

83FR06475
PR-61

5

As of: 4/16/18 9:26 AM Received: April 15, 2018 Status: Pending_Post Tracking No. 1k2-92lv-27e9 Comments Due: April 16, 2018 Submission Type: Web
--

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

Docket: NRC-2017-0081
Greater-Than-Class C and Transuranic Waste

Comment On: NRC-2017-0081-0001
Disposal of Greater-than-Class C and Transuranic Waste; Public Meeting

Document: NRC-2017-0081-DRAFT-0004
Comment on FR Doc # 2018-03085

Submitter Information

Name: Jackson Tomchek

General Comment

Please see attached files

Attachments

Regulatory Comment

From: Jackson Tomchek, Student at Indiana University
To: Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Attn: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

April 15, 2018

Re: Docket No. NRC-20 17-0081: Agency: United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC): Action: Seeking participation and involvement in identifying various technical issues that should be considered in developing regulation to dispose of Greater-Than-Class-C and Transuranic Waste through means other than a deep geological disposal, including near surface disposal. 10 C.F.R. § 61 (2017).

I am currently a graduating senior studying Entrepreneurship & Corporate Innovation at The Kelley School of Business at Indiana University. This semester I have been enrolled in a class titled Business Enterprise and Public Policy where we are studying regulation and the effects it can have on economies. One of our assignments deals with commenting on a regulation during its commenting period providing a suggestion for improvement. In this case, I will be commenting on this regulation regarding the **NRC Seeking Comments on GTCC and Transuranic Radioactive Waste.**

I believe that within the primary document the information presented is very beneficial for stakeholders because it thoroughly analyzes the issue at hand and lets stakeholders know what regulators are looking for in the form of comments. Furthermore, it goes forward in detail exactly how to submit comments in order to make sure that the comments will make the public docket. However, I believe the way this different information is laid out could be improved upon. Rather than having the “Addresses” section and “For Further Information Contact” section ahead

of “Supplementary Information” section I would reverse this order. I would do this because it will allow commenters to see more information about what the NRC is looking for specifically through their comments before providing all of the other information that may not be as useful to them at that point.