

License: 30-29276-01
Docket: 030-37577
Control: 601446

Cook, Jackie

From: Torres, RobertoJ
Sent: Friday, March 30, 2018 8:23 AM
To: Cook, Jackie
Subject: RE: Concurrence to remove OUO-SRI markings from license

Jackie:

I reviewed RIS 2005-31, Revision 1, dated December 26, 2017. This RIS states (in Section 2 General Screening and in Appendix 3, Medical, Industrial and Academic Uses) to withhold possession limit information, and source and device manufacturer's name and model number for possession amounts equal or exceeding the threshold values listed in Table 2 (Category 3 quantities). I performed mathematical calculations to determine if the possession limits were above or below the Table 2 thresholds to determine if SUNSI marking are needed or not.

Cs-137		Am-241		Ra-226
<u>0.081 Ci</u> 2.7 Ci	+	<u>0.402 Ci</u> 1.6 Ci	+	<u>0.015 Ci</u> 1.08 Ci
0.03	+	0.25125	+	0.01388

= 0.3 (less than unity)

This mathematical calculation shows that the amounts listed in the proposed amendment 2 (renewal) for NRC license 30-29276-01 is below unity for aggregation and therefore amendment 2 will not need SUNSI markings. In addition, Amendment 2 will only authorize work at temporary job sites and there will be no storage locations describing an exact address (like room number) that will be listed on this license. The RIS states that mailing addresses are releasable. I concur with your assessment to remove the SUNSI markings when issuing amendment 2.

Please add this email to the renewal documentation to demonstrate my concurrence on your assessment for removing the SUNSI markings when issuing amendment 2 to license 30-29276-01.

Thank you.

Roberto

From: Cook, Jackie
Sent: Thursday, March 29, 2018 12:15 PM
To: Torres, RobertoJ <RobertoJ.Torres@nrc.gov>
Subject: Concurrence to remove OUO-SRI markings from license
Importance: High

Good Afternoon Roberto: Please review the 2 attached licenses (one is the current license; the other one is the draft renewal license) for concurrence to remove the OUO-SRI markings from the license. Please note that the licensee removed a location of use from the license and is only using material at tjs. In addition, I had to separate by separately line listing the Seaman Nuclear Corporation Models C-75 BP and C-200. In addition, I authorized the licensee to have a maximum number of 3 gauges per model. I will finalize the draft license upon your concurrence and put a note to file documenting our decision to remove the OUO-SRI markings from the license. If you think the markings should remain, please explain to me why.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Jackie

PUBLIC

- Immediate Release
- Normal Release

NON-PUBLIC

- A.3 Sensitive-Security Related
- A.7 Sensitive Internal
- Other: _____

Reviewer: QAC Date: 3/20/18