0.39	1.14 .	1.26	. 1.24 .	1.25	. 1.22 .	1.21 .	1.09	. 0.40	•			13
	-	2.9	4.7.	1.8	1.1 .	-1.8 .	-2.3 .	-2.2.	-0.5	. 3.8	• .			
	•		0.32 .	0.65	1.11	0.92	1.11	0.65	0.32		•			
	•		0.34 .	0.67	1.12 .	0.91 .	1.08 .	0.63 .	0.32	•				14
		•	4.7.	3.5 .	. 1.6 .	-0.9 -	-2.5 .	-2.3 .	-2.1	•				
	STANDARD		•••••	••••••	0.32	0.40	8.37	•••••	•••••	• ••	AVE	RAGE		
	DEVIATION	:			0.32	0.40 .	0.31 .			.P	CT DIF	FERENCE .		15
	. =1.529	-			. 1.6 .	-0.0 -	-2.5 .			•	=	1.7 .		
	•••••	••		•	• • • • • • • •		•••••			••	•••••	••••		
					SUMM	ARY				•	·			
	MAP N	10: S2	-12-04		DA	TE: 5	/29/93		I	POWER:	99.	9%		
	CONTR	ROL RO	D POSI	TION:	F-I	Q(Z)	= 1.89	0	(	QPTR:				
	D BAN	IK AT :	224 ST	EPS	F-I	DH(N)	= 1.42	4	I	N₩ 0.9	989	NE 1.0	069	

F(Z)

= 1.228

BURNUP = 646 HWD/MTU

NE-1011 S2C11 Core Performance Report

30 of 54. Page

ISE 0.9994

SW 0.9947

A.O. = 0.271%

2

3

# Figure 4.2 SURRY UNIT 2 - CYCLE 12 ASSEMBLYWISE POWER DISTRIBUTION S2-12-16

ŋ

R	Р	N	н	L	к	J	н	G	F	E	D	С	В	A	
	. PR . ME .PCT D	EDICTED ASURED IFFEREN	CE.			. 0.29 . 0.29 0.3	. 0.37 . . 0.37 . 0.3 .	0.29 . 0.29 . -0.3 .			 .PC	PREDIC MEASUR I DIFFE	TED . ED . RENCE.		1
	•••••	•••••	••••	0.31 0.33 5.4	. 0.59 . 0.57 3.0	. 0.94 . 0.93 1.6	. 0.79 . . 0.77 . 1.7 .	0.94 . 0.93 . -1.6 .	0.59 0.59 0.1	. 0.31 . . 0.34 . . 7.0 .	••••				2
			0.38 0.39 4.4	1.01 1.02 0.7	. 1.19 . 1.15 4.0	. 1.11 . 1.09 1.5	. 1.25 . . 1.22 . 2.5 .	1.11 . 1.10 . -1.1 .	1.19 1.19 0.1	. 1.01 . . 1.05 . . 4.3 .	0.38 . 0.40 . 7.0 .				3
		. 0.38 . 0.40 . 5.4	. 0.81	1.27 1.23 -2.6	. 1.22 . 1.19 2.2	. 1.36 . 1.35 0.7	. 1.16 . . 1.16 . . 0.2 .	1.36 . 1.36 . -0.4 .	1.21 1.21 0.1	. 1.27 . . 1.28 . . 0.9 .	0.81 . 0.82 . 1.4 .	0.38 . 0.38 . 1.9 .		•	4
	. 0.31 . 0.32 . 1.8	. 1.01 . 1.02 . 0.7	. 1.27 . 1.25 1.4	1.18 1.17 -0.2	. 1.37 . 1.35 1.3	. 1.21 . 1.22 . 1.0	. 1.36 . . 1.37 . . 1.0 .	1.22 .	1.37 1.39 1.1	. 1.18 . . 1.18 . . 0.3 .	1.27 . 1.23 . -3.1 .	1.01 . 1.00 . -0.6 .	0.32 2.6	•	5
. 0.28	. 0.60 . 1.8	. 1.22 . 1.8	. 1.21 . 0.1 . . 1.36 .	1.35	. 1.17 . 0.5 . 1.34	. 1.37 . 2.6 . 1.16	. 1.20 . . 1.6 .	1.37 . 2.0 . 1.17 .	1.19 2.1 1.34	. 1.36 . 0.4 . . 1.21 .	1.18 . -2.5 . 1.36 .	1.17 . -1.7 . 1.11 .	0.59	0.28	6
. 0.29 . 2.2 . 0.37	. 0.96 . 2.0 . 0.78	. 1.13 . 1.7 . 1.25	. 1.36 . 0.5 . . 1.16 .	1.18 -2.2 1.36	. 1.34 . 0.5 . 1.17	. 1.21 . 3.7 . 1.35	. 1.39 . . 2.5 . . 1.26 .	1.20 . 2.2 . 1.36 .	1.37 2.1 1.18	. 1.21 . 0.2 . . 1.36 .	1.32 . -3.2 . 1.16 .	1.09 . -2.0 . 1.25 .	0.92	0.28	7
. 0.37 . 2.2 . 0.28	. 0.80	. 1.27 . 1.7 . 1.11	. 1.16 . 0.2 . . 1.36 .	1.33	. 1.18 . 0.4 . 1.34	. 1.40 . 3.9 . 1.16	. 1.30 . . 2.7 . . 1.35 .	1.38 . 1.3 . 1.17 .	1.19 1.3	. 1.35 . 0.7 . . 1.21 .	1.12 . -3.4 . 1.36 .	1.22 . -2.6 . 1.11 .	0.79	0.37	. 8
. 2.2	-0.6 . 0.59 . 0.57	3.5 . 1.19 . 1.15	1.2 . . 1.21 . . 1.20 .	0.6 1.37 1.38	-0.3 1.16	. 3.9 . 1.34 . 1.32	. 1.33 . . 1.9 . . 1.18 . . 1.17 .	1.34 . 1.33 .	-0.9 1.16 1.15	0.4 . . 1.37 . . 1.36 .	-3.5 . 1.21 . 1.23 .	-0.4 . 1.20 . 1.21 .	0.9	1.6	10
	-3.5 . 0.31 . 0.31	3.5 . 1.01 . 1.00	0.9 . . 1.27 . . 1.27 .	1.1 1.18 1.19	1.1 1.37 1.36	1.3 . 1.21 . 1.18	0.1 . . 1.36 . . 1.34 .	-0.6 . 1.21 . 1.19 .	-0.7 1.37 1.34	-0.8 . 1.18 . 1.18 .	1.0 . 1.27 . 1.29 .	1.3 . 1.01 . 1.04 .	1.4 0.31 0.32	•	11
	0.5	0.5 . 0.38 . 0.39	. 0.81 . . 0.83 . . 1.8 .	1.0 1.27 1.28 1.0	-0.4 1.21 1.20	. 1.36 . 1.33	· -1.4 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-1.9 . 1.36 . 1.33 . -2.5 .	1.21 1.19 -2.0	. 0.5 . . 1.27 . . 1.26 .	0.81 . 0.83 . 1.7 .	0.38 . 0.39 . 4.3 .	2.7 .	•	12
		• • • • • • • •	0.37 . 0.39 . 3.8 .	1.01 1.06 5.3	1.20 1.21 1.4	. 1.11 . 1.09 2.5	. 1.25 . . 1.22 . 2.3 .	1.11 . 1.08 . -2.8 .	1.19 1.15 -3.4	. 1.01 . . 0.99 . 1.7 .	0.38 . 0.39 . 2.7 .				13
				0.31 . 0.33 . 5.3 .	0.59	. 0.94 . 0.98 . 3.7	. 0.79 . . 0.79 . . 0.0 .	0.94 . 0.92 . -2.2 .	0.59 0.57 -2.8	. 0.31 . . 0.30 . 3.6 .					14
	. ST/ . DEV . =]	ANDARD VIATION 1.381				0.29 0.30 3.6	. 0.37 . . 0.37 . . 1.3 .	0.29 . 0.28 . -2.1 .			.PCT	AVERA DIFFE	GE . RENCE. B .		15
						SUM	1ARY								
	MAP NO	); S2-:	12-16		DATI	E: 4/	06/94	•	PO	WER: 9	4.0%				
	CONTRO	DL ROD	POSIT	ION:	F-Q	(Z) =	1.806		QP	TR:					
	D BANK	( AT 2	17 STE	PS	F-Di	H(N) =	1.454		N₩	1.0015	I NE	1.001	7		
					F(Z	) =	1.143		SW	1.0017	SE	0.995	1		

BURNUP = 9368 MWD/MTU A.O. = -2.232%

NE-1011 S2C12 Core Performance Report

# Figure 4.3 SURRY UNIT 2 - CYCLE 12 ASSEMBLYWISE POWER DISTRIBUTION S2-12-28

R	Р	N	M	L	K	. <b>ا</b>	н	G	F	Ε	D	C	В	A
	••	DOFINIC'					n 440	n 363	•		••••	PPENTCTE		
	•	MEASUR	FN .			0.344	0.458	0.350				NEASURED		
	.Р	CT DIFFE	RENCE.			. 0.9	4.1	. 2.0			.PC1	DIFFERE	ICE.	
	••	••••••	• • • • • •	0 344			0 974	n 976	0 4 74		• ••••	•••••	••••	
				0.346	0.624	0.975	. U.O.O	0.985	0.024 0.653	0.347	• .			
				-0 4	-0.7	-0.6	-0.4	1.0	4.6	33	•			
												-		
			. 0.407	0.992	1.168	. 1.087	. 1.250	. 1.088	1.168	. 0.992	. 0.406			
			. 0.447	. 0.990	1.159	. 1.074	. 1.214	. 1.086	1.184	. 1.017	. 0.447			
			. 8.7	0.Z	0.8	1.2	2.9	0.2	1.3	. 2.5	. 10.1			
		. 0.407	. 0.815	. 1.214	. 1.156	. 1.344	. 1.127	. 1.344 .	1.155	. 1.214	. 0.815	. 0.407 .		
		. 0.409	. 0.817	. 1.183	1.144	. 1.337	. 1.128	. 1.343	1.155	. 1.224	. 0.830	. 0.412 .	•	
		. 0.4	. 0.3	2.5	1.0	0.5	. 0.1	0.1	0.0	. 0.8	. 1.8	. 1.3 .	•	
	•••••	• • • • • • • • • •				••••••				••••••			• • • • • • • •	• *
	. 0.346	. 0.993	. 1.214	. 1.127	1.364	. 1.176	. 1.350	. 1.175 .	1.364	. 1.126	. 1.214	. 0.993 .	0.347	•
	. 0.349	. 0.999	. 1.216	. 1.125	1.359	. 1.176	. 1.346	. 1.174 .	1.365	. 1.121	. 1.216	. 1.001 .	0.369	•
	. 0.9	. 0.6	. 0.2	0.2	0.4	. 0.0	0.3	0.1	, 0.1	0.5	. 0.1	. 0.8.	6.2	• .
			••••••••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••		•••••••	• • • • • • • • •	•••••••••			••••••••••	•
	. 0.624	. 1.169	. 1.156	. 1.364	. 1.155	. 1.365	. 1.165	. 1.364 .	1.155	. 1.363	. 1.156	. 1.168 .	0.623	•
	. 0.633	. 1.100	. 1.158	. 1.358	-0.7	. 1.366	-1.150	· 1.36Z .	1.165	. 1.356	. 1.144	. 1.166 .	0.629	•
	. 1.5	. 1.0	. 0.1	0.4	0.3	. 0.0	1.2	0.1 .	0.9	0.5	1.1	0.2 .	0.9	•
0 335	0 973	1 090	1 346	1 177	1 745	1 147	1 307	1 140	1 344	1 176	1 744	1 497	0 977	0 37
0 347	0.992	1 111	1 344	1 142	1 360	1 186	1 396	1 168	1 363	1 140	1 295	1 079	0.975	0.33
. 3.5	. 1.9	2.0	-0.1	-13	-0.4	. 1.6	. 0.0	-0.1	-01	-1 2	-37	-0.7	0.775	. 0.54
			••••											
. 0.436	. 0.834	. 1.250	. 1.128	. 1.351	1.165	. 1.394	. 1.249	. 1.399	1.165	. 1.350	. 1.127	. 1.249 .	0.833	. 0.43
. 0.466	. 0.847	. 1.256	. 1.115	. 1.294	1.147	. 1.387	. 1.243	. 1.396 .	1.165	. 1.340	. 1.118	. 1.262 .	0.873	. 0.454
. 7.0	. 1.6	. 0.4	1.2	4.2	-1.6	0.5	0.4	0.2 .	0.1	0.8	0.8	. 1.0 .	4.8	. 4.
. 0.333	. 0.972	. 1.087	. 1.345	. 1.176	1.365	. 1.167	. 1.397	. 1.168 .	1.364	. 1.175	. 1.344	. 1.089 .	0.973	. 0.33
. 0.341	. 0.976	. 1.083	. 1.336	. 1.169 .	1.347	. 1.148	. 1.382	. 1.166 .	1.314	. 1.162	. 1.348	. 1.108 .	1.009	. 0.35
. 2.5	. 0.5	0.4	0.7	0.6 .	-1.3	1.6	1.1	0.2 .	-3.7	1.1	. 0.3	. 1.8.	3.7	. 5.)
•••••	•••••••	•••••••••				•••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••		••••••••	•••••••	••••••	
	. 0.623	. 1.169	. 1.157	. 1.364	1.153	. 1.365	. 1.165	. 1.364 .	1.152	. 1.363	. 1.156	. 1.168 .	0.624	•
	. 0.619	. 1.149	. 1.151	. 1.360 .	1.156	. 1.317	. 1.140	. 1.340 .	1.124	. 1.359	. 1.1/3	. 1.199 .	0.655	•
	0.6	1.7	0.5	0.5	-1.5	3.6	2.1	1.8 .	-2.4	. ~0.5	. 1.5	. 2.6.	5.0	•
	0 364		1 716	1 1 27	1. 346	1 176	1 360	1 175	1 344	1 1 24	1 214		0 344	•
	0 346	. 0.773	1 222	1 147	1 366	1.175	1 321	1 147	1 313	1 1 1 7 6	1 230	. 0.773 .	0.340	•
	-01			1 3	-0.8	-7 4	-7.7	-7.6	-3.8	0.7	2.1	3.2	3.9	•
						• •••								-
		. 0.407	. 0.816	. 1.214	1.155	. 1.344	. 1.127	. 1.344 .	1.155	. 1.214	. 0.816	. 0.407 .		-
		. 0.429	. 0.827	. 1.225	1.147	. 1.304	. 1.105	. 1.320 .	1.136	. 1.218	. 0.843	. 0.440 .		
		. 5.4	. 1.4	. 0.9 .	-0.7	3.0	1.9	1.8 .	-1.7	. 0.3	. 3.3	. 8.0 .		
			. 0.406	. 0.992 .	1.169	. 1.089	. 1.250	. 1.087 .	1.168	. 0.992	. 8.406	•		
			. 0.413	. 1.010 .	1.173	. 1.082	. 1.240	. 1.077 .	1.152	. 0.990	. 0.412	•		
		•	. 1.6	. 1.8 .	0.4	0.7	0.8	0.9 .	-1.4	0.2	. 1.4	•		
			• • • • • • • •				•••••••			• • • • • • • •		•		
				. 0.346 .	0.624	0.975	. 0.836	. 0.974 .	0.624	. 0.346	•			
				. 0.379 .	0.637	0.989	. 0.841	. 0.979 .	0.621	. 0.345	•			
				. 9.6.	2.1	. 1.4	. 0.6	. 0.5 .	-0.5	0.3	•			
	•••			•••••	•••••		••••••		•••••	• • • • • • • • •	• ••••		•••	
	•	STANDAN	(D .			0.341	. 0.440	. 0.341 .			·	AVERAGE		
	•	-1 097	. 100			. 0.372	. 0.451	. 0.345 .			•PCI	- 1 4		
	•	-1.92/	•			. 7.1	. 2.0	. 1.2.			•	- 1.0	•	
	•••	• • • • • • • • • •				• • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••			••••	•••••	•••	

#### SUMMARY

	F(Z) = 1.144	SW 0.9971   SE 1.0003
D BANK AT 224 STEPS	F-DH(N) = 1.443	NW 0.9996   NE 1.0031
CONTROL ROD POSITION:	F-Q(Z) = 1.805	OPTR:
MAP NO: S2-12-28	DATE: 01/03/95	POWER: 100.0%

NE-1011 S2C11 Core Performance Report

Page 32 of 54 11

12

14



Figure 4.4 SURRY UNIT 2 - CYCLE 12

33 of 54 Page NE-1011 S2C12 Core Performance Report





Page 34 of 54



NE-1011 S2C12 Core Performance Report

Page 35 of 54



Figure 4.7 SURRY UNIT 2 CYCLE 12 HEAT FLUX HOT CHANNEL FACTOR, F<sub>Q</sub>(Z) S2-12-28

Page 36 of 54



Figure 4.8

 $\mathbb{C}_{2^{n}} \times \mathbb{P}_{2^{n}}$ 

× × × MAXIMUM FQ\*P FQ\*P LIMIT

BOTTOM OF CORE

TOP OF CORE

NE-1011 S2C12 Core Performance Report

Page 37 of 54



Figure 4.9 SURRY UNIT 2 - CYCLE 12

Page .38 of 54



Figure 4.10 SURRY UNIT 2 - CYCLE 12 MAXIMUM ENTHALPY RISE HOT CHANNEL FACTOR, F-delta-H, vs. BURNUP

. .





Page 40 of 54



NE-1011 S2C12 Core Performance Report

Page 41 of 54





Fz = 1.143AXIAL OFFSET = -2.232



Page 42 of 54



NE-1011 S2C12 Core Performance Report

Page 43 of 54



1

Figure 4.15 SURRY UNIT 2 - CYCLE 12 CORE AVERAGE AXIAL PEAKING FACTOR vs. BURNUP

Page 44 of 54

#### Section 5

#### PRIMARY COOLANT ACTIVITY

The specific activity levels of radioiodines in the primary coolant are important to core and fuel performance as indicators of failed fuel and are important with respect to offsite dose calculations associated with accident analyses. Two mechanisms are primarily responsible for the presence of radioiodines in the primary coolant. Radioiodines are always present due to direct fission product recoil from trace fissile materials plated onto core components and fuel structured surfaces or trace fissile materials existing as impurities in core structural materials. This fissile material is generally referred to as "tramp" material, and the resulting iodines are referred to as tramp iodine. Fission products will also diffuse into the primary coolant if a breach in the cladding (fuel defects) exists. Fuel defects, when they exist, are generally the predominant source of radioiodines in the primary coolant.

Surry Technical Specification 3.1.D conditionally limits the primary coclant radioiodine dose equivalent I-131 to a value of 1.0  $\mu$ Ci/gram with provisions that ultimately limit the dose equivalent I-131 activity to a maximum of 10.0  $\mu$ Ci/gm<sup>2</sup>. Figure 5.1 shows the dose-equivalent I-131 activity history for Cycle 12. These data show that the dose equivalent I-131 activity remained substantially below 1.0  $\mu$ Ci/gm throughout Cycle 12 operation. The cycle average steady state power dose equivalent I-131 concentration was 7.63 X 10<sup>-4</sup>  $\mu$ Ci/gm which is less than .1% of the Technical Specification limit.

NE-1011 S2C12 Core Performance Report

Page 45 of 54

Correcting the I-131 concentration for tramp iodine involves calculating the I-131 activity from tramp fissile sources and subtracting this value from the measured I-131. The resultant tramp-corrected I-131 activity is theoretically the I-131 activity from defective fuel. The magnitude of the tramp-corrected I-131 can then be used as an indication of the number of defective fuel rods. The cycle average tramp corrected iodine-131 concentration was 2.90 X 10<sup>-5</sup> µCi/gm. A tramp-corrected I-131 activity of this low magnitude is a good indication of a defect free core. The fact that there were no spikes in the iodine data during rapid power transients substantiates the conclusion that the Cycle 12 core contained no defective fuel rods and the reactor coolant system radioiodines resulted from tramp fissile sources. The demineralizer flow rate averaged approximately 100 gpm during power operation.

The ratio of the specific activities of I-131 to I-133 is used to characterize the type (size) of fuel failure which may have occurred in the reactor core. Use of the ratio for this determination is feasible because I-133 has a short half-life (approximately 21 hours) compared to that of I-131 (approximately eight days). For pinhole defects, where the diffusion time through the defect is on the order of days, the I-133 decays leaving the I-131 dominant in activity, thereby causing the ratio to be roughly 0.5 or more. In the case of large leaks and tramp material, where the diffusion mechanism is negligible, the I-131/I-133 ratio will generally be less than 0.1. The use of these ratios with regard to defect size is empirically determined and generally used throughout the commercial nuclear power industry. Figure 5.2 shows the I-131/I-133 ratio data for the Surry 2 Cycle 12. The "spikes" in the ratio data shown on

NE-1011 S2C12 Core Performance Report

Page 46 of 54

Figure 5.2 primarily occurred when the unit was down and is the result of I-133 decay thus increasing the ratio substantially. While the unit was in full power operation, the I-131/I-133 ratio remained consistantly under .1, which is typical for a core with zero defective fuel rods.







NE-1011 S2C12 Core Performance Report

Page 48 of 54



÷

Figure 5.2 SURRY UNIT 2 - CYCLE 12 I-131 / I-133 ACTIVITY RATIO vs. TIME



THIS PAGE INTEN

TENTIONALLY BLANK

# THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY BLANK

### Section 6

#### CONCLUSIONS

The Surry 2, Cycle 12 core has completed operation. Throughout this cycle, all core performance indicators compared favorably with the design predictions and the core related Technical Specification limits were met with significant margin. No significant abnormalities in reactivity or burnup accumulation were detected. Radioiodine analysis indicated that there were no fuel rod defects. · · ·

## THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY BLANK

÷

·

: .

1.5

Page 52 of 54

í,

#### Section 7

#### REFERENCES

- D. A. Trace, "Surry Unit 2, Cycle 12 Startup Physics Test Report," Technical Report NE-943, Rev. 0, Virginia Power, June, 1993.
- 2) Surry Power Station Technical Specifications, Sections 3.1.D, 3.12.B and 4.10.
- T. W. Schleicher, "Virginia Power Fuel Assembly Burnup and Isotopics Calculation Code Manual," Technical Report NE-726, Rev. 0, Virginia Power, February, 1990.
- 4) D. L. Gilliatt, "The Virginia Power FOLLOW Code Manual," Technical Report NE-679, Rev. 1, Virginia Power, April, 1991.
- 5) W. D. Leggett, III and L. D. Eisenhart, "INCORE Code," WCAP-7149, Westinghouse, December, 1967.
- 6) T. W. Schleicher, "The Virginia Power CECOR Code Package", NE-831, Rev. 2, March, 1994.
- G. R. Pristas, "Reload Safety Evaluation Surry Unit 2 Cycle 12 Pattern AZ", Technical Report NE-922, Rev. 0, Virginia Power, June, 1993.
- Letter from B. C. Buckley (NRC) to W.L. Stewart, "Surry Units 1 and 2 - Issuance of Amendments Re: F-Delta-H Limit and Statistical DNBR Methodology (TAC Nos. M81271 and M82168)", Serial No. 92-405, dated June 1, 1992.

Page 53 of 54

### REFERENCES (cont.)

- 9) D. A. Trace, "Surry Unit 2 Cycle 12 Design Report", Technical Report NE-932, Rev. 0, Virginia Power, April, 1993.
- 10) "Surry Unit 2 Cycle 12 TOTE Calculations", Calculational Note PM-489, Rev. 0 and associated addenda, Virginia Power.
- 11) R. A. Hall, et al, "Surry Unit 2 Cycle 12 Flux Map Analysis", PM-491, Rev. 0, and Addenda, May 1993 - January 1995.
- 12) W. S. Miller, "Surry Unit 2, Cycle 12 FOLOW Calculations", Calculational Note PM-495, Rev. 0, Addendum B, Virginia Power, February, 1995.
- 13) Nuclear Standard, "Fuel Integrity Monitoring", ENNS-2904, Rev. 0, May 26, 1992.

Page 54 of 54