

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT

CONTROL BLOCK: _____ (1) (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)

01 | V | A | S | P | S | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | _____ | 5
 7 E 9 LICENSEE CODE 14 15 LICENSE NUMBER 25 26 LICENSE TYPE 30 57 CAT 58

CON'T
 01 | REPORT SOURCE | L | 6 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 9
 7 E 60 61 DOCKET NUMBER 66 69 EVENT DATE 74 75 REPORT DATE 80

EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES (10)

02 | With the reactor at 100% Power and the redundant Low Head Safety Injection
 03 | Pump out of service for maintenance, the operator failed to retest the
 04 | operable pump, 2-SI-P-1a, within the time specified in Technical Specifi-
 05 | cation 3.3.B(3). This is reportable in accordance with Technical Specifi-
 06 | cation 6.6.2.6(3). The pump was capable of starting and performing it's
 07 | designed function as shown by subsequent testing, therefore the health and
 08 | safety of the public were not affected.
 7 E 9 80

09 | SYSTEM CODE | S | F | 11 | CAUSE CODE | A | 12 | CAUSE SUBCODE | A | 13 | COMPONENT CODE | P | U | M | P | X | X | 14 | COMP. SUBCODE | B | 15 | VALVE SUBCODE | Z | 16
 7 E 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16
 17 | LER-RO REPORT NUMBER | 8 | 0 | 21 | 22 | SEQUENTIAL REPORT NO | 0 | 2 | 6 | 24 | 26 | OCCURRENCE CODE | 0 | 3 | 28 | 29 | REPORT TYPE | T | 30 | REVISION NO. | 0 | 32

ACTION TAKEN | FUTURE ACTION | EFFECT ON PLANT | SHUTDOWN METHOD | HOURS | ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED | NFRD-4 FORM SUB. | PRIME COMP. SUPPLIER | COMPONENT MANUFACTURER
 Y | Z | Z | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Y | N | A | B | 5 | 8 | 0 | 26

CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (27)

10 | The operator, when reviewing the Technical Specification Limitations at
 11 | Shift turnover, failed to note the requirement for increased testing
 12 | frequency for the operable pump. The immediate corrective action was to
 13 | demonstrate operability using Periodic Test 18.1.
 7 E 9 80

15 | FACILITY STATUS | E | 28 | % POWER | 1 | 0 | 0 | 29 | OTHER STATUS | N/A | 30 | METHOD OF DISCOVERY | A | 31 | DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION | Operator Observation | 32
 7 E 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32

16 | ACTIVITY CONTENT | Z | 33 | Z | 34 | RELEASED OF RELEASE | AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY | N/A | 35 | LOCATION OF RELEASE | N/A | 36
 7 E 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32

17 | PERSONNEL EXPOSURES | NUMBER | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | TYPE | Z | 38 | DESCRIPTION | N/A | 39
 7 E 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32

18 | PERSONNEL INJURIES | NUMBER | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | DESCRIPTION | N/A | 41
 7 E 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32

19 | LOSS OF OR DAMAGE TO FACILITY | TYPE | Z | 42 | DESCRIPTION | N/A | 43
 7 E 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32

20 | PUBLICITY ISSUED | N | 44 | DESCRIPTION | N/A | 45
 7 E 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32

NAME OF PREPARER: J. L. Wilson PHONE: (804) 357-3184

8011040 586

ATTACHMENT 1
SURRY POWER STATION, UNIT 2
DOCKET NO: 50-281
REPORT NO: 80-026/03L-0
EVENT DATE: 10-05-80

TITLE OF REPORT: FAILURE TO CONFIRM OPERABILITY OF SAFETY INJECTION PUMP

1. Description of Event:

Prior to tagging out the 'B' Low Head Safety Injection Pump for mechanical maintenance, the redundant pump was demonstrated operable as required by the technical specification 3.3.B.3. The on coming shift reviewed the minimum equipment list and the technical specification and failed to note the requirement for demonstrating operability of the redundant pump at eight hour intervals. The error was discovered and the Periodic Test initiated approximately 8 hours and 40 minutes after the 'B' pump was tagged out. This contrary to Technical Specification 3.3.B.3 and reparable per Technical Specification 6.6.2.b.(3).

2. Probable Consequences and Status of Redundant Equipment:

The redundant pump, 2-SI-P-1B, was out of service for maintenance.

Although the pump was not demonstrated operable at the specified time, the pump was capable of performing it's designed function as shown by the subsequent testing. The health and safety of the public were not affected.

3. Cause:

The operator, when reviewing the Tech. Spec. limitations, did not note the requirement for demonstrating operability of this pump.

4. Immediate Corrective Action:

Initiated PT 18.1 to prove operability of 2-SI-P-1A

5. Subsequent Corrective Action:

None required

6. Action Taken to Prevent Recurrence:

All license personnel were reinstructed on the necessity of a more complete review of the Technical Specification limitations when a safety system is operating in a degraded mode.

7. Generic Implications:

None