

SUNSI Review Complete  
Template = ADM-013  
E-RIDS=ADM-03  
ADD= Antoinette Walker Smith

COMMENT #23  
PUBLICATION DATE:  
4/6/2018  
CITATION # 83 FR 13802

As of: 4/17/18 9:22 AM Received: April 15, 2018 Status: Pending Post Tracking No. 1k2-92m1-cztf Comments Due: May 29, 2018 Submission Type: Web
--

ILL Caverly (jsc1)

## PUBLIC SUBMISSION

**Docket:** NRC-2018-0052

Holtec International HI-STORE Consolidated Interim Storage Facility Project

**Comment On:** NRC-2018-0052-0001

Holtec International HI-STORE Consolidated Interim Storage Facility Project

**Document:** NRC-2018-0052-DRAFT-0023

Comment on FR Doc # 2018-06398

---

### Submitter Information

**Name:** Mark M Giese

**Address:**

1520 Bryn Mawr Ave  
Racine, WI, 53403

**Email:** m.mk@juno.com

---

### General Comment

Southeast New Mexico, near the Texas border, has the dubious distinction that every single train car load of high-level radioactive waste will pass through on its way into (and, if it ever leaves, out of) Holtec International/Ed dy-Lea [Counties] Energy Alliance (ELEA). But transport impacts, to eventually import more irradiated nuclear fuel than currently exists in the U.S. into s.e. NM will be felt nationwide.

Transporting 100,000 metric tons, or more, of irradiated nuclear fuel to NM makes this proposal even bigger than the highly controversial, unacceptable Yucca Mountain, Nevada permanent burial dump scheme, in terms of transport impacts (limited to 70,000 metric tons under current law). In that sense, when it comes to radioactive waste transportation

risks, we all live in New Mexico.

Stop these plans, please.

Thank you.