0.5. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION (07-2012) 10 CFR 2.201 SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION								
1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED:			2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE					
Paradigm Design, Inc. 550 3 Mile Road NW Grand Rapids, Michigan 49544			Region III U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210 Lisle, IL 60532-4352					
REPORT NUMBER(S	3) 2018001	2.0.0, 12 00002 1002						
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)		4. LICENSE NUMBER(S)		5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION				
030-36188		21-32437-01		March 29 ,2	2018			
LICENSEE: The inspection was an examination of the activities conducted under your license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regulations and the conditions of your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows: 1. Based on the inspection findings, no violations were identified.								
~ `								
The violations(s), specifically described to you by the inspector as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self-identified, non-repetitive, and corrective action was or is being taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, to exercise discretion, were satisfied.								
<u> </u>	Non-cited violation(s) were discussed involving the following requirement(s):							
cited in ac with 10 Cl	s and Corrective Actions)	cy. This form is a NO	TICE OF VIOLATION, which ma					
Statement of Corrective Actions I hereby state that, within 30 days, the actions described by me to the Inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified. This statement of corrective actions is made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201 (corrective steps already taken, corrective steps which will be taken,								
	ance will be achieved). I understand the		response to NRC will be require		equested.			
TITLE LICENSEE'S REPRESENTATIVE	PRINTED NAME		SIGNATURE		DATE			
NRC INSPECTOR	Geoffrey Warren, Sr. HP		91V_		3/29/18			
BRANCH CHIEF	Aaron McCraw	Q.	look Aboling of	AMolan	4/26/2018			

NRC FORM 591M PART 1 (07-2012)

NRC FORM 591M PART 3 U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION (07-2012) Docket File Information								
SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION								
ED:	2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE							
Paradigm Design, Inc.			Region III					
550 3 Mile Road NW			U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission					
49544		2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210 Lisle, IL 60532-4352						
001								
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)		5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION						
030-36188		March 29, 2018						
6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES USED		7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS						
87124		03.01 - 03.07						
SUPPLE	MENTAL INSPECT	ON INFORMATION						
2. PRIORITY	3. LICENSEE CONTAC	NSEE CONTACT 4. TELEPHONE NUMBER						
5	Brian Holleman,	RSO	(616) 785-5581					
✓ Main Office Inspection Next Inspection Date: 03/29/2023								
Field Office Inspection								
te Inspection								
PROGRAM SCOPE								
This was an unannounced routine inspection. The licensee was an engineering firm located in Grand Rapids, Michigan. The licensee possessed one portable gauge as described on the license for use intermittently during the construction season (April-November) for aggregate testing. The licensee did not perform any service or maintenance activities on its gauges; these services were performed by a licensed service provider. The licensee employed one authorized gauge user who had completed manufacturers training; the radiation safety officer was a former gauge user. The device was stored in a vault in the licensee's office in Grand Rapids, Michigan. The licensee secured the gauge in accordance with the two barriers rule in their storage vault and in vehicles.								
Performance Observations: The gauge was not in use at the time of the inspection. The inspector observed storage and security of the gauge at the licensee's facility. Licensee staff demonstrated the transport and use of the gauge in the field. The operator possessed required shipping papers which contained all appropriate information and would be accessible in the transport vehicle. The operator demonstrated an adequate level of understanding of emergency and handling procedures. Security during transport and at the job-site was described with no problems noted. Interviews with licensee personnel indicated adequate knowledge of radiation safety concepts and procedures, including the two barriers rule. Review of dosimetry records indicated no exposures of regulatory concern. The inspector performed independent and confirmatory radiation measurements which indicated results consistent with licensee survey records and postings. No violations were identified as a result of this inspection.								
	SUPPLEI 2. PRIORITY 5 ction te Inspection re portable gauge as for aggregate testing re performed by a lifacturers training; the soffice in Grand Rage vault and in vehicles: The gauge was not relicensee's facility. Equired shipping paper operator demonstrating transport and at the part of the property of the pro	49544 201 4. LICENSE NUMBER(S) 21-32437-01 7. INSPECTION FOCUS 03.01 - 03.07 SUPPLEMENTAL INSPECT 5 3. LICENSEE CONTACT Brian Holleman, ction Next Inspection The licensee was an are portable gauge as described on the licensee did re performed by a licensed service proving the radiation safety of soffice in Grand Rapids, Michigan. The ge vault and in vehicles. S: The gauge was not in use at the time are licensee's facility. Licensee staff demonstrated an adequate level in the property of the proper	PROGRAM SCOPE Troutine inspection The licensee was an engineering firm location The licensee did not perform any service re performed by a licensed service provider. The licensee emplifacturers training; the radiation safety officer was a former gauge as devalt and in vehicles. The gauge was not in use at the time of the inspection. The ice licensee's facility. Licensee staff demonstrated the transport as experience of regulatory concerns. The inspects which contained all appropriate informate experience of radiation safety concepts and proproduced in the proposal of the propriate information and in vehicles. The gauge was not in use at the time of the inspection. The ice licensee's facility. Licensee staff demonstrated the transport as experience of radiation safety concepts and procedures, income and the job-site was described with no problems that knowledge of radiation safety concepts and procedures, income of the indicated no exposures of regulatory concern. The inspector conditions and the job-site was described with no problems that knowledge of radiation safety concepts and procedures, income of the indicated no exposures of regulatory concern. The inspector construction in the indicated results consistent with licensee surface assurements which indicated results consistent with licensee surfaces.					