

SUNSI Review Complete
Template = ADM-013
E-RIDS=ADM-03
ADD= Antoinette Walker Smith

COMMENT #25
PUBLICATION DATE: 3/30/2018
CITATION # 83 FR 13802

JILL Caverly (jsc1)

As of: 4/19/18 9:34 AM Received: April 18, 2018 Status: Pending Post Tracking No. 1k2-92nw-bwam Comments Due: May 29, 2018 Submission Type: Web
--

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

Docket: NRC-2018-0052
Holtec International HI-STORE Consolidated Interim Storage Facility Project

Comment On: NRC-2018-0052-0001
Holtec International HI-STORE Consolidated Interim Storage Facility Project

Document: NRC-2018-0052-DRAFT-0025
Comment on FR Doc # 2018-06398

Submitter Information

Name: Shelby Miller

General Comment

RE: Docket ID NRC2018 0052; Holtec Internationals HISTORE CIS Facility for Spent Nuclear Fuel, Lea County, New Mexico

NRC:

I respectfully submit these scoping comments on the Holtec Environmental Report (ER) to bring up to 100,000 metric tons of spent fuel, high-level radioactive waste, from nuclear reactors around the country to southeast New Mexico. Please know that I do not consent to becoming a national radioactive waste dumping ground or to transporting up to 10,000 canisters of highly radioactive waste through thousands of communities. I should not have to risk the contamination of our land, aquifers or air or the health of plants, wildlife and livestock, endangering present and future generations.

This Holtec Proposal Is Contrary to Current Law
Current law only allows the U.S. Department of Energy to take title to commercial spent fuel following commencement of operation of a repository or at a DOE-owned and operated monitored retrievable storage facility. The Holtec site meets neither requirement, as it is a private facility.

Holtec Must Remove Copyrights And All Redactions in the Environmental Report
NRC must require Holtec to produce an ER that has no such copyright restriction and has no redactions.

The Impacts Of Permanent Storage Must Be Analyzed
The Environmental Report (ER) is inadequate and incomplete because it does not analyze the impacts of the spent fuel being left at the Holtec site indefinitely.

ML 18110A092

More Alternatives Must Be Analyzed

Keeping the spent fuel casks in some form of Hardened On Site Storage (HOSS) on the reactor sites must be analyzed.

The alternative of consolidated storage being done at an existing licensed Independent Spent Fuel Storage Facility (ISFSI) must be analyzed.

The Environmental Report inadequately discusses the transportation Risks

This ER must include all transportation routes and the potential impacts of accidents or terrorism incidents on public health and safety along all the routes.

The ER is inadequate and incomplete because it does not discuss how rail shipments from reactors without rail access would be accomplished and the risks and impacts of such shipments.

The Consequences To An Accident-Exposed Individual Must Be Analyzed

Terms like collective dose risk and person-rem are used to ignore the potential impacts to a single individual.

Cracked And Leaking Casks Must Be Addressed

The ER does not analyze exactly how radioactive waste from a cracked and leaking canister would be handled, since there is no wet pool or hot cell at the site.

More Cumulative Impacts Must Be Analyzed

The ER mentions WIPP but does not analyze the impacts of a radiologic release from WIPP on the proposed CIS site.

Impacts Of Future Railroads And Electric Lines Must Be Analyzed

The railroads and electric lines are not in place, but must be analyzed.

How many of the estimated 135 jobs will go to locals?

The total number of annual workers at the site could total as many as 135 when construction jobs are combined with the operating workforce.

Seismic Impacts On Stored Casks Must Be Stated

Although the ER gives a statement on recent seismic activity in the area, there is no analysis of what many 3.0 4.0 fracking-induced earthquakes will have on the buried casks.