

NuScaleDCRaisPEm Resource

From: Chowdhury, Prosanta
Sent: Monday, April 30, 2018 5:17 PM
To: Request for Additional Information
Cc: Lee, Samuel; Cranston, Gregory; Markley, Anthony; Tesfaye, Getachew; Dudek, Michael; Lavera, Ronald; NuScaleDCRaisPEm Resource
Subject: RE: Request for Additional Information No. 444 eRAI No. 9295 (12.03-12.04)
Attachments: Request for Additional Information No. 444 (eRAI No. 9295).pdf

Attached please find NRC staff's request for additional information (RAI) concerning review of the NuScale Design Certification Application.

Please submit your technically correct and complete response within 60 days of the date of this RAI to the NRC Document Control Desk.

If you have any questions, please contact me.

Thank you.

Prosanta Chowdhury, Project Manager
Licensing Branch 1 (NuScale)
Division of New Reactor Licensing
Office of New Reactors
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
301-415-1647

Hearing Identifier: NuScale_SMR_DC_RAI_Public
Email Number: 475

Mail Envelope Properties (BN7PR09MB26099533F485F3647BBC86F49E820)

Subject: RE: Request for Additional Information No. 444 eRAI No. 9295 (12.03-12.04)
Sent Date: 4/30/2018 5:16:36 PM
Received Date: 4/30/2018 5:16:41 PM
From: Chowdhury, Prosanta

Created By: Prosanta.Chowdhury@nrc.gov

Recipients:

"Lee, Samuel" <Samuel.Lee@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Cranston, Gregory" <Gregory.Cranston@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Markley, Anthony" <Anthony.Markley@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Tsfaye, Getachew" <Getachew.Tsfaye@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Dudek, Michael" <Michael.Dudek@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Lavera, Ronald" <Ronald.LaVera@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"NuScaleDCRaisPEm Resource" <NuScaleDCRaisPEm.Resource@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Request for Additional Information" <RAI@nuscalepower.com>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: BN7PR09MB2609.namprd09.prod.outlook.com

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	556	4/30/2018 5:16:41 PM
Request for Additional Information No. 444 (eRAI No. 9295).pdf		82249

Options

Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received:

Request for Additional Information No. 444 (eRAI No. 9295)

Issue Date: 04/30/2018

Application Title: NuScale Standard Design Certification - 52-048

Operating Company: NuScale Power, LLC

Docket No. 52-048

Review Section: 12.03-12.04 - Radiation Protection Design Features

Application Section: 12.3

QUESTIONS

12.03-55

Regulatory Basis

10 CFR 52.47(a)(5) requires applicants to identify the kinds and quantities of radioactive materials expected to be produced in the operation and the means for controlling and limiting radiation exposures within the limits of 10 CFR Part 20.

10 CFR 20.1101(b) states that "the licensee shall use, to the extent practical, procedures and engineering controls based upon sound radiation protection principles to achieve occupational doses and doses to members of the public that are as low as is reasonably achievable (ALARA)." 10 CFR 20.1003 states that ALARA "means making every reasonable effort to maintain exposures to radiation as far below the dose limits in this part as is practical consistent with the purpose for which the licensed activity is undertaken, taking into account the state of technology, the economics of improvements in relation to state of technology, the economics of improvements in relation to benefits to the public health and safety, and other societal and socioeconomic considerations, and in relation to utilization of nuclear energy and licensed materials in the public interest."

Appendix A to Part 50—General Design Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants, Criterion 61—"Fuel storage and handling and radioactivity control, "requires systems which may contain radioactivity to be designed with suitable shielding for radiation protection and with appropriate containment, confinement, and filtering systems.

NuScale DSRS Section 12.3 "Radiation Protection Design Feature, "states in the specific acceptance criteria that areas inside the plant structures should be subdivided into radiation zones, with maximum design dose rate zones and the criteria used in selecting maximum dose rates identified.

Background

The dose rates and radiation zones listed in DCD Subsection 12.3 are the bases of the information used to establish plant radiation protection design features, described in NuScale DSRS 12.3 "Acceptance Criteria." The DSRS Acceptance Criteria are used by the staff to check that the applicant's method for performing shield design calculations, including shield and source geometries, are realistic and consistent with the assumed source term. This acceptance criteria is consistent with the requirements of 10 CFR Part 20 and 10 CFR Part 50 and 10 CFR Part 52.

Specifically, NuScale DCD Tier 2, Revision 0, Figure 12.3-1g "Reactor Building Radiation Zone Map - 100' Elevation," shows that the area between column lines RX4 and RX6 (east and west of the reactor pool), RXA and RXB (north of the reactor pool) and between column lines RXD and RXE (south of the reactor pool) as depicted on DCD Figure 1.2-216, "Reactor Building 100'-0" Elevation" as Steam Galleries, Rooms 010-411 and 010-418 respectively, are labelled as a Radiation Zone 0. DCD Tier 2, Revision 0, Table 12.3-1 "Normal Operation Radiation Zone Designations, " shows that areas designated as radiation Zone 0 have dose rates ≤ 0.05 mrem/hr.

However, the staff noted that DCD Tier 2 Revision 0, Figure 3.6-16 "Postulated High-Energy Main Steam System Pipe Routing Beyond the NuScale Power Module (COL Applicant Scope)," and Figure 3.6-17 "Postulated High-Energy Feedwater System Pipe Routing Beyond the NuScale Power Module (COL Applicant Scope)," show the main steam and main feedwater lines passing through the reactor building pool wall (RXD or RXB).

Specifically NuScale DCA Tier 2, Revision 0, Table 3C-6: "Normal Operating Environmental Conditions," states that the 60 Years Integrated N Dose (rads) for the area outside of the containment vessel and under the bioshield is $1.85E6$ rads (3.7 rads/hour). Figure 12.3-1g depicts the areas under the bioshield as a radiation Zone VI (dose rates ≥ 1 rad/hr and ≤ 500 rad/hr from Table 12.3-1.) DCD Subsection 12.3.2 "Shielding," does not discuss any specific shielding features between the Nuclear Power Module (NPM) bay under the bioshield and the Steam Galleries to prevent neutron streaming into the Steam Galleries (Rooms 010-411 and 010-418). DCD Subsection 12.3.2.2 "Design Considerations," does not discuss any considerations of the types of shielding material, or the environmental controls provided to ensure shielding integrity, for shielding material that may be installed around the high temperature main steam and main feedwater lines. DCD Table 12.3-6, "Reactor Building Shield Wall Geometry," does not discuss the neutron fluence from under the bioshield area as a shielding consideration for the Steam Galleries. Therefore, the staff is unable to substantiate the applicant's designation of the Steam Galleries as a radiation Zone 0.

Key Issue: The bases for establishing claimed radiation zones are not readily discernable from the information provided. Based on information made available to the staff during the application review and the Chapter 12 audit, the staff was not able to characterize the neutron radiation fields in the aforementioned areas. The staff needs to understand the methods, models, and assumptions used by the applicant to calculate the radiation sources that were used to determine the radiation zones depicted in DCD Chapter 12.3.

Question

To facilitate staff understanding of the underlying methods, model and assumptions, in support of its reasonable assurance review regarding the designation of facility radiation zones, the staff requests that the applicant:

1. Explain/Justify the methods, models, and assumptions used by the applicant to calculate the radiation sources that were used to determine the radiation zones depicted in DCD Chapter 12.3.
2. Provide appropriate and sufficient information, including shielding, absorption, and attenuation effects, to justify significant decreases in dose in the adjacent radiation zones.
3. As necessary, revise and update Section 12.3 of the NuScale DCD as appropriate, with respect to plant radiation zones,

OR

Provide the specific alternative approaches used and the associated justification.