

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION RELATED TO AMENDMENT NO. 103 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-32

AND AMENDMENT NO. 103 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-37

VIRGINIA ELECTRIC AND POWER COMPANY

SURRY POWER STATION, UNIT NOS. 1 AND 2

DOCKET NOS. 50-280 AND 50-281

Introduction

By letter dated July 12, 1985, Virginia Electric and Power Company (the licensee) requested amendments to License Nos. DPR-32 and DPR-37 for the Surry Power Station, Unit Nos. 1 and 2 respectively. The proposed change would revise Technical Specification Table 4.1-1 to delete the surveillance requirements for the boron injection tank level instruments.

Discussion and Evaluation

Amendment Nos. 95 and 94 (dated February 24, 1984) to License Nos. DPR-32 and DPR-37 removed the surveillance requirements for the boron injection tank level instruments in Table 4.1 of the Technical Specifications (TS). Due to an administrative error, these same surveillance requirements were reinstated in TS Table 4.1-1 of Amendment Nos. 97 and 96 (dated June 19, 1984).

We have reviewed the licensee's July 12, 1985 submittal and conclude that the said surveillance requirements were erroneously reinstated, and find the change to TS Table 4.1-1 as submitted by the licensee to be acceptable.

Environmental Consideration

These amendments involve a change in the installation or use of the facilities components located within the restricted areas as defined in 10 CFR 20 and in surveillance requirements. The staff has determined that these amendments involve no significant increase in the amounts, and no significant change in the types, of any effluents that may be released offsite and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The Commission has previously issued a proposed finding that these amendments involve no significant hazards consideration and there has been no public comment on such finding. Accordingly, these amendments

meet the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR Sec 51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b) no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of these amendments.

Conclusion

We have concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, and (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations and the issuance of these amendments will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Dated: December 3, 1985

Principal Contributor:

T. Chan