

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

<b>As of:</b> 5/22/18 8:59 AM <b>Received:</b> May 18, 2018 <b>Status:</b> Pending_Post <b>Tracking No.</b> 1k2-937v-7eel <b>Comments Due:</b> June 05, 2018 <b>Submission Type:</b> Web
---

**Docket:** NRC-2018-0017  
Storing Spent Nuclear Fuel Waste

**Comment On:** NRC-2018-0017-0003  
Requirements for the Indefinite Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel

**Document:** NRC-2018-0017-DRAFT-0019  
Comment on FR Doc # 2018-05776

---

## Submitter Information

**Name:** Elizabeth Myers-Chamberlin

---

## General Comment

I support the Helms proposal. It is a common sense strategy for nuclear spent fuel storage. As a grandmother of three children, living in Carlsbad, CA, within the 50 mile radius of San Onofre, I am acutely aware of the the danger of nuclear disaster. With nuclear waste being stored of all places 102 feet from the shoreline of the Pacific Ocean - right on a major earthquake fault - that scientists tell us is "overdue" for "a big one, Southern California Edison, the Coastal Commission, and the NRC is playing Russian Roulette with millions of lives, and the habitability of the entire region. Due to these extremely dangerous conditions, San Onofre should have first priority in moving its nuclear waste according to the HELMS guidelines. I urge the decision-makers to take immediate action to approve the Helms Proposal, and move ahead with this strategy as soon as possible.