(7-77)	LICENSES SYCHET DEPORT
	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT
•	CONTROL BLOCK: (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)
0 1	V A S P S 1 2 0 0 - 0 0 0 0 0 - 0 0 3 4 1 1 1 1 1 3 4 5 6 LICENSE CODE 14 15 LICENSE NUMBER 25 26 LICENSE TYPE 30 57 CAT 58
CON'T	REPORT L 6 0 5 0 0 0 2 8 0 7 1 1 1 5 7 8 8 1 2 1 1 7 8 9
	EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES 10
0 2	During normal operation, Channel I of the Pressurizer Level (Protection) Instrumen-
0 3	tation, LI-1-459, drifted low. This is contrary to Technical Specifications 3.7.B
0 4	and is reportable per Technical Specifications 6.6.2.b. (1).
0 5	
0 6	
0 7	
0 8	
78	9 SYSTEM CAUSE CAUSE COMPONENT CODE SUBCODE SUBCODE
0 9	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
	LER/RO EVENT YEAR REPORT NO. CODE TYPE NO.
	ACTION FUTURE FEFECT SHUTDOWN COMPONENT NPRDA PRIME COMP COMPONENT
	TAKEN ACTION ON PLANT METHOD HOURS (22) SUBMITTED FORM SUB. SUPPLIER MANUFACTURER E 18 E 19 Z 20 Z 21 0 0 0 0 Y 23 Y 24 N 25 I 2 0 4 26
	33 34 35 36 37 40 41 42 43 44 47 CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (27)
1 0	The cause of this event is not known at this time. Channel I Pressurizer Level was
1 1	placed in the trip mode. The transmitter was recalibrated monitored and later returned
1 2	to service.
1 3	
14	
	9 FACILITY STATUS % POWER OTHER STATUS 30 METHOD OF DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION 32
1 5	E (28) 1 0 0 (29) NA A A (31) Operator Observation 9 10 12 13 44 45 46 80
	ELEASED OF RELEASE AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY (35) Z (33) Z (34) NA NA
8	PERSONNEL EXPOSURES
1 7	NUMBER TYPE DESCRIPTION (39) 9 11 12 13 NA
	PERSONNEL INJURIES NUMBER DESCRIPTION 41
1 8	0 0 0 40 NA 9 11 12 LOSS OF OR DAMAGE TO FACILITY (3)
1 9	TYPE DESCRIPTION (43) [Z 42 NA
. 8	9 10 PUBLICITY ISSUED DESCRIPTION 45 NRC USE ONLY
2 0	NA NA NA
8	78120140126 T. L. Baucom (804) 357-3184 0 1 2 6 7 1 1 1 2 6 7 1 2 6 7 1 1 2 6 7 1 1 2 6 7 1 2 6

Attachment, Page 1 of 1

Surry Power Station, Unit

Docket No: 50-280

Report No: 78-039/03L-0 Event Date: 11-15-78

Title of Report: Drift in Pressurizer Level Channel

1. Description of Event:

During normal operation, Channel I of the Pressurizer Level (Protection) Instrumentation, LI-1-459, drifted 5% low. This exceeded the margin between Technical Specifications and the administrative limit by 1% and resulted in the inability of this channel to supply a reliable signal of high pressurizer level to the Reactor Trip logics. The required degree of redundancy was not maintained and this is contrary to Technical Specifications 3.7.B and is reportable per Technical Specifications 6.6.2.b.(1).

2. Probable Consequences and Status of Redundant Systems:

Channel I Pressurizer Level was put in the trip mode. All other pressurizer level instrumentation remained operable. Because the required immediate corrective action was taken and the degree of redundancy regained, the health and safety of the general public were not affected.

3. Cause:

It is not known at this time what is causing this intermittent drift of the transmitter's electronics. The results of further investigation will be reported in a supplemental report.

4. Immediate Corrective Action:

Channel I of Pressurizer Level was immediately placed in the trip mode. This regained the required degree of redundancy.

5. Subsequent Corrective Action:

Channel I of Pressurizer Level will be thoroughly examined and the cause determined and corrected at the next unit outage.

The transmitter was recalibrated, monitored and later returned to service. This channel will be monitored closely and the appropriate action taken if an intermittent drift occurs again.

6. Actions Taken to Prevent Recurrence:

It is not known at this time what actions will be necessary to prevent recurrence. If additional nonconservative drifts occur, the system will be placed in the trip mode.

7. Generic Implications:

None

ໃ804) 357–3184

PHONE:

U. S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION (7-97) LICENSEE EVENT REPORT ٦๋ۤ (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION) 1 2 0 0 - 0 0 0 0 0 - 0 0 3 4 1 14 15 LICENSE NUMBER CON'T SOURCE L 6 0 5 0 0 0 0 2 8 0 7 1 1 2 1 7 8 8 1 2 1 7 8 9 0 1 EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES (10) 10n 11/21/78, with the unit at full power, while performing PT-39.1, Snubber 0 2 1-WAPD-HSS-141B was observed with zero fluid level in the reservoir. 0 3 assisting in the test, declared the snubber inoperable. This event is reportable in 0 4 accordance with T.S. 6.6.2.b.(2). Since the snubber was replaced within the time 0 5 allowed by T.S. 3.20.B, there were no consequences and the health and safety of the 0 6 |public were not affected. 0 8 SYSTEM CAUSE CAUSE SUBCODE COMP COMPONENT CODE |U |P |O |R |T |(14) B 13 D (15) SEQUENTIAL **OCCURRENCE** REVISION REPORT NO. CODE LER/RO REPORT NUMBER SHUTDOWN COMPONENT FUTURE HOURS (22) CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (27) A close inspection of the snubber revealed that the fluid had leaked out through |faulty reservoir seals. The snubber was replaced with a Q.C. accepted shop spare. 1 2 1 4 OTHER STATUS 30 METHOD OF DISCOVERY % POWER DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION (32) Routine Inspection (31) ACTIVITY CONTENT AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY (35) LOCATION OF RELEASE (36) NA] (33) [80 PERSONNEL EXPOSURES DESCRIPTION (39) | Z |(38) PERSONNEL INJURIES DESCRIPTION (41) NUMBER NA 0 0 LOSS OF OR DAMAGE TO FACILITY (43) DESCRIPTION (42) NA PUBLICITY NRC USE ONLY DESCRIPTION (45) N [44] NA 30

T. L. Baucom

NAME OF PREPARER.

(Attachment, page 1 of

Surry Power Station, Unit 1

Docket No: 50-280

Report No: 78-041/03L-0 Event Date: 11/21/78

Inoperable Snubber

1. Description of Event:

On 11/21/78, with the unit at full power, while performing PT-39.1, Snubber 1-WAPD-HSS-141B was observed with zero fluid level in the reservoir. This snubber is not listed on PT-39.1, but was observed in the course of performing PT-39.1. The engineer assisting in the test, declared the snubber inoperable. This event is reportable in accordance with T.S. 6.6.2.b.(2).

2. Probable Consequences of Event:

Tech. Spec. 3.20.B allows a period of 72 hrs. in which any snubber found to be inoperable be repaired or replaced before a power rampdown is required. Since the inoperable snubber was replaced within the allowed time, there were no consequences from this event. The health and safety of the public were not affected.

3. Cause of Event:

Upon removal from containment, a close inspection of the snubber was made and revealed that the fluid had leaked out through faulty reservoir seals.

4. Immediate Corrective Action:

The snubber was replaced with a rebuilt Q.C. accepted shop spare.

5. Subsequent Corrective Action:

None required.

6. Actions Taken to Prevent Recurrence:

All snubbers will be inspected under PT-39B at the next required inspection.

7. Generic Implications:

The snubber in question is the newer type ITT Grinnell Fig. 200 model, which comes equipped with all EPR seals. This snubber has not been reworked at the station. Therefore, it is felt that this is not a generic problem but a random failure of factory equipment.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT



	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT
\$ 2	CONTROL BLOCK: PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)
0 1 7 8	V A S P S 1 2 0 0 - 0 0 0 0 - 0 0 3 4 1 1 1 1 1 5 5 CAT 58
CON'T 0 1 7 8	REPORT L 6 0 5 0 0 2 8 0 7 1 1 1 7 7 8 8 1 2 1 1 7 7 8 9 EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES 10
0 2	During normal power operation, manual valves 1-BD-8, 18, 28 were closed in an attempt
03	to stop a leak in a service water line on which maintenance was being performed.
0 4	Closure of these valves terminated flow through the blowdown radiation monitors
0 5	(RM-SS-112, 113). This is contrary to Technical Specification 3.11.A.6 and is
0 6	reportable per Technical Specification 6.6.2.b.(2).
0 7	
08	80
09	SYSTEM CAUSE CODE SUBCODE COMPONENT CODE SUBCODE SUBCO
	LER/RO REPORT YEAR REPORT NO. OCCURRENCE REPORT TYPE NO.
	ACTION FUTURE EFFECT SHUTDOWN ATTACHMENT NPRD-4 PRIME COMP. COMPONENT TAKEN ACTION ON PLANT METHOD HOURS (22) SUBMITTED FORM SUB. SUPPLIER MANUFACTURER
	H 18 Z 19 Z 20 Z 21 0 0 0 0 Y 23 N 24 Z 25 V 1 3 5 26 CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (27)
10	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
110	33 34 35 36 37 40 41 42 43 44 47 CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (27)
	CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (27) Operator error was the cause of the event. Upon discovery of the discrepancy the
111	CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (27) Operator error was the cause of the event. Upon discovery of the discrepancy the immediate corrective action was to re-establish flow through the blowdown radiation
111 112 113 114 7 8	CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (27) Operator error was the cause of the event. Upon discovery of the discrepancy the immediate corrective action was to re-establish flow through the blowdown radiation monitors as required by Technical Specifications.
1 1 2 1 3 7 8 1 5 7 8	CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (27) Operator error was the cause of the event. Upon discovery of the discrepancy the immediate corrective action was to re-establish flow through the blowdown radiation monitors as required by Technical Specifications. Method of Discovery Description (32) E (28) 1 0 0 (29) NA Z (31) NA 9
1 1 2 1 3 1 4 7 8 1 5 7 8 A	CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (27) Operator error was the cause of the event. Upon discovery of the discrepancy the immediate corrective action was to re-establish flow through the blowdown radiation monitors as required by Technical Specifications. METHOD OF DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION (32) 1 0 0 29 NA 2 31 NA 2 31 NA 30 NA 44 45 45 NA NA 36 NA NA 37 NA 44 45 NA NA 38 NA 38 NA NA 38 NA
1 1 2 1 3 1 4 7 8 1 5 7 8 A	CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (27) Operator error was the cause of the event. Upon discovery of the discrepancy the immediate corrective action was to re-establish flow through the blowdown radiation monitors as required by Technical Specifications. 1
1 1 2 1 3 1 4 7 8 1 5 7 8 A 1 7 8	CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (?) Operator error was the cause of the event. Upon discovery of the discrepancy the immediate corrective action was to re-establish flow through the blowdown radiation monitors as required by Technical Specifications. METHOD OF DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION (32) NA NA NA NA NA NA NA N
1 1 2 1 3 1 4 7 8 A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (27) Operator error was the cause of the event. Upon discovery of the discrepancy the immediate corrective action was to re-establish flow through the blowdown radiation monitors as required by Technical Specifications. METHOD OF DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION (32) I O O O O O O O O O
1 1 2 1 3 1 3 7 8 1 1 8 7 8 1 8 7 8 1 8 7 8	CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (27) Operator error was the cause of the event. Upon discovery of the discrepancy the immediate corrective action was to re-establish flow through the blowdown radiation monitors as required by Technical Specifications. METHOD OF DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION (32) METHOD OF DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION (32)

(Attachment, page 1 of 1)

Surry Power Station, wit 1

Docket No: 50-280

Report No: 78-042/03L-0 Event Date: 11/17/78

Title of Event: Blowdown Radiation Monitors Low Flow

1. Description of Event:

During normal power operation, manual valves 1-BD-8, 18, 28 were closed in an attempt to stop a leak in a service water line on which maintenance was being performed. Closure of these valves terminated flow through the blowdown radiation monitors (RM-SS-112, 113). This is contrary to Technical Specification 3.11.A.6 and is reportable per Technical Specification 6.6.2.b.(2).

2. Probable Consequences

Flow through the blowdown radiation monitors was interrupted for four to five minutes. The backup radiation monitor in the discharge tunnel remained operational during this interrupted period. Thus, the health and safety of the general public was not affected and there are no consequences of this event.

3. Cause of Events

Operator error was the cause of the event. The closure of other valves could have provided leak isolation without interrupting flow to the blowdown radiation monitors.

4. Immediate Corrective Actions:

Upon discovering the discrepancy, the immediate operator action was to re-establish flow to the blowdown radiation monitors as required by Technical Specifications.

5. Subsequent Corrective Actions:

Appropriate personnel have been re-instructed in this matter.

6. Actions Taken to Prevent Recurrence:

None necessary.

7. Generic Implications:

None