17-11-79

Distribution

Distribution

TERA

and 50-281 ACRS (16)

NRC PDR(2) Local PDR ORB Reading NRR Reading W. Gammill

D. Eisenhut B. Grimes

T. J. Carter

W. Russell Attorney, OELD MM OI&E (3)

A. Schwencer

D. Neighbors

P. Kreutzer

J. Buchanan

Docket Nos. 50-280 and 50-281

> Mr. W. L. Proffitt Senior Vice President - Power Virginia Electric and Power Company Post Office Box 26666 Richmond, Virginia 23261

Dear Mr. Proffitt:

We have reviewed your letter dated September 26, 1977, which provided information concerning degraded grid voltage in response to NRC request dated June 3, 1977. We have determined that the additional information in the enclosure is necessary in order to continue our review.

To enable us to maintain a review schedule consistent with ouroobjective of resolving this issue by the end of 1979, please submit the requested information and Technical Specification changes within 45 days of receipt.

We appreciate your cooperation on this issue.

Sincerely,

DECOLATION DOCATE FILE CONT.

A. Schwencer, Chief Operating Reactors Branch #1 Division of Operating Reactors

Enclosure: As Stated

cc: w/enclosure See next page

App 3

OFFICE	DOR: OBBIM	DOR: OR	-	·	
DEURNAME	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	jc ASchwencer			
DATE	07/11/70	07/ <b>[</b> \/79		 7.9.1.1.0.7.0.5	6.7



## UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

July 11, 1979

Docket Nos. 50-280 and 50-281

Mr. W. L. Proffitt
Senior Vice President - Power
Virginia Electric and Power Company
Post Office Box 26666
Richmond, Virginia 23261

Dear Mr. Proffitt:

We have reviewed your letter dated September 26, 1977, which provided information concerning degraded grid voltage in response to NRC request dated June 3, 1977. We have determined that the additional information in the enclosure is necessary in order to continue our review.

To enable us to maintain a review schedule consistent with our objective of resolving this issue by the end of 1979, please submit the requested information and Technical Specification changes within 45 days of receipt.

We appreciate your cooperation on this issue.

Sincerely,

A. Schwencer, Chief

Operating Reactors Branch #1
Division of Operating Reactors

Enclosure: As Stated

cc: w/enclosure

See next hade

-2-

Mr. W. L. Proffitt Virginia Electric and Power Company

cc: Mr. Michael W. Maupin Hunton and Williams Post Office Box 1535 Richmond, Virginia 23213

> Swem Library College of William and Mary Williamsburg, Virginia 23185

Donald J. Burke U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region II Office of Inspection and Enforcement 101 Marietta Street, Suite 3100 Atlanta, Georgia 30303

n dhungan e Baaraya asa



## REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

## SURRY UNITS 1 AND 2

## DEGRADED GRID VOLTAGE

- 1. Degraded voltage protection is not provided between 80-90% of nominal voltage. Sustained voltage, even for a short period of time, within this range may cause damage to electrical equipment. Present an analysis that supports your design of not having protection within this range; or provide appropriate protection between 80-90% of nominal voltage.
- 2. Describe the sequence of events, (such as load shedding, bus de-energization, load sequencing, etc.) if, while on onsite power, the onsite power source is interrupted. Describe the reinstatement or basis for no reinstatement of load shedding.
- 3. Describe how the IEEE Std. 279-1971 requirements are satisfied in the design of the voltage monitors of second-level protection.
- 4. Submit a proposed change to the Surry Units 1 and 2 Technical Specifications including test schedule for channel check, calibration, and functional testing.