



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
REGION II
101 MARIETTA ST., N.W., SUITE 3100
ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30303

In Reply Refer To:
RII:JDW
50-281/79-67

SEP 06 1979

Virginia Electric and Power Company
Attn: W. L. Proffitt
Senior Vice President, Power
Post Office Box 26666
Richmond, Virginia 23261

Gentlemen:

This refers to the inspection conducted by J. D. Wilcox, Jr. of this office on August 14-17, 1979 of activities authorized by NRC License No. DPR-37 for the Surry Power Station facility, and to the discussion of our findings held with W. L. Stewart at the conclusion of the inspection.

Areas examined during the inspection and our findings are discussed in the enclosed inspection report. Within these areas, the inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector.

We have examined actions you have taken with regard to previously identified inspection findings. These are discussed in the enclosed inspection report.

During the inspection, it was found that certain activities under your license appear to be in noncompliance with NRC requirements. This item and references to pertinent requirements are listed in the Notice of Violation enclosed herewith as Appendix A. This notice is sent to you pursuant to the provisions of Section 2.201 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice", Part 2, Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations. Section 2.201 requires you to submit to this office, within 20 days of your receipt of this notice, a written statement or explanation in reply including: (1) corrective steps which have been taken by you, and the results achieved; (2) corrective steps which will be taken to avoid further noncompliance; and (3) the date when full compliance will be achieved.

In accordance with Section 2.790 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," Part 2, Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, a copy of this letter and the enclosed inspection report will be placed in the NRC's Public Document Room. If this report contains any information that you (or your contractor) believe to be proprietary, it is necessary that you make a written application within 20 days to this office to withhold such information from public disclosure. Any such application must include a full statement

7911050

120

Virginia Electric and
Power Company

SEP 06 1979

-2-

of the reasons on the basis of which it is claimed that the information is proprietary, and should be prepared so that proprietary information identified in the application is contained in a separate part of the document. If we do not hear from you in this regard within the specified period, the report will be placed in the Public Document Room.

Should you have any questions concerning this letter, we will be glad to discuss them with you.

Sincerely,



C. E. Murphy, Chief
Reactor Construction and
Engineering Support Branch

Enclosures:

1. Appendix A, Notice of Violation
2. Inspection Report No. 50-281/79-67

cc w/enclosure:

W. L. Stewart, Manager
Post Office Box 315
Surry, Virginia 23883

APPENDIX A

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Virginia Electric and Power Company License No. DPR-37
Surry

Based on the NRC inspection August 14-17, 1979, certain of your activities were apparently not conducted in full compliance with NRC requirements as indicated below. These items have been categorized as described in correspondence to you dated December 31, 1974.

As required by 10 CFR, Part 50, Appendix XII, "Measures shall be established to assure that tools, gauges, instruments, and other measuring and testing devices used in activities affecting quality are properly controlled, calibrated, and adjusted at specified periods to maintain accuracy within necessary limits".

Contrary to the above, during the above noted inspection, a set of uncontrolled outside micrometers (12 inch to 18 inch, manufactured by Starrett, model number 724) were located in the pipe refurbishment building where work was being performed. The calibration sticker on these outside micrometers specify a due date of July 1979; therefore, this instrument was out of calibration. In addition, there were no control or identification markings on the micrometer to verify that this item was part of VEPCO's calibration control system.

This is a deficiency.