

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: 5/4/18 11:39 AM
Received: May 03, 2018
Status: Pending_Post
Tracking No. 1k2-92y3-36ne
Comments Due: May 29, 2018
Submission Type: Web

Docket: NRC-2018-0052

Holtec International HI-STORE Consolidated Interim Storage Facility Project

Comment On: NRC-2018-0052-0001

Holtec International HI-STORE Consolidated Interim Storage Facility Project

Document: NRC-2018-0052-DRAFT-0047

Comment on FR Doc # 2018-06398

Submitter Information

Name: naomi zuckerman

Address:

PO box 434

whitethorn, CA, 95589

Email: nz101j@juno.com

General Comment

Why are all these high risks being taken in the first place?

For no good reason

whatsoever! Certainly not to benefit
public health, safety, security, or environmental

protection, despite Holtec International/Eddy

-
Lea [Counties] Energy Alliance
(Holtec/ELEA),

and nuclear power industry
, claims and PR

-
spin to the contrary.

Truth be told, its to transfer
title,

liability, costs, and risks, for the highly radioactive
irradiated nuclear fuel, from the companies that generated it, and profited from its

SUNSI Review Complete

Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS=ADM-03

ADD= Antoinette Walker Smith

JILL Caverly (jsc1)

COMMENT #56

PUBLICATION DATE: 3/30/2018

CITATION # 83 FR 13802

generation, onto the backs of federal taxpayers
(if the U.S. Department of Energy
(DOE)
is stuck paying all the bills

and/or onto the backs of nuclear electricity
ratepayers, if Holtec/ELEAs lobbyists can
finagle access to the monies remaining in
the Nuclear Waste Fund coffers

)
. That's a pretty big favor to the companies

in fact,
its unique in all of industry

And of course, if Holtec/ELEA can make large
profits (at public expense),
temporarily storing
these highly radioactive wastes (for 40 years, to 120 years, to
de facto
permanently), without having to shoulder any of the costs, or risk

liabilities,
well, that would work
well
for them! Well, the
simple answer, and open
secret

, is: Holtec/ELEA doesn't want to shoulder
the costs, risks, and liabilities. It would prefer DOE (that is taxpayers, and/or
ratepayers) shoulder those, while it simply pockets the profits.

This would be unsound action for the health and well being of thousands (if not millions) of people, as well as
the land and environment in general.