

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT

CONTROL BLOCK: _____ (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)

01 | V | A | S | P | S | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | _____ | 5
7 8 9 14 15 25 26 57 CAT 58

CON'T
01 | L | 6 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 9
7 8 60 61 68 69 74 75 80

EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES (10)
02 | With the unit at 100% power, Liquid Waste Test Tank 1-LW-TK-11B was inadvertently
03 | released without being sampled. This is contrary to T.S. 3.11.A.4 and reportable per
04 | T.S. 6.6.2.b.2. The operator in the Control Room was monitoring tank level and imme-
05 | diately terminated the release when the level in 1-LW-TK-11B changed. Also, the
06 | monitor in the discharge line was operable and would have terminated the release
07 | if the activity had exceeded preset limits. Therefore, the health and safety of
08 | the public were not affected.

09 | M | A | 11 | A | 12 | A | 13 | Z | Z | Z | Z | Z | Z | 14 | Z | 15 | Z | 16 |
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 18 19 20
17 | LER/RO REPORT NUMBER | 8 | 0 | 21 | 22 | SEQUENTIAL REPORT NO. | 0 | 1 | 6 | 24 | 26 | OCCURRENCE CODE | 0 | 3 | 28 | 29 | REPORT TYPE | L | 30 | 31 | REVISION NO. | 0 | 32 |
ACTION TAKEN | H | 18 | Z | 19 | EFFECT ON PLANT | Z | 20 | SHUTDOWN METHOD | Z | 21 | HOURS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 40 | ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED | Y | 23 | 41 | NPHD-4 FORM SUB. | N | 24 | 42 | PRIME COMP. SUPPLIER | Z | 25 | 43 | COMPONENT MANUFACTURER | Z | 9 | 9 | 9 | 26 | 44 | 47

CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (27)
10 | Liquid Waste Test Tank 1-LW-TK-11A had been sampled and was ready for release. However,
11 | when performing the necessary valve lineups, the discharge valve from 1-LW-P-11B
12 | was opened instead of the valve for 1-LW-P-11A, resulting in 1-LW-TK-11B being par-
13 | tially released. The release was terminated and tank 1-LW-TK-11B was sampled. Based
14 | on the sample, an estimate was made as to the amount and activity released, and veri-
9 fied to be within allowable limits.

15 | E | 28 | I | P | P | 29 | NA | 30 | A | 31 | Operator observation | 32
7 8 9 10 12 13 44 45 46 80

16 | L | 33 | M | 34 | .0000043 Ci | 35 | Liquid waste system to river | 36
7 8 9 10 11 44 45 80

17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | Z | 38 | NA | 39
7 8 9 11 12 13 80

18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | NA | 41
7 8 9 11 12 80

19 | Z | 42 | NA | 43
7 8 9 11 12 80

20 | N | 44 | NA | 45
7 8 9 10 80

ATTACHMENT (PAGE 1 OF 1)
SURRY POWER STATION, UNIT 1
DOCKET NO: 50-280
REPORT NO: 80-016/03L-0
EVENT DATE: 2-18-80
TITLE OF REPORT: Liquid Waste Inadvertent Release

1. DESCRIPTION OF EVENT:

With the unit at 100% power, Liquid Waste Test 1-LW-TK-11b, was inadvertently released without being sampled. This is contrary to T.S. 3.11.A.4 and reportable per T.S. 6.6.2.b.2.

2. PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES AND STATUS OF REDUNDANT SYSTEMS:

The operator in the Control Room was monitoring tank level and immediately terminated the release when the level in 1-LW-TK-11B changed. Also, the monitor in the discharge line was operable and would have terminated the release if the activity had exceeded preset limits. Therefore, the health and safety of the public were not affected.

3. CAUSE OF EVENT:

Liquid Waste Test Tank 1-LW-TK-11A had been sampled and was ready for release. However, when performing the necessary valve lineups, the discharge valve from 1-LW-F-11B was opened instead of the valve for 1-LW-P-11A, resulting in 1-LW-TK-11B being partially released.

4. IMMEDIATE CORRECTIVE ACTION:

The release was terminated and tank 1-LW-TK-11B was sampled. Based on the sample, an estimate was made as to the amount and activity released and verified to be within allowable limits.

5. SCHEDULED CORRECTIVE ACTION:

None required.

6. ACTION TAKEN TO PREVENT RECURRENCE:

Operators have been instructed to insure proper valve lineups are performed prior to commencing liquid waste releases.

7. GENERIC IMPLICATIONS:

None.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT

CONTROL BLOCK: _____ (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)

0 1 | V | A | S | P | S | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | _____ | 5
7 8 9 LICENSEE CODE 14 15 LICENSE NUMBER 25 26 LICENSE TYPE 30 57 CAT 58

CON'T
0 1 | L | 6 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 9
7 8 REPORT SOURCE 60 61 DOCKET NUMBER 68 69 EVENT DATE 74 75 REPORT DATE 80

EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES (10)
0 2 | With unit at 100% power, the vacuum pump for process vent monitors RH-GW-101 and
0 3 | RM-GW-102 was found tagged out of service. The pump had been out of service for app-
0 4 | roximately two days, and the applicable procedures were not performed prior to tag-out.
0 5 | This is contrary to T.S. 3.11.B.5 and T.S. Table 3.7-5 and reportable per T.S. 6.6.
0 6 | 2.b.2. During the period the monitors were out of service, no releases were made
0 7 | from the waste gas decay tanks. A review of the accountability records revealed that
0 8 | the activity levels released during the period were well within allowable limits. The
7 8 9 health and safety of the public were not affected. 80

0 9 | M | C | 11 | A | 12 | A | 13 | Z | Z | Z | Z | Z | 14 | Z | 15 | Z | 16 |
7 8 SYSTEM CODE 9 10 CAUSE CODE 11 12 CAUSE SUBCODE 13 14 COMPONENT CODE 15 16 COMP. SUBCODE 17 18 VALVE SUBCODE 19 20
17 | LER/RO REPORT NUMBER | 8 | 0 | 21 | 22 | _____ | 23 | _____ | 24 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 26 | 27 | _____ | 28 | 0 | 3 | 29 | L | 30 | _____ | 31 | _____ | 32 | 0 | 32
ACTION TAKEN | FUTURE ACTION | EFFECT ON PLANT | SHUTDOWN METHOD | HOURS | ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED | NPRD-4 FORM SUB. | PRIME COMP. SUPPLIER | COMPONENT MANUFACTURER
33 | H | 18 | Z | 19 | Z | 20 | Z | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | Y | 23 | N | 24 | Z | 25 | Z | 9 | 9 | 9 | 26 | 44 47

CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (27)
1 0 | The failure to perform the applicable procedure prior to taking the vacuum pump out of
1 1 | service led to the release of unmonitored gases. The immediate action taken was to
1 2 | perform AP-5.16, Radiation Monitoring System Process Vent Particulate & Gaseous Mal-
1 3 | function, notify Health Physics and analyze the accountability data. The system was.
1 4 | returned to normal operation, i.e. the vacuum pump was returned to service.
7 8 9 80

1 5 | E | 28 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 29 | NA | 30 | A | 31 | Operator observation | 32
7 8 9 FACILITY STATUS 10 % POWER 11 OTHER STATUS 12 13 METHOD OF DISCOVERY 14 15 DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80

1 6 | Z | 33 | Z | 34 | NA | 35 | NA | 36
7 8 9 ACTIVITY CONTENT 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80

1 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | Z | 38 | NA | 39
7 8 9 PERSONNEL EXPOSURES NUMBER 10 11 TYPE 12 13 DESCRIPTION 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80

1 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | NA | 41
7 8 9 PERSONNEL INJURIES NUMBER 10 11 DESCRIPTION 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80

1 9 | Z | 42 | NA | 43
7 8 9 LOSS OF OR DAMAGE TO FACILITY TYPE 10 11 DESCRIPTION 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80

2 0 | N | 44 | NA | 45
7 8 9 PUBLICITY ISSUED 10 11 DESCRIPTION 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80

ATTACHMENT (PAGE 1 OF 1)
SURRY POWER STATION, UNIT 1
DOCKET NO: 50-280
REPORT NO: 80-017/03L-0
EVENT DATE: 2-18-80
TITLE OF REPORT: Inoperability of Radiation Monitors (RM-GW-101, 102)

1. DESCRIPTION OF EVENT:

With the unit at 100% power, the vacuum pump for process vent monitors RM-GW-101 and RM-GW-102 was found tagged out of service. Further investigation revealed the pump had been out of service for approximately two days and that the applicable procedure was not performed prior to the tag-out. This is contrary to T.S. 3.11.B.5 and T.S. Table 3.7-5, and is reportable per T.S. 6.6.2.b.2.

2. PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES/STATUS OF REDUNDANT SYSTEMS:

During the period the monitors were out of service, no releases were made from the waste gas decay tanks and the H.P. accountability sampling system was in operation. A review of the accountability records revealed that the activity levels released during the period were well within allowable limits. Therefore, the health and safety of the general public were not affected.

3. CAUSE OF EVENT:

The failure to perform the applicable procedure prior to taking the vacuum pump out of service led to the release of unmonitored gases, although that which was released was well within the allowable activity limits.

4. IMMEDIATE CORRECTIVE ACTION:

The immediate action taken was to perform AP-5.16, Radiation Monitoring System Process Vent Particulate and Gaseous Malfunction, notify Health Physics and analyze the accountability data.

5. SCHEDULED CORRECTIVE ACTION:

The system was returned to normal operation, i.e. the vacuum pump was returned to service.

6. ACTION TAKEN TO PREVENT RECURRENCE:

Operations personnel were reinstructed to insure that the appropriate procedures are performed prior to removing the process vent monitors from service.

7. GENERIC IMPLICATIONS:

None.