

SUNSI Review Complete Template =  
ADM-013  
E-RIDS=ADM-03  
ADD= Antoinette Walker Smith JILL  
Caverly (jsc1)

COMMENT #24  
PUBLICATION DATE:  
3/30/2018  
CITATION # 83 FR 13802

As of: 4/18/18 6:35 AM Received: April 17, 2018 Status: Pending Post Tracking No. 1k2-92n6-t276 Comments Due: May 29, 2018 Submission Type: Web
--

## PUBLIC SUBMISSION

**Docket:** NRC-2018-0052

Holtec International HI-STORE Consolidated Interim Storage Facility Project

**Comment On:** NRC-2018-0052-0001

Holtec International HI-STORE Consolidated Interim Storage Facility Project

**Document:** NRC-2018-0052-DRAFT-0024

Comment on FR Doc # 2018-06398

---

### Submitter Information

**Name:** John Rath

**Address:**

429 Terrace Dr

Richardson, TX, 75081

**Email:** jrfree49@yahoo.com

**Organization:** Sierra club

---

### General Comment

Thanks for taking my comments. As a concerned citizen I offer the following reasons for my strong opposition to this storage facility project.

**Safety of transport.** As a national repository for dangerous levels of toxic materials, it must be transported throughout the country and near millions of other citizens. An accidental release would endanger many unprepared people. I suggest an alternative of leaving it at the origination point and not moving it at all. That would provide incentive to generate less and seek alternative solutions to its' creation in the first place.

**Safety of storage.** This part of the country is not immune to earthquakes and seismic shifting. This area is home to large sources of underground water sources. Leaking of radioactive materials into the aquifers endanger human and animal populations. I suggest an alternative of leaving it at the origination point and not moving it at all. That would provide incentive to generate less and seek alternative solutions to its' creation in the first place.

**Out of site; Out of mind.** The psychological response to allowing a national storage facility is that any incentive to reduce the generation of radioactive materials is lost. Making those who produce nuclear waste pay the cost of dealing with it locally will help our country move to non radioactive forms of technology.

ML 18110 A084

Who owns the waste? If a private company is allowed to profit from the transport and storage of nuclear waste, they should be responsible for 100% of the liability if something goes wrong. Our government should in NO WAY be subsidizing the transport, storage or insuring of these materials.