

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT

CONTROL BLOCK: _____ (1) (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)

0 1 | V A S P S 2 | 2 | - 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 - 0 0 | 3 | 4 1 1 1 1 | 4 | _____ | 5 |
7 8 9 14 15 25 26 30 37 CAT 38

CONT
0 1 | REPORT SOURCE | L | 6 | 0 5 0 0 0 2 8 1 | 7 | 0 1 0 6 8 1 | 8 | 0 1 3 0 8 1 | 9 |
7 8 60 61 68 69 74 75 80

EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES (10)

0 2 | With Unit 2 operating steady state at 100% power, RM-CW-101,102; RM-VG-103, 104;
0 3 | RM-SW-107 and RM-LW-108 liquid waste rad monitors failed low. This is contrary to T.S.
0 4 | 4.9.C and is reportable as per T.S.6.6.2.b(4). Abnormal procedures were initiated
0 5 | thereby terminating the releases in progress. Therefore the health and safety of the
0 6 | public were not affected.
0 7 |
0 8 |

0 9 | SYSTEM CODE | M C | 11 | CAUSE CODE | E | 12 | CAUSE SUBCODE | A | 13 | COMPONENT CODE | C K T B R K | 14 | COMP. SUBCODE | C | 15 | VALVE SUBCODE | Z | 16 |
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 18 19 20
17 | LER/RO REPORT NUMBER | 8 1 | EVENT YEAR | 8 1 | SEQUENTIAL REPORT NO. | 0 0 3 | OCCURRENCE CODE | 0 3 | REPORT TYPE | L | REVISION NO. | 0 |
21 22 23 24 26 27 28 29 30 31 32
ACTION TAKEN | A | 18 | FUTURE ACTION | Z | 19 | EFFECT ON PLANT | Z | 20 | SHUTDOWN METHOD | Z | 21 | HOURS | 0 0 0 0 | 22 | ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED | Y | 23 | NRPD-4 FORM SUB. | N | 24 | PRIME COMP. SUPPLIER | A | 25 | COMPONENT MANUFACTURER | S I 2 6 | 26 |
33 34 35 36 37 40 41 42 43 44 47

CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (27)

1 0 | A failed fuse was determined to have been the cause of loss of power to these radia-
1 1 | tion monitors. Appropriate abnormal procedures were performed as required while the
1 2 | fuse was replaced.
1 3 |
1 4 |

1 5 | FACILITY STATUS | E | 28 | % POWER | 1 0 0 | 29 | OTHER STATUS | N/A | 30 | METHOD OF DISCOVERY | A | 31 | DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION | Operator Observation | 32 |
7 8 9 10 12 13 44 45 46 80

1 6 | ACTIVITY CONTENT | Z | 33 | Z | 34 | AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY | N/A | 35 | LOCATION OF RELEASE | N/A | 36 |
7 8 9 10 44 45 80

1 7 | PERSONNEL EXPOSURES | NUMBER | 0 0 0 | 37 | TYPE | Z | 38 | DESCRIPTION | N/A | 39 |
7 8 9 11 12 13 30

1 8 | PERSONNEL INJURIES | NUMBER | 0 0 0 | 40 | DESCRIPTION | N/A | 41 |
7 8 9 11 12 30

1 9 | LOSS OF OR DAMAGE TO FACILITY | TYPE | Z | 42 | DESCRIPTION | N/A | 43 |
7 8 9 10 30

2 0 | PUBLICITY ISSUED | N | 44 | DESCRIPTION | N/A | 45 | NRC USE ONLY
7 8 9 10 58 59 80

NAME OF PREPARER J. L. Wilson

PHONE: (804) 357-3184 EXT. 210

8102050579

ATTACHMENT 1
SURRY POWER STATION, UNIT NO. 2
DOCKET NO: 50-281
REPORT NO: 81-003/03L-0
EVENT DATE: 01-06-81

TITLE OF REPORT RADIATION MONITORS FAILURE

1. DESCRIPTION OF EVENT:

With Unit Two operating steady state at 100% power, RM-GW-101, 102 (process Vent Radiation Monitors); RM-VG-103, 104 (vent vent radiation monitors); RM-SW-107 (component cooling heat exchanger service water failed low. This is contrary to T.S.4.9.C and is reportable as per T.S.6.6.2.b(4).

2. PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES AND STATUS OF REDUNDANT EQUIPMENT:

An undetected failure of the radiation monitors could have resulted in an unmonitored release to the environment. No releases were in progress except the normal vent-vent and Process vent operation. Applicable abnormal procedures were initiated immediately, thereby terminating the releases in progress within a short time of the monitor failure. In addition, all releases were within Technical Specification Limits. Therefore, the health and safety of the public were not affected.

3. CAUSE:

The monitor failures were caused by a loss of power due to a failed fuse. The failure of the fuse appears to be end of normal life.

4. IMMEDIATE CORRECTIVE ACTION:

The failed fuse was replaced.

5. SUBSEQUENT CORRECTIVE ACTION:

The radiation monitors were verified operable.

6. ACTIONS TAKEN TO PREVENT RECURRENCE:

Since procedures are available to cope with this type of failure, no additional action is deemed necessary.

7. GENERIC IMPLICATION:

None.