## VIRGINIA ELECTRIC AND POWER COMPANY

RICHMOND, VIRGINIA 23261

December 26, 1980

Mr. Harold R. Denton, Director
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Attn: Mr. Steven A. Varga, Chief
Operating Reactors Branch No. 1
Division of Licensing
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555

Serial No. 999 NO/LEN:ms Docket Nos. 50-338 50-280 50-281 License Nos. NPF-4 DPR-32 DPR-37

2

Dear Mr. Denton:

### AUXILIARY FEEDWATER SYSTEM REQUIREMENTS SURRY UNITS 1 & 2 AND NORTH ANNA UNIT 1

We have reviewed your letter of September 25, 1979 that requests information concerning Surry auxiliary feedwater system design basis information and pump flow verification. Our response to these items is provided in Attachment 1. We believe that the attached response adequately addresses the NRC concerns for Surry Units 1 and 2.

On October 6, 1980, Vepco responded to the request for design basis information and pump flow verification for North Anna Unit 1. In that response the NRC was informed of a discrepancy discovered during the North Anna Unit 1 review. Previous to our response, LER 80-061/0IT-0 was issued explaining the discrepancy found in the pump flow calculations. In verifying auxiliary feed pump 1-FW-P-3B flow through calculations, it was estimated that the pump would deliver 335 gpm to the steam generator instead of the design basis of 340 gpm. This is to document that pump tests have since been performed and have verified 340 gpm are delivered to the steam generator.

Should you have any questions or require additional information, please contact us.

Very truly yours,

B. R. Sylvia Manager - Nuclear Operations and Maintenance

A001 \$ 1.

Attachment

cc: Mr. Robert A. Clark, Chief Operating Reactors Branch No. 3 Division of Licensing

8012300 585

ATTACHMENT 1

.

ſ

י. ש

# AUXILIARY FEEDWATER SYSTEM DESIGN BASIS

SURRY UNITS 1 & 2

#### Question 1

- a. Identify the plant transient and accident conditions considered in establishing AFWS flow requirements, including the following events:
  - 1) Loss of Main Feed (LMFW)
  - 2) LMFW w/loss of offsite AC power
  - 3) LMFW w/loss of onsite and offsite AC power
  - 4) Plant cooldown
  - 5) Turbine trip with and without bypass
  - 6) Main steam isolation value closure
  - 7) Main feed line break
  - 8) Main steam line break
  - 9) Small break LOCA
  - 10) Other transient or accident conditions not listed above.
- b. Describe the plant protection acceptance criteria and corresponding technical bases used for each initiating event identified above. The acceptance criteria should address plant limits such as:
  - 1) Maximum RCS pressure (PORV or safety valve actuation)
  - Fuel temperature or damage limits (DNB, PCT, maximum fuel central temperature)
  - 3) RCS cooling rate limit to avoid excessive coolant shrinkage
  - 4) Minimum steam generator level to assure sufficient steam gen erator heat transfer surface to remove decay heat and/or cool
  - down the primary system.

#### Response to 1.a

Ł

The Auxiliary Feedwater System serves as a backup system for supplying feedwater to the secondary side of the steam generators at times when the feedwater system is not available, thereby maintaining the heat sink capabilities of the steam generator. As an Engineered Safeguards System, the Auxiliary Feedwater System is directly relied upon to prevent core damage and system overpressurization in the event of transients such as a loss of normal feedwater or a secondary system pipe rupture, and to provide a means for plant cooldown following any plant transient.

Following a reactor trip, decay heat is dissipated by evaporating water in the steam generators and venting the generated steam either to the condensers through the steam dump or to the atmosphere through the steam generator safety valves or the power-operated relief valves. Steam generator water inventory must be maintained at a level sufficient to ensure adequate heat transfer and continuation of the decay heat removal process. The water level is maintained under these circumstances by the Auxiliary Feedwater System which delivers an emergency water supply to the steam generators. The Auxiliary Feedwater System must be capable of functioning for extended periods, allowing time either to restore normal feedwater flow or to proceed with an orderly cooldown of the plant to the reactor coolant temperature where the Residual Heat Removal System can assume the burden of decay heat removal. The Auxiliary Feedwater

System flow and the emergency water supply capacity must be sufficient to remove core decay heat, reactor coolant pump heat, and sensible heat during the plant cooldown.

# DESIGN CONDITIONS

1

ł

ŧ

The reactor plant conditions which impose safety-related performance requirements on the design of the Auxiliary Feedwater System are as follows for the Surry Units 1 and 2 plants.

- Loss of Main Feedwater Transient
  - Loss of main feedwater with offsite power available
  - Station blackout (i.e., loss of main feedwater without offsite power available)
- Rupture of a Main Steam Line
- Loss of all AC Power
- Loss of Coolant Accident (LOCA)
- Cooldown

### Loss of Main Feedwater Transients

The design loss of main feedwater transients are those caused by:

- Interruptions of the Main Feedwater System flow due to a malfunction in the feedwater or condensate system
- Loss of offsite power or blackout with the consequential shutdown of the system pumps, auxiliaries, and controls

Loss of main feedwater transients are characterized by a rapid reduction in steam generator water levels which results in a reactor trip, a turbine trip, and auxiliary feedwater actuation by the protection system logic. Following reactor trip from a high initial power level, the power quickly falls to decay heat levels. The water levels continue to decrease, progressively uncovering the steam generator tubes as decay heat is transferred and discharged in the form of steam either through the steam dump valves to the condenser or through the steam generator safety or power-operated relief valves to the atmosphere. The reactor coolant temperature increases as the residual heat in excess of that dissipated through the steam generators is absorbed. With increased temperature, the volume of reactor coolant expands and begins filling the pressurizer. Without the addition of sufficient auxiliary feedwater, further expansion will result in water being discharged through the pressurizer safety and/or relief valves. If the temperature rise and the resulting

volumetric expansion of the primary coolant are permitted to continue. then (1) pressurizer safety valve capacities may be exceeded causing overpressurization of the Reactor Coolant System and/or (2) the continuing loss of fluid from the primary coolant system may result in bulk boiling in the Reactor Coolant System and eventually in core uncovering, loss of natural circulation, and core damage. If such a situation were ever to occur, the Emergency Core Cooling System would be ineffectual because the primary coolant system pressure exceeds the shutoff head of the safety injection pumps, the nitrogen over-pressure in the accumulator tanks, and the design pressure of the Residual Heat Removal Loop. Hence, the timely introduction of sufficient auxiliary feedwater is necessary to arrest the decrease in the steam generator water levels, to reverse the rise in reactor coolant temperature, to prevent the pressurizer from filling to a water solid condition, and eventually to establish stable hot standby conditions. Subsequently, a decision may be made to proceed with plant cooldown if the problem cannot be satisfactorily corrected.

The blackout transient differs from a simple loss of main feedwater in that emergency power sources must be relied upon to operate vital equipment. The loss of power to the electric driven condenser circulating water pumps results in a loss of condenser vacuum and condenser dump valves. Hence, steam formed by decay heat is relieved through the steam generator safety valves or the power-operated relief valves. The calculated transient is similar for both the loss of main feedwater and the blackout, except that reactor coolant pump heat input is not a consideration in the blackout transient following loss of power to the reactor coolant pump bus.

#### Rupture of a Main Steam Line

Because the rupture of a main steam line may result in the complete blowdown of one steam generator, a partial loss of the plant heat sink is a concern. Main steamline rupture accident conditions are characterized initially by plant cooldown and, hence auxiliary feedwater is not needed during the early phase of the transient to remove decay heat from the Reactor Coolant System. Thus, steamline rupture conditions establish the upper limit on auxiliary feedwater flow delivered to a faulted loop. Eventually, however, the Reactor Coolant System will heat up again and auxiliary feedwater flow will be required to be delivered to the unfaulted loop, but at somewhat lower rates than for the loss of feedwater transients described previously. Provisions must be made in the design of the Auxiliary Feedwater System to allow limitation, control, or termination of the auxiliary feedwater flow to the faulted loop as necessary in order to prevent containment overpressurization following a steamline break inside containment, and to ensure the minimum flow to the remaining unfaulted loops.

٤.

1 1

### Loss of All AC Power

The loss of all AC power is postulated as resulting from accident conditions wherein not only onsite and offsite AC power is lost but also AC emergency power is lost as an assumed common mode failure. Battery power for operation of protection circuits is assumed available. The impact on the Auxiliary Feedwater System is the necessity for providing both an auxiliary feedwater pump power and control source which are not dependent on AC power and which are capable of maintaining the plant at hot shutdown until AC power is restored.

#### Loss-of-Coolant Accident (LOCA)

The loss of coolant accidents do not impose on the auxiliary feedwater system any flow requirements in addition to those required by the other accidents addressed in this response. The following description of the small LOCA is provided here for the sake of completeness to explain the role of the auxiliary feedwater system in this transient.

Small LOCA's are characterized by relatively slow rates of decrease in reactor coolant system pressure and liquid volume. The principal contribution from the Auxiliary Feedwater System following such small LOCAs is basically the same as the system's function during hot shutdown or following spurious safety injection signal which trips the reactor. Maintaining a water level inventory in the secondary side of the steam generators provides a heat sink for removing decay heat and establishes the capability for providing a buoyancy head for natural circulation. The auxiliary feedwater system may be utilized to assist in a system cooldown and depressurization following a small LOCA while bringing the reactor to a cold shutdown condition.

### Cooldown

Ł

į

{

The cooldown function performed by the Auxiliary Feedwater System is a partial one since the reactor coolant system is reduced from normal zero load temperatures to a hot leg temperature of approximately 350°F. The latter is the maximum temperature recommended for placing the Residual Heat Removal System (RHRS) into service. The RHR system completes the cooldown to cold shutdown conditions.

Cooldown may be required following expected transients, following an accident such as a main feedline break, or during a normal cooldown prior to refueling or performing reactor plant maintenance. If the reactor is tripped following extended operation at rated power level, the AFWS is capable of delivering sufficient AFW to remove decay heat and reactor coolant pump (RCP) heat following reactor trip while maintaining the steam generator (SG) water level. Following transients or accidents, the recommended cooldown rate is consistent with expected needs and at the same time does not impose additional requirements on the capacities of the auxiliary feedwater pumps, considering a single failure. In any event, the process consists of being able to dissipate plant sensible heat in addition to the decay heat produced by the reactor core.

# Response to 1.b

Table 1B-1 summarizes the criteria which are the general design bases for each event, discussed in the response to Question 1.a, above. Specific assumptions used in the analyses to verify that the design bases are met are discussed in response to Question 2.

The primary function of the Auxiliary Feedwater System is to provide sufficient heat removal capability for heatup accidents following reactor trip to remove the decay heat generated by the core and prevent system overpressurization. Other plant protection systems are designed to meet short term or pre-trip fuel failure criteria. The effects of excessive coolant shrinkage are bounded by the analysis of the rupture of a main steam pipe transient. The maximum flow requirements determined by other bases are incorporated into this analysis, resulting in no additional flow requirements.

# TABLE 1B-1

. .

# Criteria for Auxiliary Feedwater System Design Basis Conditions

Condition Additional Design or Classification\* Criteria\* Transfent Criteria Condition II Peak RCS pressure not to Loss of Main Feedwater Pressurizer does not become exceed design pressure. No water solid. consequential fuel failures Condition II Station Blackout (same as LMFW) Pressurizer does not become water solid. Condition IV Steamline Rupture 10 CFR 100 dose limits Containment design pressure not exceeded N/A Loss of all A/C Power Note 1 Same as blackout assuming turbine driven pump Loss of Coolant Condition III 10 CFR 100 dose limits 10 CFR 50 PCT limits Condition IV 10 CFR 100 dose limits 10 CFR 50 PCT limits Cooldown 100°F/hr N/A 547°F to 350°F

\*Ref: ANSI N18.2 (This information provided for those transients performed in the FSAR).

Note 1 Although this transient establishes the basis for AFW pump powered by a diverse power source, this is not evaluated relative to typical criteria since multiple failures must be assumed to postulate this transient.

5741A

### Question 2

£

Describe the analyses and assumptions and corresponding technical justification used with plant condition considered in 1.a above including:

- a. Maximum reactor power (including instrument error allowance) at the time of the initiating transient or accident.
- b. Time delay from initiating event to reactor trip.
- c. Plant parameter(s) which initiates AFWS flow and time delay between initiating event and introduction of AFWS flow into steam generator(s).
- d. Minimum steam generator water level when initiating event occurs.
- e. Initial steam generator water inventory and depletion rate before and after AFWS flow commences -- identify reactor decay heat rate used.
- f. Maximum pressure at which steam is released from steam generator(s) and against which the AFW pump must develop sufficient head.
- g. Minimum number of steam generators that must receive AFW flow; e.g., 1 out of 2? 2 out of 4?
- h. RC flow condition -- continued operation of RC pumps or natural circulation.
- i. Maximum AFW inlet temperature.
- j. Following a postulated steam or feed line break, time delay assumed to isolate break and direct AFW flow to intact steam generator(s). AFW pump flow capacity allowance to accommodate the time delay and maintain minimum steam generator water level. Also identify credit taken for primary system heat removel due to blowdown.
- k. Volume and maximum temperature of water in main feed lines between steam generator(s) and AFWS connection to main feed line.
- 1. Operating condition of steam generator normal blowdown following initiating event.
- m. Primary and secondary system water and metal sensible heat used for cooldown and AFW flow sizing.
- n. Time at hot standby and time to cooldown RCS to RHR system cut in temperature to size AFW water source inventory.

#### Response to 2

Analyses have been performed for the loss of main feedwater and the loss of offsite AC power to the station, the transients which define the AFWS performance requirements. These analyses have been provided for review and have been approved in the FSAR.

In addition to the above analyses, calculations have been performed specifically for the Surry Units 1 and 2 plants to determine the plant cooldown flow (storage capacity) requirements. The LOCA analysis, as discussed in response 1.b, incorporates the system flows requirements as defined by other transients, and therefore is not performed for the purpose of specifying AFWS flow requirements. Each of the analyses mentioned above are explained in further detail in the following sections of this response.

#### Loss of Main Feedwater (Blackout)

A loss of feedwater, assuming a loss of power to the reactor coolant pumps, was performed in FSAR Section 14.2.11 for the purpose of showing that for a station blackout transient, the peak RCS pressure remains below the criterion for Condition II transients and no fuel failures occur (refer to Table 1B-1). Table 2-1 summarizes the assumptions used in this analysis. The transient analysis assumes 10 seconds of steady state conditions prior to the loss of normal feedwater. The time from the loss of feedwater until the reactor trip occurs is approximately 60 seconds with a 2 second delay assumed between the receipt of the low-low steam generator level signal and the beginning of rod motion. The analysis assumes that the plant is initially operating at 102% (calorimetric error) of the Engineered Safeguards design (ESD) rating shown on the table, a very conservative assumption in defining decay heat and stored energy in the RCS. The reactor is assumed to be tripped on low-low steam generator level, allowing for level uncertainty. The FSAR shows that there is a considerable margin with respect to filling the pressurizer.

This analysis establishes the minimum auxiliary feedwater flow requirement following transients which result in a primary system heatup. It also establishes train association of equipment so that this analysis remains valid assuming the most limiting single active failure.

#### Plant Cooldown

Minimum flow requirements from the previously discussed transients meet the flow requirements of plant cooldown. This operation, however, defines the basis for tankage size, based on the required cooldown duration, maximum decay heat input and maximum stored heat in the system. As previously discussed in response 1A, the auxiliary feedwater system partially cools the system to the point where the RHRS may complete the cooldown, i.e., 350°F in the RCS. Table 2-1 shows the assumptions used to determine the cooldown heat capacity of the auxiliary feedwater system.

Ĺ



The cooldown is assumed to commence at the maximum rated power, and maximum trip delays and decay heat source terms are assumed when the reactor is tripped. Primary metal, primary water, secondary system metal and secondary system water are all included in the stored heat to be removed by the AFWS. See Table 2-2 for the items constituting the sensible heat stored in the NSSS.

This operation is analyzed to establish minimum tank size requirements for auxiliary feedwater fluid source which are normally aligned.

1

ł

# TABLE 2-1

# Summary of Assumptions Used in AFWS Design Verification Analyses

	Transient	Loss of Feedwater (station blackout)	<u>Cooldown</u>
a.	Max reactor power	102% of ESD rating (102% of 2559 MWt)	2488 MWt
b.	Time delay from event to Rx trip	2 sec (delay after trip signal is reached to the beginning of rod motion)	2 sec
c.	AFWS actuation sig- nal/time delay for AFWS flow	lo-lo SG level 1 minute	NA
d.	SG water level at time of reactor trip	(lo-lo SG level) O% NR span	NA
e.	Initial SG inventory	53,700 1bm/SG (at trip)	109,600 lbm/SG @ 516.1ºF
	Rate of change before & after AFWS actuation	N/A	N/A
	decay heat	See FSAR Figure 14.5.2.1-1	
f.	AFW pump design pressure	1133 psia	1133 psia
g.	Minimum # of SGs which must receive AFW flow	2 of 3	N/A
·h.	RC pump status	Tripped @ reactor trip	Tripped
1.	Maximum AFW temperature	1200F	100°F
j.	Operator action	none	N/A
k.	MFW purge volume/temp.	142.7 ft3/441.0F	265 ft <sup>3</sup> total/ 436.4°F
1.	Normal blowdown	none assumed	none assumed

**66**85A

ł

i.

ť

# TABLE 2-1 (Cont)

# Summary of Assumptions Used in AFWS Design Verification Analyses

	Transient	Loss of Feedwater (station blackout)	<u>Cooldown</u>
m.	Sensible heat	see cooldown	Table 2-2
n.	Time at standby/time to cooldown to RHR	2 hr/6 hr	2 hr/6 hr
٥.	AFW flow rate	500 gpm - constant (minimum requirement)	variable

4

ą

l.

!(

## TABLE 2-2

#### Summary of Sensible Heat Sources

Primary Water Sources (initially at rated power temperature and inventory)
 - RCS fluid

- Pressurizer fluid (liquid and vapor)

Primary Mctal Sources (initially at rated power temperature)

- Reactor coolant piping, pumps and reactor vessel

- Pressurizer

- Steam generator tube metal and tube sheet

- Steam generator metal below tube sheet

- Reactor vessel internals

Secondary Water Sources (initially at rated power temperature and inventory)

- Steam generator fluid (liquid and vapor)

- Main feedwater purge fluid between steam generator and AFWS piping.

Secondary Metal Sources (initially at rated power temperature) - All steam generator metal above tube sheet, excluding tubes.

ţ

#### Question 3

Verify the AFW pumps in your plant will supply the necessary flow to the steam generator(s) as determined by items 1 and 2 above considering a single failure. Identify the margin in sizing the pump flow to allow for pump recirculation flow, seal leakage and pump wear.

#### Response to 3

Based upon the review of the flow requirements of Table 2-1, the station blackout with a loss of all AC power was selected as the most limiting condition.

The parameters used are as follows:

1. Steam Generator Pressure 1135 psig

2. Flow Rate to:

B	Steam	Generator Generator Generator	259 249 240	gpm
Total 7			748	gpm

The required recirculation flow for the turbine driven pump is 35 gpm. Seal leakage and pump wear are negligible. As can be seen on Table 2-1, the AFW flow rate required to the steam generators is 500 gpm; therefore, the head margin available is 248 gpm. This value takes into consideration pressure drops between the pump and the steam generator. Therefore, the head margin available is adequate for pump recirculation flow, seal leakage and pump wear.