

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: 5/8/18 3:17 PM  
Received: May 04, 2018  
Status: Pending Post  
Tracking No. 1k2-92yj-ij3q  
Comments Due: May 29, 2018  
Submission Type: Web

**Docket:** NRC-2018-0052  
Holtec International HI-STORE Consolidated Interim Storage Facility Project

**Comment On:** NRC-2018-0052-0001  
Holtec International HI-STORE Consolidated Interim Storage Facility Project

**Document:** NRC-2018-0052-DRAFT-0049  
Comment on FR Doc # 2018-06398

**SUNSI Review Complete**  
**Template = ADM-013**  
**E-RIDS=ADM-03**  
**ADD= Antoinette**  
**Walker Smith**  
**JILL Caverly (jsc1)**

---

## Submitter Information

**Name:** Karen Kirschling

**COMMENT #58**  
**PUBLICATION DATE:**  
**3/30/2018**  
**CITATION # 83 FR 13802**

---

## General Comment

RE: Docket ID NRC20180052; Holtec Internationals HISTORE CIS Facility for Spent Nuclear Fuel, Lea County, New Mexico

NRC:

I am submitting these comments on the Holtec Environmental Report (ER) to bring up to 100,000 metric tons of spent fuel, high-level radioactive waste, from nuclear reactors around the country to southeast New Mexico. I am submitting the following comments because I do not consent to New Mexico becoming a national radioactive waste dumping ground. I do not consent to transporting up to 10,000 canisters of highly radioactive waste through thousands of communities nationwide. I do not consent to the risk of contamination of our lands, aquifers, air, or the health of plants, wildlife, and livestock. I do not consent to endangering present and future generations.

I formally request a 60-day Extension Of Time For This Comment Period. A 60-day comment period places an undo burden on the public to respond to this 543-page technical document. In addition, this overlaps several other comment periods in New Mexico, including three comment periods for the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) and one for Los Alamos National Laboratory.

I formally request that each of the 3 scheduled meetings have time for public comment, and that the Roswell Open House include a regular scoping meeting as well, or be cancelled. I also request additional Public Scoping Meetings for other New Mexico communities that will be impacted by the transport, including but not limited to: Albuquerque, Clovis, and Gallup and at least one in Dallas/Ft. Worth, San Antonio, and Midland, Texas since there would likely be extensive transport through these cities.

## This Holtec Proposal Is Contrary to Current Law

Current law only allows the U.S. Department of Energy to take title to commercial spent fuel following commencement of operation of a repository or at a DOE-owned and operated monitored retrievable storage facility. The Holtec site meets neither requirement, as it is a private facility.

## Holtec Must Remove Copyrights And All Redactions in the Environmental Report

NRC must require Holtec to produce an ER that has no such copyright restriction and has no redactions.

## The Impacts Of Permanent Storage Must Be Analyzed

The Environmental Report (ER) is inadequate and incomplete because it does not analyze the impacts of the spent fuel being left at the Holtec site indefinitely.

## More Alternatives Must Be Analyzed

Keeping the spent fuel casks in some form of Hardened On Site Storage (HOSS) on the reactor sites must be analyzed.

The alternative of consolidated storage being done at an existing licensed Independent Spent Fuel Storage Facility (ISFSI) must be analyzed.

## The Environmental Report inadequately discusses the Transportation Risks

This ER must include all transportation routes and the potential impacts of accidents or terrorism incidents on public health and safety along all the routes.

The ER is inadequate and incomplete because it does not discuss how rail shipments from reactors without rail access would be accomplished and the risks and impacts of such shipments.

## The Consequences To An Accident-Exposed Individual Must Be Analyzed

Terms like collective dose risk and person-rem are used to ignore the potential impacts to a single individual.

## Cracked And Leaking Casks Must Be Addressed

The ER does not analyze exactly how radioactive waste from a cracked and leaking canister would be handled, since there is no wet pool or hot cell at the site.

## More Cumulative Impacts Must Be Analyzed

The ER mentions WIPP but does not analyze the impacts of a radiologic release from WIPP on the proposed CIS site.

## Impacts Of Future Railroads And Electric Lines Must Be Analyzed

The railroads and electric lines are not in place, but must be analyzed.

## How many of the estimated 135 jobs will go to locals?

The total number of annual workers at the site could total as many as 135 when construction jobs are combined with the operating workforce.

## Seismic Impacts On Stored Casks Must Be Stated

Although the ER gives a statement on recent seismic activity in the area, there is no analysis of what many 3.0 4.0 fracking-induced earthquakes will have on the buried casks.