

In Reply Refer To:

RII:JPO 50-338 50-280 50-281

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION REGION II 101 MARIETTA ST., N.W., SUITE 3100 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30303

MAY 8 1980

Virginia Electric and Power Company
Attn: J. H. Ferguson
Executive Vice President-Power
P. O. Box 26666
Richmond, VA 23261

Gentlemen:

Enclosed is IE Bulletin No. 80-11 which requires action by you. A written response is required. Should you have any questions regarding this Bulletin or the actions required by you, please contact this office.

Sincerely,

James P. O'Reilly

Director

Enclosures:

1. IE Bulletin No. 80-11

2. List of Recently Issued IE Bulletins

cc w/encl: W. R. Cartwright, Station Manager Post Office Box 402 Mineral, Virginia 23117

P. G. Perry Senior Resident Engineer Post Office Box 38 Mineral, Virginia 23117

J. L. Wilson, Manager Post Office Box 315 Surry, Virginia 23883

SSINS No.: 6820 Accession No.: 7912190695

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION OFFICE OF INSPECTION AND ENFORCEMENT WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555

May 8, 1980

IE Bulletin No. 80-11

MASONRY WALL DESIGN

Description of Circumstances:

In the course of conducting inspections pursuant to IE Bulletin Nos. 79-02 and 79-14 at the Trojan Nuclear Plant, Portland General Electric Co. (PGE) identified a problem with the structural integrity of concrete masonry walls with Seismic Category I piping attached to them. This problem was briefly addressed in IE Information Notice No. 79-28, which was sent to all Construction Permit and Operating License holders on November 16, 1979 (Attachment 1).

The problem was that some walls were found which did not have adequate structural strength to sustain the required piping system support reactions. These structural deficiencies were at that time reported to be attributable to two deficiencies:

- 1) Apparent lack of a final check of certain pipe support locations and reactions to ensure that the supporting elements possessed adequate structural integrity to sustain the required loads.
- 2) Non-conservative design criteria for the reactions from supports anchored into the face of concrete masonry walls; e.g., relying on the combined strength of double block walls without substantial positive connection between the two walls by means other than the bond provided by a layer of mortar, grout or concrete between them.

Continued investigations into the deficiencies identified at the Trojan Nuclear Plant, engineered by Bechtel, confirmed the deficiencies to be attributable to error in engineering judgment, lack of procedures and procedural detail, and inadequate design criteria (details are in Trojan Nuclear Plant's LER No. 79-15, and supplements). Because of this and the generic implications of similar deficiencies with other operating facilities, we have concerns with regard to the adequacy of design criteria used for the design of masonry walls and an apparent lack of design coordination between the structural and piping/equipment design groups.

IE Bulletin 79-02, Revision 2 issued on November 8, 1979 required a review of pipe supports attached to masonry walls using expansion anchor bolts. For most pipe supports in this category, the expansion anchor bolts were replaced by bolting through the wall or the support was relocated to another structure. Supports that are bolted through masonry walls are also to be considered in the review for this Bulletin.

Action to be taken by all power reactor facilities with an Operating License (except Trojan, Sequoyah Unit 1, North Anna Unit 2, and Salem Unit 2):

- 1. Identify all masonry walls in your facility which are in proximity to or have attachments from safety-related piping or equipment such that wall failure could affect a safety-related system. Describe the systems and equipment, both safety and non-safety-related, associated with these masonry walls. Include in your review, masonry walls that are intended to resist impact or pressurization loads, such as missiles, pipe whip, pipe break, jet impingement, or tornado, and fire or water barriers, or shield walls. Equipment to be considered as attachments or in proximity to the walls shall include, but is not limited to, pumps, valves, motors, heat exchangers, cable trays, cable/conduit, HVAC ductwork, and electrical cabinets, instrumentation and controls. Plant surveys, if necessary, for areas inaccessible during normal plant operation shall be performed at the earliest opportunity.
- 2. Provide a re-evaluation of the design adequacy of the walls identified in Item 1 above to determine whether the masonry walls will perform their intended function under all postulated loads and load combinations. In this regard, the NRC encourages the formation of an owners' group to establish both appropriate re-evaluation criteria and where necessary, a later confirmatory masonry test program to quantify the safety margins established by the re-evaluation criteria (this is discussed further in Item 3 below).
 - a. Establish a prioritized program for the re-evaluation of the masonry walls. Provide a description of the program and a detailed schedule for completion of the re-evaluation for the categories in the program. The completion date of all re-evaluations should not be more than 180 days from the date of this Bulletin. A higher priority should be placed on the wall re-evaluations considering safety-related piping 2-1/2 inches or greater in diameter, piping with support loads due to thermal expansion greater than 100 pounds, safety-related equipment weighing 100 pounds or greater, the safety significance of the potentially affected systems, the overall loads on the wall, and the opportunity for performing plant surveys and, if necessary, modifications in areas otherwise inaccessible. The factors described above are meant to provide guidance in determining what loads may significantly affect the masonry wall analyses.
 - b. Submit a written report upon completion of the re-evaluation program. The report shall include the following information.
 - (i) Describe, in detail, the function of the masonry walls, the configurations of these walls, the type and strengths of the materials of which they are constructed (mortar, grout, concrete and steel), and the reinforcement details (horizontal steel, vertical steel, and masonry ties for multiple wythe

May 8, 1980 Page 3 of 4

construction). A wythe is considered to be (as defined by ACI Standard 531-1979) "each continuous vertical section of a wall, one masonry unit or grouted space in thickness and 2 in. minimum in thickness."

- (ii) Describe the construction practices employed in the construction of these walls and, in particular, their adequacy in preventing significant voids or other weaknesses in any mortar, grout, or concrete fill.
- (iii) The re-evaluation report should include detailed justification for the criteria used. References to existing codes or test data may be used if applicable for the plant conditions. The re-evaluation should specifically address the following: "
 - (a) All postulated loads and load combinations should be evaluated against the corresponding re-evaluation acceptance criteria. The re-evaluation should consider the loads from safety and non-safety-related attachments, differential floor displacement and thermal effects (or detailed justification that these can be considered self limiting and cannot induce brittle failures), and the effects of any potential cracking under dynamic loads. Describe in detail the methods used to account for these factors in the re-evaluation and the adequacy of the acceptance criteria for both in-plane and out-of-plane loads.
 - (b) The mechanism for load transfer into the masonry walls and postulated failure modes should be reviewed. For multiple wythe walls in which composite behavior is relied upon, describe the methods and acceptance criteria used to assure that these walls will behave as composite walls, especially with regard to shear and tension transfer at the wythe interfaces. With regard to local loadings such as piping and equipment support reactions, the acceptance criteria should assure that the loads are adequately transferred into the wall, such that any assumptions regarding the behavior of the walls are appropriate. Include the potential for block pullout and the necessity for tensile stress transfer through bond at the wythe interfaces.
- 3. Existing test data or conservative assumptions may be used to justify the re-evaluation acceptance criteria if the criteria are shown to be conservative and applicable for the actual plant conditions. In the absence of appropriate acceptance criteria a confirmatory masonry wall test program is required by the NRC in order to quantify the safety margins inherent in the re-evaluation criteria. Describe in detail the actions planned and their schedule to justify the re-evaluation criteria used in Item 2. If a test program is necessary, provide your commitment for such a program and a schedule for submittal of a description of the test program and a schedule for completion of the program. This test program should address all

appropriate loads (seismic, tornado, missile, etc.). It is expected that the test program will extend beyond the 180 day period allowed for the other Bulletin actions. Submit the results of the test program upon its completion.

4. Submit the information requested in Items 1, 2a, and 3 within 60 days of the date of this Bulletin. Within 180 days of the date of this Bulletin submit the information requested in Item 2b.

If in the course of the re-evaluation, the operability of any safety related system is in jeopardy, the licensee is expected to meet the applicable technical specifications action statement.

This information is requested under the provisions of 10 CFR 50.54(f). Accordingly, you are requested to provide within the time period specified in Item 4, written statements of the above information, signed under oath or affirmation.

Reports should be submitted to the Director of the appropriate NRC Regional Office and a copy should be forwarded to the NRC Office of Inspection and Enforcement, Division of Reactor Operations Inspection, Washington, D.C. 20555.

The reporting requirements of this Bulletin do not preclude nor substitute for the applicable requirements to report as set forth in the regulations and license.

If you require additional information regarding this matter, please contact the Director of the appropriate NRC Regional Office.

Approved by GAO, B180255 (R0072); clearance expires 7/31/80. Approval was given under a blanket clearance specifically for identified generic problems.

Attachment:

IE Information Notice No. 79-28

Attachment 1 SSINS No.: 6870 Accession No.: 7910250475

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION OFFICE OF INSPECTION AND ENFORCEMENT WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555

November 16, 1979

IE Information Notice No. 79-28

OVERLOADING OF STRUCTURAL ELEMENTS DUE TO PIPE SUPPORT LOADS

Description of Circumstances:

Recently, the NRC was informed that, in the course of the inspections pursuant to IE Bulletin No. 79-02 and 79-14 by the Portland General Electric Co. (PGE) at the Trojan Nuclear Plant, some walls were found which did not have adequate structural strength to sustain the required support reactions. Bechtel Corporation was the Architect Engineer for the plant. These structural inadequacies were reported to be attributable to two deficiencies:

- 1) Apparent lack of a final check of certain pipe support locations and reactions to ensure that the supporting structural elements possessed adequate structural integrity to sustain the required loads.
- 2) Inadequate design criteria for the reactions from supports anchored into the face of concrete block walls; e.g., relying on the combined strength of double concrete block walls without positive connection between the two walls by means other than the bond provided by layer of grout between them.

The NRC is currently pursuing these issues in detail for the Trojan Nuclear Plant to determine the extnet of these deficiencies and the generic implications for other Bechtel facilities.

This Information Notice is provided as an early notification of a possible significant matter. It is expected that recipients will review the information for possible applicability to their facilities and the actins being performed under IE Bulletin No. 79-02. Specific action is being requested relating to the adequacy of attachments to concrete block walls under IE Bulletin No. 79-02, Revision 2, item 5.c. No specific actions are requested in response to this Information Notice. If NRC evaluations so indicate, further licensee actions may be requested or required. If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact the Director of the appropriate NRC Regional Office.

No written response to this IE Information Notice is required.

RECENTLY ISSUED IE BULLETINS

	Bulletin No.	Subject	Date Issued	Issued To
	80-11	Masonry Wall Design	5/8/80	All power reactor facilities with an OL or CP
	80-10	Contamination of Nonradioactive System and Resulting Potential for Unmonitored, Uncontrolled Release to Environment	5/6/80	All power reactor facilities with an OL or CP
	80-09	Hydramotor Actuator Deficiencies	4/17/80	All power reactor operating facilities and holders of power reactor construction permits
	80-08	Examination of Containment Liner Penetration Welds	4/7/80	All power reactors with a CP and/or OL no later than April 7, 1980
	80-07	BWR Jet Pump Assembly Failure	4/4/80	All GE BWR-3 and BWR-4 facilities with an OL
	80-06	Engineered Safety Feature (ESF) Reset Controls	3/13/80	All power reactor facilities with an OL
	80-05	Vacuum Condition Resulting In Damage To Chemical Volume Control System (CVCS) Holdup Tanks	3/10/80	All PWR power reactor facilities holding OLs and to those with a CP
	79-01B	Environmental Qualification of Class IE Equipment	2/29/80	All power reactor facilities with an OL
•	80-04	Analysis of a PWR Main Steam Line Break With Continued Feedwater Addition	2/8/80	All PWR reactor facilities holding OLs and to those nearing licensing
	80-03	Loss of Charcoal From Standard Type II, 2 Inch, Tray Adsorber Cells		All holders of Power Reactor OLs and CPs
	80-02	Inadequate Quality Assurance for Nuclear	1/21/80	All BWR licenses with a CP or OL
	80-01	Operability of ADS Valve Pneumatic Supply	1/11/80	All BWR power reactor facilities with and OL