

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

September 26, 1984

50-338 339

Mr. W. L. Stewart, Vice President Virginia Electric and Power Company Richmond, Virginia 23261

Dear Mr. Stewart:

Subject: Acceptance for Referencing of Licensing Topical Report VEP-NFE-2 (P/NP), "Vepco Evaluation of the Control Rod Ejection Transient"

We have completed our review of the subject topical report submitted November 23, 1983 by Virginia Electric and Power Company letter No. 657. We find that this report describes an acceptable method for analyzing the control rod ejection accident in Vepco's Surry and Horth Anna reactors and this method may be referenced in future Vepco license applications to the extent specified and under the limitations delineated in the report and the associated NRC evaluation, which is enclosed. The evaluation defines the bases for acceptance of the report.

We do not intend to repeat our review of the matters described in the report and found acceptable when the report appears as a reference in license applications, except to assure that the material presented is applicable to the specific plant involved. Our acceptance applies only to the matters described in the report.

In accordance with procedures established in NUREG-0390, it is requested that Vepco publish accepted versions of this report, proprietary and non-proprietary, within three months of receipt of this letter. The accepted versions shall incorporate this letter and the enclosed evaluation between the title page and the abstract. The accepted versions shall include an -A (designating accepted) following the report identification symbol.

Should our criteria or regulations change such that our conclusions as to the acceptability of the report are invalidated, Vepco will be expected to revise

and resubmit the respective documentation, or submit justification for the continued effective applicability of the topical report without revision of the respective documentation.

Sincerely,

Original signed by Cecil O. Thomas, Chief Standardization and Special Projects Branch Division of Licensing

Enclosure: As stated

DISTRIBUTION: Central File NRC PDR DCS SSPB R/F D. Moran R. Diggs L. Kopp

Signal Distrantis 2/84

SSPBYAY HBerkow 9/25/84 CPB // LKopp 9/26/84

SSPR:DL CInomas 9/25/84



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555 September 26, 1984

Mr. W. L. Stewart, Vice President Virginia Electric and Power Company Richmond, Virginia 23261

Dear Mr. Stewart:

Subject: Acceptance for Referencing of Licensing Topical Report VEP-NFE-2

(P/NP), "Vepco Evaluation of the Control Rod Ejection Transient"

We have completed our review of the subject topical report submitted November 23, 1983 by Virginia Electric and Power Company letter No. 657. We find that this report describes an acceptable method for analyzing the control rod ejection accident in Vepco's Surry and North Anna reactors and this method may be referenced in future Vepco license applications to the extent specified and under the limitations delineated in the report and the associated NRC evaluation, which is enclosed. The evaluation defines the bases for acceptance of the report.

We do not intend to repeat our review of the matters described in the report and found acceptable when the report appears as a reference in license applications, except to assure that the material presented is applicable to the specific plant involved. Our acceptance applies only to the matters described in the report.

In accordance with procedures established in NUREG-0390, it is requested that Vepco publish accepted versions of this report, proprietary and non-proprietary, within three months of receipt of this letter. The accepted versions shall incorporate this letter and the enclosed evaluation between the title page and the abstract. The accepted versions shall include an -A (designating accepted) following the report identification symbol.

Should our criteria or regulations change such that our conclusions as to the acceptability of the report are invalidated, Vepco will be expected to revise

Mr. W. L. Stewart

-2-

and resubmit the respective documentation, or submit justification for the continued effective applicability of the topical report without revision of the respective documentation.

Sincerely,

Ceil O. Ohomas

Standardization and Special Projects Branch Division of Licensing

Enclosure: As stated