NRC Form (9-83)	366			-									_					-						U.S	s. NU	CLEA	RRE	GULAT	ORY	COMM	IISSION
										ļ	LIC	ENS	3EI	E EV	ΈN	İΤ	RE	POR	<b>₹</b> T (	(LER)								D OMB 8/31/88	NO. 3	3150-010	04
FACILITY	NAME (1	1)				•			_				_			_	_					DOC	KET	NUM	ABER	(2)			$\Box$	PAG	E (3)
SUF	RRY P	OWE	:R	ST	ATI	ON	, T	MIT	1							•			-			0	5	0	į 0 į	101	21	810	1	OF	0 13
TITLE (4)	,						<del></del>									<del>-</del>								J	—	ш			т.		- 1-
RE!	ACTOR	TR	łΡ	, O	N L	.OW	RC	S F	LOI	M D	UE	то	F#	\ILU	IRE	Ó	)F .	LOO	p g	STOP	VALV	VΕ			•						
	ENT DATE		$\bar{\Box}$			_		JMBER		<u> </u>		<del></del>		PORT D			Ť				OTHER		ILIT	TIES I	NVOL	VED	(8)	·	•		<del> </del>
монтн	DAY	YEA	AR	YE	AR		SEQU	MBER	۱.	REV	ISION MBER	MON.	тн	DAY	T	YEA	4R			FACII	LITY NA	MES		· ·	$\neg$	DOC	KETI	NUMBE	R(S)		
			$\neg$	$\overline{}$	_	1			†	7	10-		寸		十		ヿ								ľ	0	5	0 1 0	10	η,	1
			}	i		]					ŀ	1		l			ı								_						
0   5	1 6	8.	7	8	7	-	0	1   ?	- ۱	0	1 '	1	0	1 2	2  8	3   1	7.			•		-	•			0 1	5 j	0   0	10	i i	t i
OPE	RATING	$\Box$	$\Box$	THE	S REPC	ORT	IS SU	JBMITT	ED P	URSU/	ANT 1	TO TH	E AI	EQUIRE	MEN	ITS (	OF 1/	DCFR	§: /C	heck one	or more	of th	na foi	llowin	g/ (11						
	ODE (9)		, [		20.40		_				$\Box$	$\overline{}$	405(d	-	<u> </u>		•		X	50,73(a							73.7	71(b)			
POWE			$\neg$		20.4	O5(a)(	/(1)(i)					1	38(c)				•	1		50,73(a					Ì	П		71 (c)			
LEVE( (10)		101	0	М	20.4	05(a)	(1)(ii)	,			$\vdash$	1	36(c)					t	$\neg$	50.73(a						$\sqcap$	OTI	HER (Sp			
*********				$\vdash$	4		)(T){iii				$\vdash$	1	٠.	)(2)(i)				ļ	$\square$		)(2)(viii)	(A)			`	Н		w and i			
i				_	1		(1)(iv	-				-		)(2)(ii)				1	$\square$		)(2)(viii)	•				l					
l				$\vdash$			)(1)(v)				-	-		) (2) (iii)				- 1	$\vdash \vdash$	50.73(a						İ					,
	<u>Managaran</u>	<u> </u>	distant		ь	_	<u> </u>				<del>ا</del>	ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ		CONTA	CT F	OR	THIS	LER (	12)												
NAME											_		-			_	-		<u></u>				Π			TELE	PHO	NE NUM	BER		<del></del>
, T	Bo.			Q.	+ - +	<u> </u>	- N	·	~ ~ 1														ARI	EA CO	ODE						
יי יע	. Ber	.150	n,	ا 3.	cai.	Tor	.l ri	alla	ger	-													8	i 0	ı 4	3 1	5 <sub>1</sub>	71-	- 13	11	18 14
			_			—	COI	MPLET	E ON	E LINI	E FOF	EACH	- cc	MPON	ENT I	FAII	LURE	DESC	RIBE	D IN THI	S REPO	BT (	13)	<u> —</u>	—					1-1	
CAUSE	SYSTEM	cr	 OMPC	ONEN	NT		ANUF	FAC-	RE	PORTA	ABLE	5000000						SYST			ONENT	T	MA	NUFA		RE	POR1	ABLE			
لــــــــا		<u> </u>					TURE	,R	L'	O Ner	100					L			_		•	$\perp$		UREF	<b>₹</b>	⊥'	O NP	RDS			•
, l	A IB	ĺ,,	. C	. 77	.	- A 1	ı و.			v							-	Ţ,	.				· .	_							
X	АјВ	┢┷┷	T <sub>S</sub> 1	Ľ		AI	3 1	9 1	+	Y		100000				╀		┿	$\dashv$			+				+				<u> </u>	50.000 30.000
		۱ ا	1 1	1 '	, [	ŀ	. 1	1.											.		l (*		1	ļ	1	٠.					
			_			_	SU	JPPLEM	IENT/	AL RE	PORT	EXPE	CTE	D (14)			_				·	$\top$			PECTE		П	MONTH	<del>ا</del> [	DAY	YEAR
- VE	S (If ves. c	-omnia		YPEC	TED S		ussic	ON DA:	TE!				F	NO	<u> </u>					-				SUBN	MISSIC TE (15	ON:	Ī	1	T		

On May 16, 1987 at 0824 hours, with Unit 1 at 100% power, a low flow reactor trip occurred when "A" loop reactor coolant system (RCS) (EIIS-AB) flow decreased to 47%. Following the reactor trip, the source range channels (EIIS-DET) did not automatically reinstate. All other protection and control systems functioned properly. Operators followed appropriate plant procedures and stabilized the plant following the reactor trip. This event occurred when the "A" hot leg loop stop valve (EIIS-ISV) stem failed, permitting the disc to drop, partially blocking loop flow. A metallurgical analysis was performed which determined that the stem failure was attributed to stress corrosion cracking. The stress corrosion cracking was due to a combination of excessive backseating force and a process of thermal aging. At the next outages of sufficient duration, samples from the Unit 1 and Unit 2 stems will undergo metallurgical analysis, and the Unit 2 stems will undergo ultrasonic testing as was previously performed on Unit 1. The failure of source range channels to reinstate was due to the under compensation of the intermediate range channel NI-36. The source range channels were manually reinstated, and technicians readjusted the intermediate range compensating voltage.

8710200010 871012 PDR ADOCK 05000280 S PDR

ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately fifteen single-space typewritten lines) (16)

IE 1/1

284	Form	2:3A
(9-83	)	

## LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

APPROVED OMB NO 3150-0104

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	,	LE	R NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)				
SURRY POWER STATION, UNIT 1		YEAR		SEQUENTIAL NUMBER		REVISION NUMBER	-	П	
	0  5   0   0   0   2   8   0	817		0 1 1 1		0 1	012	OF	013

TEXT (If more spece is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

#### 1.0 Description of the Event

On May 16, 1987 at 0824 hours, with Unit 1 at 100% power, a low flow reactor coolant system (EIIS-AB) (RCS) flow decreased to 47%. Following the reactor trip, the source range channels (EIIS-DET) did not automatically reinstate.

All other protection and control systems functioned properly. Operators followed appropriate plant procedures and stabilized the plant following the reactor trip.

## 2.0 Safety Consequences and Implications

The low flow reactor trip automatically trips the reactor to maintain sufficient margin above a DNBR of 1.3 with a loss of RCS flow. The complete loss of flow in one loop from a reactor power of 100% (2441 MWt) with three loops operating is an analyzed event. During the event, "A" loop flow was maintained at approximately 47% and total core flow remained at 84%. A confirmatory analysis performed by Nuclear Engineering concluded that DNBR was maintained above the accident analysis value of 1.3. In addition, all other safety related systems remained operable during the event, and plant parameters remained well within the bounds of the accident analysis. Therefore, this event did not constitute an unreviewed safety question, and the health and safety of the public were not affected.

#### 3.0 Cau<u>se</u>

The cause of this event was a failure of the "A" RCS hot leg loop stop valve (MOV-1590) (EIIS-ISV). The valve stem failed, permitting the disc to drop, partially blocking the loop flow.

The valve stem failure was attributed to stress corrosion cracking. The stem material was analyzed by a contractor laboratory and determined that it was of a metallurgical composition which was conducive to the process of thermal aging when exposed to elevated temperatures (above 550 degrees Fahrenheit). The material was 17-4 PH stainless steel heat treated to H-1100. The resulting embrittlement due to thermal aging, coupled with high stress due to excessive backseating force, reduced the resistance to stress corrosion cracking.

The failure of the source range channels to reinstate was due to the under compensation of the intermediate range channel NI-36.

NDA	Form-366A	
שחוי	POOD-	
10 00		

#### LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104

EXPIRES: 8/31/89

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)		LER NUMBER (6	PAGE (3)			
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER			
SURRY POWER STATION, UNIT 1	0  5  0  0  0   2  8	10817	0 1 1		0   3 <b>OF</b>	0.10	

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

#### 4.0 Immediate Corrective Actions

The Operators performed all appropriate emergency procedures and function restoration procedures to ensure the plant was returned to a stable condition. This included manually reinstating the source range channels.

Also, the STA performed the critical safety function status tree review to ensure specific plant parameters were noted and that those parameters remained within safe bounds.

## 5.0 Additional Corrective Actions

The unit was placed in the cold shutdown condition, and the stem of the hot leg loop valve was replaced. The stems of the other five Unit 1 loop stop valves were ultrasonically tested and found to be satisfactory.

Technicians readjusted the intermediate range compensating voltage.

## 6.0 Actions Taken to Prevent Recurrence

At the next outages of sufficient duration, samples of the loop stop valve stems from Unit 1 and Unit 2 will undergo metallurgical analysis. Additionally, the Unit 2 stems will undergo ultrasonic testing as was previously performed on Unit 1.

During the Unit 1 start-up following the hot leg stem replacement, the loop stop valves were not placed on their backseats. Due to leakage through the packing, the valves were later backseated to a maximum deflection of 1/16 inch according to the manufacturer's recommendations.

#### 7.0 Similar Events

A similar failure occurred on the Unit 1 "B" loop Hot Leg Isolation Valve on December 1, 1973.

#### 8.0 Manufacturer/Model Number

Anchor Darling/Drawing Nos. 95-11778 and 95-11779.

## USNRC-DS

# 1987 OCT 19 A 10: 0-2

VIRGINIA ELECTRIC AND POWER COMPANY

Surry Power Station P. O. Box 315 Surry, Virginia 23883

October 12, 1987

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk 016 Phillips Building Washington, D.C. 20555 Serial No.: 87-013A Docket No.: 50-280 Licensee No.: DPR-32

#### Gentlemen:

Pursuant to Surry Power Station Technical Specifications, Virginia Electric and Power Company hereby submits the following updated Licensee Event Report for Surry Unit 1.

## REPORT' NUMBER

87-011-01

This report has been reviewed by the Station Nuclear Safety and Operating Committee and will be reviewed by Safety Evaluation and Control.

Very truly yours,

Jan L Mll for David L. Benson Station Manager

Enclosure

cc: Dr. J. Nelson Grace
Regional Administrator
Suite 2900
101 Marietta Street, NW
Atlanta, Georgia 30323

1827