

REC.

NOV 19 1980

VIRGINIA ELECTRIC AND POWER COMPANY  
RICHMOND, VIRGINIA 23261

November 17, 1980

Mr. James P. O'Reilly, Director  
Office of Inspection and Enforcement  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Region II  
101 Marietta Street, Suite 3100  
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Serial No. 875  
NO/RMT:ms  
Docket Nos. 50-280  
50-281  
License Nos. DPR-32  
DPR-37

Dear Mr. O'Reilly:

We have reviewed your letter of October 17, 1980 in reference to the inspection conducted at Surry Power Station on September 9 and 10, 1980 and reported in IE Inspection Report Nos. 50-280/80-35 and 50-281/80-38. Our responses to the specific infractions are attached.

We have determined that no proprietary information is contained in the reports. Accordingly, the Virginia Electric and Power Company has no objection to these inspection reports being made a matter of public disclosure.

Very truly yours,



B. R. Sylvia  
Manager - Nuclear  
Operations and Maintenance

Attachment

cc: Mr. Steven A. Varga, Chief  
Operating Reactors Branch No. 1  
Division of Licensing

8012170 021

NRC COMMENT

As required by Criterion XVII of Appendix B to 10 CFR 50 and implemented by VEPCO Topical Report VEP-1-A Section 17 paragraph 17.2.17, states in part that Quality Assurance records relating to...documentary evidence of ...activities affecting quality...include monitoring of work performance, and maintained and are retrievable.

Contrary to the above on September 10, 1980, there was no documentary evidence to verify that VEPCO's NDT group had monitored vendor work performed relative to IE Bulletin 79-17 NDE inspections.

This is a deficiency.

VEPCO RESPONSE

1. Corrective action taken and results achieved:

The work, when performed, was in fact monitored by NDT personnel attached to the station and a memorandum to that effect has been placed in station records. Further review of the circumstances revealed that an approved station procedure exists for this monitoring effort but had been overlooked in this circumstance.

2. Corrective steps taken to avoid further non-compliance:

The approved procedure has been reviewed with all members of the station NDT staff and will be used in the future.

3. Date when full compliance will be achieved:

Full compliance has been achieved.

SURRY POWER STATION  
RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF VIOLATION  
APPENDED TO INSPECTION REPORTS  
50-280/80-35 AND 50-281/80-38

NRC COMMENT

As required by Criterion V of Appendix B to 10 CFR 50 and implemented by VEPCO Topical Report VEP-1-A Section 17 paragraph 17.2.5, states in part that...procedures shall include appropriate quantitative acceptance criteria for determining that important activities have been satisfactorily accomplished.

Contrary to the above on September 10, 1980, NDE-15.1 "Visual Examination of Nuclear Components did not contain specific acceptance criteria for initial inspection of welds fabricated to applicable construction codes.

This is an infraction.

VEPCO RESPONSE

1. Corrective action taken and results achieved:

VEPCO has approved, for use, a new procedure, NDT 15.2 (Visual Inspection of Welds) which provides inspection procedures specific to weldments.

2. Corrective steps to avoid further non-compliance:

Weld inspection will be conducted by the new procedure.

3. Date when full compliance will be achieved:

Full compliance has been achieved.