



**UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
REGION II**

245 PEACHTREE CENTER AVENUE NE, SUITE 1200  
ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30303-1257

April 12, 2018

Mr. Thomas D. (Tom) Ray  
Site Vice President  
Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC  
McGuire Nuclear Station  
MG01VP/12700 Hagers Ferry Road  
Huntersville, NC 28078

**SUBJECT: MCGUIRE NUCLEAR STATION – NRC DESIGN BASES ASSURANCE  
INSPECTION (TEAM) REPORT 05000369/2018010 AND 05000370/2018010**

Dear Mr. Ray:

On March 2, 2018, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) completed an inspection at your McGuire Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2, and the NRC inspectors discussed the results of this inspection with you and other members of your staff. Additional inspection results were discussed during a teleconference held on April 4, 2018, with Mr. Snider and other members of your staff. The results of this inspection are documented in the enclosed report.

NRC inspectors documented two findings of very low safety significance (Green) in this report. One of these findings involved a violation of NRC requirements; this violation was determined to be Severity Level IV under the traditional enforcement process. The NRC is treating this violation as a non-cited violation (NCV) consistent with Section 2.3.2.a of the Enforcement Policy.

If you contest the violation or significance of the NCV, you should provide a response within 30 days of the date of this inspection report, with the basis for your denial, to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, DC 20555-0001; with copies to the Regional Administrator, Region II; the Director, Office of Enforcement; and the NRC resident inspector at the McGuire Nuclear Station.

If you disagree with the cross-cutting aspect assignment or the finding not associated with a regulatory requirement in this report, you should provide a response within 30 days of the date of this inspection report, with the basis for your disagreement, to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, DC 20555-0001; with copies to the Regional Administrator, Region II; and the NRC resident inspector at the McGuire Nuclear Station.

This letter, its enclosure, and your response (if any) will be made available for public inspection and copying at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html> and at the NRC Public Document Room in accordance with 10 CFR 2.390, "Public Inspections, Exemptions, Requests for Withholding."

Sincerely,

*/RA/*

Marvin D. Sykes, Chief  
Engineering Branch 1  
Division of Reactor Safety

Docket Nos. 50-369, 50-370  
License Nos. NPF-9, NPF-17

Enclosure:  
Inspection Report 05000369/2018010  
and 05000370/2018010

cc: Distribution via ListServ

SUBJECT: MCGUIRE NUCLEAR STATION – NRC DESIGN BASES ASSURANCE  
INSPECTION (TEAM) REPORT 05000369/2018010 AND 05000370/2018010

DISTRIBUTION:

M. Greenleaf, RII  
M. Schwieg, RII  
B. Davis, RII  
G. Ottenberg, RII  
M. Yeminy, NRR  
H. Leake, NRR  
M. Sykes, RII  
F. Ehrhardt, RII

PUBLICLY AVAILABLE       NON-PUBLICLY AVAILABLE       SENSITIVE       NON-SENSITIVE  
ADAMS:  Yes      ACCESSION NUMBER: \_\_\_\_\_       SUNSI REVIEW COMPLETE       FORM 665 ATTACHED

OFFICE	RII:DRS	RII:DRS	RII:DRS	RII:DRS	CONTRACTOR	CONTRACTOR
SIGNATURE	<b>MCG9 EMAIL</b>	<b>MES1 EMAIL</b>	<b>BJD4 EMAIL</b>	<b>GKO</b>	<b>MXY4 EMAIL</b>	<b>HCL1 EMAIL</b>
NAME	M. GREENLEAF	M. SCHWIEG	B. DAVIS	G. OTTENBERG	M. YEMINY	H. LEAKE
DATE	4/3/2018	3/30/2018	3/30/2018	4/10/2018	3/29/2018	3/29/2018
E-MAIL COPY?	YES NO	YES NO	YES NO	YES	YES NO	YES NO
OFFICE	RII: DRS					
SIGNATURE	<b>MDS</b>					
NAME	M. SYKES					
DATE	4/12/2018					
E-MAIL COPY?	YES NO	YES NO				

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY      DOCUMENT NAME: S:\DRS NEW\ENG BRANCH 1\BRANCH INSPECTION FILES\2017-2018-2019 CYCLE INSPECTION FOLDER FOR ALL SITES\DBAIS\MCGUIRE\REPORT\2018 MCGUIRE - DBAI INSPECTION REPORT\_FINAL.DOCX

**U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
Inspection Report**

Docket Numbers: 50-369, 50-370

License Numbers: NPF-9, NPF-17

Report Numbers: 05000369/2018010; and 05000370/2018010

Enterprise Identifier: I-2018-010-0022

Licensee: Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC

Facility: McGuire Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2

Location: Huntersville, NC 28078

Inspection Dates: February 12, 2018, to March 2, 2018

Inspectors: G. Ottenberg, Senior Reactor Inspector (Lead)  
B. Davis, Senior Reactor Inspector  
M. Greenleaf, Reactor Inspector  
M. Schwieg, Reactor Inspector  
H. Leake, Contractor  
M. Yeminy, Contractor

Approved By: M. Sykes, Chief  
Engineering Branch 1  
Division of Reactor Safety

## SUMMARY

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) continued monitoring Duke’s performance by conducting a Design Bases Assurance Inspection (Team) at McGuire Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2, in accordance with the Reactor Oversight Process. The Reactor Oversight Process is the NRC’s program for overseeing the safe operation of commercial nuclear power reactors. Refer to <https://www.nrc.gov/reactors/operating/oversight.html> for more information. NRC-identified findings and violations are summarized in the table below.

### List of Findings and Violations

Failure to Update Offsite Circuit Operability Limit for Single Busline Alignment			
Cornerstone	Significance	Cross-cutting Aspect	Report Section
Mitigating Systems	Green FIN 05000369/2018010-01 Closed	[H.6] – Human Performance, Design Margins	71111.21M – Design Bases Assurance Inspection
<p>The inspectors identified a Green finding for the licensee’s failure to update calculations as required by procedure AD-EG-ALL-1117, “Design Analyses and Calculations,” Rev. 5. Specifically, the licensee revised calculation MCC-1381.05-00-0258, “U1, 6.9kV, 4.16kV &amp; 600V Auxiliary Power Systems Safety-Related Voltage Analysis,” Rev. 6, to identify the effect of longer motor-driven auxiliary feedwater pump (CA pump) acceleration times on the switchyard voltage limits in place to ensure offsite power source operability. However, the licensee failed to update the previously analyzed condition of only one offsite circuit in service from the switchyard to the 4160V Class 1E buses via the unit step-up and unit auxiliary transformers (single busline alignment). As a result, there was no verification that the offsite circuit operability limit was adequate during single busline alignment.</p>			

Failure to Update the FSAR With Pertinent Design Information			
Cornerstone	Significance	Cross-cutting Aspect	Report Section
Mitigating Systems	Green Severity Level IV NCV 05000369,370/2018010-02 Closed	None	71111.21M – Design Bases Assurance Inspection
<p>The inspectors identified a Green finding and associated Severity Level IV Non-cited Violation (NCV) of Title 10 of the <i>Code of Federal Regulations</i> (10 CFR) 50.71(e), for the licensee’s failure to update the final safety analysis report (FSAR) to include the design function of manually opening the residual heat removal (ND) system shutdown cooling suction valves. Consequently, the licensee failed to consider the design capability of the valves, time impacts on dose consequence analyses, and the implication of pressure locking.</p>			

## INSPECTION SCOPES

Inspections were conducted using the appropriate portions of the inspection procedure (IP) in effect at the beginning of the inspection unless otherwise noted. Currently approved IPs with their attached revision histories are located on the public website at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/insp-manual/inspection-procedure/index.html>. Samples were declared complete when the IP requirements most appropriate to the inspection activity were met consistent with Inspection Manual Chapter (IMC) 2515, "Light-Water Reactor Inspection Program - Operations Phase." The inspectors reviewed selected procedures and records, observed activities, performed walk downs, and interviewed personnel to assess licensee performance and compliance with Commission rules and regulations, license conditions, site procedures, and standards.

## REACTOR SAFETY

### 71111.21M - Design Bases Assurance Inspection (Team)

The inspectors evaluated the following components, permanent modifications, and operating experience during the weeks of February 12 to February 16, 2018, and February 26 to March 2, 2018.

#### Component (3 Samples)

- (1) Shutdown Cooling Suction Valves, [1(2)ND-1B and 1(2)ND-2AC]
- (2) 1B2 Component Cooling Pump [1B2 KC Pump]
- (3) Battery Charger [EVCA]

#### Component Large Early Release Frequency (LERF) (1 Sample)

- (1) Essential 600 Volt Motor Control Center [1EMXA]

#### Permanent Modification (4 Samples)

- (1) EC 102373, NV Charging Pump 1A Rotating Assembly Upgrade MOD
- (2) EC 102477, RN Strainer Backwash Pump - 1A MOD
- (3) EC 104503, Replace 1A EDG Voltage Regulator U1 (MOD)
- (4) EC 405274, Revise Unit 1 CA Pump Motor Time Overcurrent Relay 51 Setting

#### Operating Experience (2 Samples)

- (1) NRC Information Notice 2013-05: Battery Expected Life and Impact on Surveillance Requirements
- (2) NRC Information Notice 2017-06: Battery and Battery Charger Short-circuit Current Contributions to a Fault on the Direct Current Distribution System

## INSPECTION RESULTS

Failure to Update Offsite Circuit Operability Limit for Single Busline Alignment			
Cornerstone	Significance	Cross-cutting Aspect	Report Section
Mitigating Systems	Green FIN 05000369/2018010-01 Closed	[H.6] – Human Performance, Design Margins	71111.21M – Design Bases Assurance Inspection
<p>The inspectors identified a Green finding for the licensee’s failure to update calculations as required by procedure AD-EG-ALL-1117, “Design Analyses and Calculations,” Rev. 5. Specifically, the licensee revised calculation MCC-1381.05-00-0258, “U1, 6.9kV, 4.16kV &amp; 600V Auxiliary Power Systems Safety-Related Voltage Analysis,” Rev. 6, to identify the effect of longer motor-driven auxiliary feedwater pump (CA pump) acceleration times on the switchyard voltage limits in place to ensure offsite power source operability. However, the licensee failed to update the previously analyzed condition of only one offsite circuit in service from the switchyard to the 4160 volt (V) Class 1E buses via the unit step-up and unit auxiliary transformers (single busline alignment). As a result, there was no verification that the offsite circuit operability limit was adequate during single busline alignment.</p>			
<p><b>Description:</b> While reviewing permanent modification engineering change (EC) 405274, which revised the CA pump motor time-overcurrent relay setting due to an increase in the calculated acceleration time, the inspectors observed that calculation MCC-1381.05-00-0258, Rev. 6, had not been updated to address the effect of the longer acceleration time on the post-contingency minimum switchyard voltage operability limit for single busline alignment. Post-contingency is the steady-state condition following a main generator trip and automatic sequencing of accident loads onto the plant electrical buses.</p> <p>On February 7, 2014, the licensee issued corrective action document nuclear condition report (NCR) 01901517, which identified that the brake horsepower of the CA pump could be higher than previously analyzed when the pump is aligned to a depressurized steam generator. On August 4, 2016, the licensee issued NCR 02051245, which identified that the torque developed by the Unit 1 CA pump motors was lower than previously documented. These NCRs recognized that the respective conditions would result in longer acceleration times than previously analyzed and initiated actions to re-analyze the effects of the longer acceleration times.</p> <p>Motor acceleration time is also affected by the voltage at the motor terminals during starting. Lower voltage results in lower motor torque and longer acceleration time. On August 14, 2016, the licensee issued calculation MCC-1381.05-00-0374, “CA Terminal Voltage Calculation for CA OBDN [operable but degraded/non-conforming],” Rev. 0. On August 15, 2016, the licensee issued calculation MCC-1223.42-00-0074, “Prompt Determination of Operability for PIP M-14-01114 (CA Pump Motor Loads Under Adverse Conditions),” Rev. 2. These calculations addressed the need to maintain adequate switchyard voltage during events involving automatic load sequencing onto offsite power to ensure that the CA pump acceleration time was less than the degraded voltage relay minimum time delay.</p> <p>In their March 30, 2006, letter to the NRC, “Response to NRC Generic Letter 2006-02, Grid Reliability and the Impact on Plant Risk and the Operability of Offsite Power,” the licensee stated, “The minimum switchyard voltage that is required to ensure minimum NPP [nuclear</p>			

power plant] voltage recovery, following start of post-loss of coolant accident (LOCA) loads and degraded voltage dropout and reset setpoints, are determined and documented by NPP calculations.... The TSO's [transmission system operator's] pre- and post- contingency NPP switchyard bus voltage alarms are developed by a bounding analysis study, which is performed by a collaborated effort between the TSO and NPP engineering staff.... Duke Power's TSO makes use of grid analysis tools to predict grid conditions that would identify potential offsite power system inadequacies, which are communicated to the NPP operators so that they can determine NPP offsite power supply OPERABILITY."

Calculation MCC-1223.42-00-0074 identified the need to maintain the CA pump motor terminal voltage at 3586V during starting to ensure an acceleration time of no more than 9.08 seconds. This 9.08 second acceleration time was considered acceptable, because it provided margin to the 9.33 second minimum time delay of the degraded voltage relays under accident conditions. Calculation MCC-1381.05-00-0374 identified the need to maintain the Unit 1 post-contingency switchyard voltage at 224.82 kilovolts (kV) to ensure a CA pump motor terminal starting voltage of 3586V for dual busline alignment. However, the analysis did not establish a corresponding post-contingency switchyard voltage limit for the single busline alignment condition.

On September 12, 2016, the licensee issued calculation MCC-1381.05-00-0258, "U1, 6.9kV, 4.16kV & 600V Auxiliary Power Systems Safety-Related Voltage Analysis," Rev. 5. This revision was to, "support the CA OBDN calculation results requiring the 230kV Switchyard voltages to be raised to support operability." This calculation revision also failed to address the effect of the longer CA pump acceleration time on single busline alignment. The calculation was reissued as revision 6 on April 25, 2017, to incorporate some unrelated changes, and specified a minimum grid voltage of 232.0kV for the single busline alignment condition. This result was a carry-over from the old analysis prior to discovery of the increased CA pump acceleration time.

On November 30, 2017, the licensee issued a memorandum, "Minimum Grid Voltage Requirements For Catawba, McGuire, and Oconee Nuclear Plants," for use by transmission operations. It specified a "Minimum Grid Voltage with One Bus Line and GSU in Service," for McGuire Unit 1 of 232.00 kV, again not reflecting the need for a higher post-contingency voltage because of the increased CA pump acceleration time. Based on this obsolete information, the transmission operator specified 232.00 kV as the real-time contingency analyzer alarm setpoint to be used if the plant entered the single busline alignment condition. The licensee provided a printout of the transmission operator's computerized voltage schedule that indicated that this value had been adopted. At the time that this voltage schedule was put in place, there was no analysis that quantified the higher required post-contingency switchyard voltage needed for the single busline alignment condition because of the longer CA pump acceleration time.

Procedure AD-EG-ALL-1117, Rev. 5, section 5.4.1, Calculation Revision Overview, stated, "If revising a Calculation, then the Originator should determine whether the revision being made affects or invalidates the previous work, including ICCs [interim calculation changes]. If so, then the Originator revises the previous work as required." To the contrary, the Originator failed to revise the previous work to address the effect of the longer CA pump acceleration time on the operability limit for post-contingency switchyard voltage for the single busline alignment.



McGuire failed to update the analysis that demonstrated that the statements in Updated Final Safety Analysis Report (UFSAR) section 8.3.1.1.3, and Table 8-2 were met. Specifically, UFSAR section 8.3.1.1.3, stated, "In the event of the loss of one of the unit auxiliary transformers, the two 6900V switchgear assemblies that are normally energized from that transformer will automatically transfer to the alternate unit auxiliary transformer, which will then furnish power to the four switchgear assemblies." Additionally, Table 8-2, "Single Failure Analysis for the Offsite Power Systems," states that after the loss of one switchyard power circuit breaker to the step-up transformer, circuit from the switchyard to either main transformer, or a main transformer, "one of the two independent offsite circuits to each unit is available." While the ability of the single busline to adequately furnish power without actuating the degraded voltage relays was not shown through analysis, the inspectors determined that the licensee had not operated the unit in the single busline alignment since the non-updated post-contingency switchyard voltage value had been provided to the TSO.

Corrective Actions: The licensee performed preliminary analyses to support an immediate determination of operability for this issue, using the existing models of the AC distribution system and associated ETAP software within calculations MCC-1381.05-00-0258, "U1 6.9KV, 4.16KV & 600V Auxiliary Power Systems Safety-Related Voltage Analysis," and MCC-1381.05-00-0263, "U2 6.9KV, 4.16KV & 600V Auxiliary Power System Safety-Related Voltage Analysis." Based on the preliminary analysis, the required minimum post-contingency switchyard voltage level for Unit 1 during single busline alignment, considering the longer AFWP starting time, was 233.18kV. This was higher than the real time contingency analyzer alarm setpoint that was specified in the transmission operator's voltage schedule of 232kV. The licensee notified the transmission operator that the alarm setpoint for this condition needed to be increased to 235.25kV.

Corrective Action Reference: NCR 02185267

#### Performance Assessment:

Performance Deficiency: The inspectors determined that the failure to revise the previously-analyzed single busline alignment condition when updating the calculated allowable value of post-contingency switchyard voltage, as required by procedure AD-EG-ALL-1117, "Design Analyses and Calculations," was a performance deficiency.

Screening: The performance deficiency was more than minor because, if left uncorrected, it could have resulted in a more significant safety concern. Specifically, the result of the failure to update the calculation for single busline alignment resulted in the station providing a non-conservative value of minimum acceptable post-contingency switchyard voltage to the transmission operator. If the plant had gone to the single busline alignment condition, the transmission operator would not notify the site that offsite power was inoperable until the non-conservative value (setpoint) was reached (232kV for Unit 1). If the station was in single busline alignment, post contingency switchyard voltage from transmission's real time contingency analyzer could have shown that McGuire's offsite line was operable and no action would be taken when it was inoperable.

Significance: The team evaluated the finding in accordance with NRC Inspection Manual Chapter (IMC) 0609, Attachment 4, "Initial Characterization of Findings," issued October 7, 2016, for Mitigating Systems, and IMC 0609, Appendix A, "The Significance Determination Process (SDP) for Findings At-Power," issued June 19, 2012, and determined the finding to be

of very low safety significance (Green) because the finding was a deficiency affecting the design of a mitigating structure, system, or component (SSC), and the SSC maintained its operability or functionality. Specifically, the offsite power source had not been inoperable since the time at which the calculation should have been revised, because they had not been in the single busline alignment.

Cross Cutting Aspect: The finding had a cross-cutting aspect in the Design Margins component of the Human Performance cross-cutting area, which required that the margins are carefully guarded and changed only through a systematic and rigorous process. In this case, the licensee identified conditions that could result in longer CA pump acceleration times than previously analyzed and recognized that this would result in an increase in the post-contingency switchyard voltage operability limits to ensure margin prior to the degraded voltage relay timeout during design basis events with offsite power available. However, the licensee only assessed the effect of the condition during dual busline alignment and failed to address the effect on design margins during single busline alignment.

Enforcement: The inspectors did not identify a violation of regulatory requirements associated with this finding.

Failure to Update the FSAR With Pertinent Design Information			
Cornerstone	Significance/Severity	Cross-cutting Aspect	Report Section
Mitigating Systems	Green Severity Level IV NCV 05000369,370/2018010-02 Closed	None	71111.21M – Design Bases Assurance Inspection
<p>The inspectors identified a Green finding and associated Severity Level IV Non-cited Violation (NCV) of Title 10 of the <i>Code of Federal Regulations</i> (10 CFR) 50.71(e), for the licensee's failure to update the final safety analysis report (FSAR) to include the design function of manually opening the residual heat removal (ND) system shutdown cooling suction valves. Consequently, the licensee failed to consider the design capability of the valves, time impacts on dose consequence analyses, and the implication of pressure locking.</p>			
<p><u>Description:</u> The inspectors reviewed NCR 01613287 which evaluated the need for an operator work around to vent the pressure from the piping between the ND-1B and ND-2AC valves prior to opening, to ensure trapped pressure between the valves does not cause the motor operated valve (MOV) loads to exceed the actuator design ratings. The shutdown cooling suction MOVs, ND-1B and ND-2AC, are located in series on a suction pipe coming from the reactor coolant system loop 3 hot leg, are located inside containment, and serve as the ND system suction valves during plant cool down. While reviewing the NCR, the inspectors identified that the design function to open these valves was not described in the UFSAR as it was presented in docketed correspondence. Prior to issuance of the McGuire operating license, the NRC's Safety Evaluation Report (SER) Supplement 4, dated January 1981, discussed the NRC's acceptance of McGuire's ND shutdown cooling suction line design. It discussed that MOVs ND-1B and ND-2AC have a design function to open and that the potential for one of the valves in series to fail to open was acceptable because the valves</p>			

could be manually opened to establish the ND system alignment to cool the plant down. The FSAR was not subsequently updated to reflect this required function or capability.

The inspectors determined that failure to update the FSAR with a description of the function of the ND valves to be opened manually, resulted in the following:

1. The licensee failed to develop an analysis or perform testing that demonstrated the ND-1B and ND-2AC valves could be manually opened under design conditions.
2. In view of the design functional requirement for manual opening capability, the ND-1B and ND-2AC valves for Unit 1 were improperly excluded from the scope of valves evaluated for bonnet pressure locking (Generic Letter (GL) 95-07). It was determined that the Unit 2 valves contained a hole drilled in the upstream discs that would eliminate the pressure locking phenomena and thus would not need be included in the scope.
3. The licensee failed to recognize the adverse impact of cooldown restrictions when WCAP-16632, "Inactive Loop Flow Stagnation During Natural Circulation Cooldown," was issued. To address the restrictions in the document, changes were incorporated into McGuire's emergency procedures (EP), EP/1(2)/A/5000/ES-0.2, Rev. 13(16). However, when updating the emergency procedures, the licensee failed to recognize that the updates could affect their radiological consequence calculations. The updated procedures could allow the duration of the radiological release during the accident to extend beyond the time frame assumed in the dose consequence analysis for a main steam line break. Since the analyzed release of radioactive material wasn't assumed to be stopped until after the licensee establishes the shutdown cooling alignment, the dose released by the accident could be greater than that currently analyzed.
4. The licensee failed to ensure dose consequence analyses for several UFSAR chapter 15 accidents accounted for the potential effect of ND system operation being delayed by local manual opening of valves ND-1B and ND-2AC. Local manual operation of the valves may be required for cases involving single failure. It was determined that the analyses didn't explicitly account for various holds in the cooldown EP nor the time required for local manual opening of ND-1B and ND-2AC.

Corrective Actions: The licensee developed corrective actions to update the UFSAR with the design function of the ND-1B and ND-2AC valves to be opened manually and evaluate whether documented correspondence to GL 95-07 and GL 89-10 needs to be updated. Calculations were developed to demonstrate that the valves could be opened manually and that dose consequences would have remained within required limits based on actual prior plant conditions. In addition, the licensee placed an administrative limit on the maximum dose equivalent iodine (DEI) to ensure accident doses remain within limits. The licensee also took measures to ensure that containment would remain accessible to operators following applicable design basis events.

Corrective Action References: NCRs 02185195, 02187791, 02188028, 02188216

Performance Assessment:

Performance Deficiency: The inspectors determined that the licensee's failure to update the final safety analysis report (FSAR) to include the design function of manually opening the residual heat removal (ND) system shutdown cooling suction valves as required by 10 CFR 50.71(e) was a performance deficiency.

Screening: The performance deficiency was determined to be more than minor because, if left uncorrected, the performance deficiency would have the potential to lead to a more significant safety concern. Specifically, the lack of detail in the FSAR could lead the licensee to fail to account for the design function appropriately, such as not confirming the ability to operate the valves manually, or not appropriately considering the effect of delayed operation due to manual operation.

Significance: The team evaluated the finding in accordance with NRC Inspection Manual Chapter (IMC) 0609, Attachment 4, "Initial Characterization of Findings," issued October 7, 2016, for Mitigating Systems, and IMC 0609, Appendix A, "The Significance Determination Process (SDP) for Findings At-Power," issued June 19, 2012, and determined the finding to be of very low safety significance (Green) because the finding was a deficiency affecting the design of a mitigating SSC, and the SSC had maintained its operability. Specifically, the licensee demonstrated the ability to operate the valves manually, and confirmed that prior plant parameters would not have led to exceeding required dose limits.

Cross Cutting Aspect: No cross cutting aspect was assigned because the team determined the finding did not reflect present licensee performance.

Enforcement:

Severity: The ROP's significance determination process does not specifically consider the regulatory process impact in its assessment of licensee performance. Therefore, it is necessary to address this violation which impedes the NRC's ability to regulate using traditional enforcement to adequately deter non-compliance. This finding was determined to be a Severity Level IV violation using Section 6.1.d of the NRC's Enforcement Policy, dated November 1, 2016, because the licensee failed to update the final safety analysis report (FSAR) to include the design function of manually opening the residual heat removal (ND) system shutdown cooling suction valves and the lack of up-to-date information had a material impact on safety or licensed activities.

Violation: 10 CFR 50.71(e) required, in part, "Each person licensed to operate a nuclear power reactor under the provisions of 50.21 or 50.22... shall update periodically, as provided in paragraphs (e)(3) and (4) of this section, the final safety analysis report (FSAR) originally submitted as part of the application for the license, to assure that the information contains the latest information developed."

Contrary to the above, from July 1983 to present, the licensee did not update their FSAR originally submitted as part of the application for the license, as provided in paragraph (e)(3), to assure that the information contained the latest information developed. Specifically, the licensee had not updated the FSAR within 24 months of the date of issuance of the operating license to assure that the information contained the latest information developed. New information regarding the design of the ND system was developed and accepted in SER Supplement 4, and the licensee failed to update the FSAR to include the manual open design function of the ND shutdown cooling suction valves, ND-1B and ND-2AC.

Enforcement Actions: This violation is being treated as a Non-Cited Violation, consistent with Section 2.3.2 of the Enforcement Policy.

## EXIT MEETINGS AND DEBRIEFS

The inspectors confirmed that proprietary information was controlled to protect from public disclosure.

On March 2, 2018, the inspectors presented the design bases assurance (team) inspection results to Mr. T. Ray, Site Vice President, and other members of the licensee staff. On April 4, 2018, additional inspection results were presented to Mr. Snider and other members of the licensee's staff.

## DOCUMENTS REVIEWED

### Calculations

DPC-1381.06-00-001, Nuclear Switchyard Minimum Grid Voltage Requirements, Rev. 25  
MCC-1201.05-00-0006, Rerate Qualification Report for 3x4x9E MSD 9 Stage Auxiliary Feedwater Pump, Rev. 1  
MCC-1205.06-00-0046, Rim Pull Requirements for Time critical Valves, Rev. 5  
MCC-1211.00-00-0004, McGuire Nuclear Station Unit 1&2 Diesel Generator Ventilation Calculation, Rev. 32  
MCC-1223.23-00-0003, Documentation for Component Cooling Surge Tank, Rev. 1  
MCC-1223.23-00-0022, KC Surge Tank Level Instrument, Rev. 14  
MCC-1223.23-00-0022, KC Surge Tank Level Instrumentation, Rev. 0  
MCC-1223.23-00-0027, KC Pump NPSH, Rev. 0  
MCC-1223.42-00-0066, Hydraulic Evaluations for EC 101080 and Determination of CA Flow Limits, Rev. 3  
MCC-1229.00-00-0019, Post Accident Shielding Calculation, Rev. 19  
MCC-1281.05-00-0200, U1/2, 125VDC Vital I&C Power System (EPL) Battery Sizing and Battery Charger Sizing, Rev. 10  
MCC-1381.05-00-0260, ETAP DG Dynamic Analysis, Rev. 7  
MCC-1381.05-00-0007, Cable Ampacities and Impedances to be Used in Cable Sizing for the McGuire 575 volt, 4000 volt, and 6600 volt Motors, Rev. 13  
MCC-1381.05-00-0008, Design Calculations for Cable Sizing for the McGuire Auxiliary Feed Water Pump Motor, Rev. 0  
MCC-1381.05-00-0094, Protective Relay Setting Calculation for Essential Switchgear, Rev. 26  
MCC-1381.05-00-0147, Maximum Allowable Cable Lengths for Size 1 and 2 Starters at McGuire, Rev. 9  
MCC-1381.05-00-0187, Summary of Analysis of Current Diesel Generator Loads, Rev. 10  
MCC-1381.05-00-0200, U1/2, 125VDC Vital I&C Power System (EPL) Battery Sizing and Battery Charger Sizing, Rev. 8  
MCC-1381.05-00-0214, U1/2, 125 VDC Vital I&C Power System (EPL) Short Circuit Analysis, Rev. 8  
MCC-1381.05-00-0230, U1/2, 125 VDC Vital I&C Power System (EPL) Voltage Drop Analysis, Rev. 7  
MCC-1381.05-00-0257, U1/2 AC Auxiliary Power System ETAP Model Base File, Rev. 17  
MCC-1381.05-00-0264, U1/2 Spare Auxiliary Feedwater Pump Starting Times, Rev. 1  
MCC-1381.05-00-0276, Auxiliary Feedwater Pump (CA) Motor Torque and Acceleration Characteristics, Rev. 0  
MCC-1381.05-00-0281, Control Power Transformer Qualified Life Analysis, Rev. 0  
MCC-1381.05-00-0301, Unit 1, 6.9KV and 600V Auxiliary Power System Short Circuit Analysis, Rev. 8

MCC-1381.05-00-0335, Unit 1/2 NFPA 805 Circuit Breaker and Fuse Coordination Study, Rev. 21  
MCM 1201.05-0510.001, Technical Justification of the hydroaire Pump Rotor Element for the NV Charging Pump, Rev. 4

### **Drawings**

2KC-351, sht. 4, MCSR D – KC System Auxiliary Building, Rev. 3  
2KC-351, sht. 6, MCSR D – KC System Auxiliary Building, Rev. 3  
2KC-367, sht. 1, MCSR D – KC System Auxiliary Building, Rev. 5  
2KC-367, sht. 1, MCSR D – KC System Auxiliary Building, Rev. 5  
2KC-367, sht. 4, MCSR D – KC System Auxiliary Building, Rev. 3  
2KC-367, sht. 6, MCSR D – KC System Auxiliary Building, Rev. 3  
71-500-031, Component Cooling Pumps General Arrangement, Rev. D0F  
D-13-11, Component cooling Surge Tank, Rev. D9  
DS-C-68384, Nozzle Type relief Valve, Rev. DB  
ERN.MC00108Z, Component Cooling Pump Head Capacity Curve, December 15, 1974  
LD-244-1, 4 Inch Vacuum Vent ANSI 150# Raised Face Flange, Rev. B  
MC-1240.04.13-01, PEP Manual Figure 4.13-1, Rev. 1  
MC-1705-01.00, One Line Diagram 125 VDC/120VAC Vital Instr & Cont Power Sys, Rev. 114  
MCCD-1700-00.00, Unit 1 Configuration One Line Diagram Unit Essential Power System, Rev. 5  
MCCD-1703-06.01, One Line Diagram 600 VAC Essential Motor Control Center 1EMXA, Rev. 45  
MCCD-1703-10.06, One Line Diagram 600 VAC Motor Control Center SMXE, Rev. 8  
MCEE-0120-03.03, Elementary Diagram EHT & ELA Systems A-Train Shunt Trip Control, Rev. 1  
MCEE-114-00.06, Elementary Diagram Diesel Generator 1A Load Sequencer Part 6, Rev. 10  
MCEE-156-03.04, Elementary Diagram Incore Room Vent (VT) Shunt Trip Control for VT, VU, VR, & EPD, Rev. 17  
MCEE-156-03.04-03, Elementary Diagram A Train Shunt Trip Controls, Rev. 0  
MCFD-1573-01.00, Flow Diagram Component Cooling System, Rev. 13  
MCFD-1573-02.00, Flow Diagram Component Cooling System, Rev. 6  
MCFD-1573-02.01, Flow Diagram Component Cooling System, Rev. 1  
MCFD-1573-02.02, Flow Diagram Component Cooling System, Rev. 4  
MCFD-1573-03.00, Flow Diagram Component Cooling System, Rev. 12  
MCFD-1573-03.01, Flow Diagram Component Cooling System, Rev. 8  
MCFD-1573-04.00, Flow Diagram Component Cooling System, Rev. 15  
MCLL-1703-04.01, 1EMXA Load List, Rev. 70

### **Procedures**

AD-EG-DEC-1477, Class QA1 and QA5 AC and DC Molded Case Circuit Breaker Component Program, Rev. 0  
AP/1/A/5500/03, Load Rejection, Rev. 32  
AP/1/A/5500/07, Loss of Electrical Power, Rev. 38  
AP/1/A/5500/10, NC System Leakage within the Capacity of Both NV Pumps, Rev. 24  
AP/1/A/5500/15, Loss of Vital or Aux Control Power, Rev. 28  
AP/1/A/5500/19, Loss of ND or ND System Leakage, Rev. 32  
AP/1/A/5500/21, Loss of KC or KC System Leakage, Rev. 10  
AP/1/A/5500/22, LOSS OF VI, Rev. 38  
AP/1/A/5500/34, Shutdown LOCA, Rev. 24  
EP/1/A/5000/E-0, REACTOR TRIP OR SAFETY INJECTION, Rev. 36

EP/1/A/5000/ECA-1.2, LOCA outside Containment, Rev. 6  
EP/1/A/5500/ECA-0.0, Loss of All AC Power, Rev. 40  
IA-SC-ALL-0001, Supply Chain Departmental Interface Agreement, Rev. 2  
IP/0/A/3062/08, Replacement of Components in Nelson Electric Motor Control and Distribution Centers, Rev. 49  
IP/0/A/3190/03, Motor Control Center and Distribution Center Preventive Maintenance, Rev. 45  
IP/0/A/3190/030, Molded Case Circuit Breaker Inspection and Functional Test, Rev. 51  
IP/1/A/3090/021 KC, KC Loop Calibration and Operational Test, Rev. 31  
MP/0/A/7600/140, Vacuum Relief Valve Testing, Rev. 7, performed 08/25/15  
NSD 219, Instrument and Electrical Device Calibration Out Of Tolerance, Rev. 3  
PT/0/A/4600/113, Operator Time Critical Task Verification, Rev. 25  
PT/1/A/3090/021, KC Loop Calibration and operational Test, Rev. 0, performed 07/05/16  
PT/1/A/4209/001A, 1A NV Pump Performance Test, Rev. 78, performed 01/02/18  
PT/1/A/4350/002 A, Diesel Generator 1A Operability Test, Rev. 105  
PT/1/A/4350/002A, Diesel Generator 1A Operability Test, Rev. 106  
PT/1/A/4401/001/B, KC Train 1B Performance Test, Rev. 72, performed 06/12/15  
PT/1/A/4401/001/B, KC Train 1B Performance Test, Rev. 75, performed 09/07/17  
SCD311, QA Inspection & Testing, Rev. 19  
SCD410, Receiving, Rev. 24  
WPM 501, Post maintenance Testing, Rev. 15

### **Design Basis Documents**

MCS-0112.00-EPE-0001, Design Basis Specification for the 600V Essential Auxiliary Power System (EPE), Rev. 12  
MCS-105.18-EPL-001, Design Basis Specification for the 125 VDC Vital I and C Power System (EPL), Rev. 12  
MCS-114.00-EQB-0001, Design Basis Specification for the EQB System, Rev. 17  
MCS-120.00-EQC-0001, Design Basis Specification for the EQC System, Rev. 14  
MCS-1314.01, AC Motor Control Centers (Essential), DC Distribution Centers (Essential), 125VDC/120VAC Vital Instrumentation and Control Power Panel Centers, Rev. 4  
MCS-1465.00-00-0001, Design Basis Specification for System Single Failure (GDSCS), Rev. 2

### **Plant Modifications**

EC 111729, Replacement of Nelson MCC Stab Assemblies, Rev. 0

### **Miscellaneous Documents**

CNM 1314.01-0276-001, Nelson Test Report T1035.041, March 15, 1984  
CSD-EG-ALL-2000.1, Nuclear Switchyard Interface Agreement, Rev. 0  
CSD-EG-ALL-2000.2, Nuclear Switchyard Operating Guidelines, Rev. 2  
EC 065972, NSM-52488/00 Replace Vital Charger EVCA, Rev. 2  
EC 104249, Document Newly Designed L1 Choke and C1 Capacitors for use in Vital, Rev. 0  
EC 104503, Replace 1A EDG Voltage Regulators U1 (Mod), Rev. 3  
EC102477, RN Strainer Backwash Pump -1A Mod, Rev. 21  
IN 2017-06, Battery and Battery Charger Short-Circuit Current Contributions to a Fault on the Direct Current Distribution System, September 26, 2017  
Letter from USNRC to Duke Power Company, 50-369/91-09 and 50/370/91-09 (EDSFI Inspection Report), July 31, 1991  
Letter McGuire to NRC, NRC Bulletin 88-04, Potential Safety Related Pump Loss, March 28, 1990  
MCM 1201.05-0228.003, IM for Pacific 2.5 RL-IJ 11 Stage NV Charging Pump Internal Element, Rev. 0

MCM 1201.05-0293.001, Component Cooling Pumps Installation and Maintenance Manual, Rev. 17  
MCM 1301.00-0183.003, CGI-Eval Commercial Grade Item Evaluation – EDG Excitation System, Rev. 1  
MCM 1314.01-0166.001, I/B MCC's Dist Cent Pwr Pnl's Bkr Pnl's, Rev. 26  
MCM 1314.01-0246.001, MCC, Distribution Centers, and Power Panelboards—Equipment Qualification Supplementary Information/Data, Rev. 9  
MCM 1356.05-0024, 125VDC Vital I&C System SCI Battery Charger Test Reports McGuire Nuclear Station – Units 1 & 2, Rev.1  
MCM-1301.00-0176.001, IOM – Technical Manual EDG Excitation Systems, Rev. 3  
MCM-1301.00-0177.004, EQ Qualification Plan and Report – EDG Excitation System, Rev. 1  
MCM-1356.05-0026, 125VDC Vital I&C Chargers Environmental Qualification Report McGuire Nuclear Station – Units 1 & 2, Rev. 1  
MCR-1381.05-00-0001, Technical Requirements for Procurement of Service for Power System Analysis for Updated 4000 Volt Motor Parameters, Rev. 1  
MCS 1356.05-09-0001, Vital I&C Battery Chargers, Rev. 0  
MCS-1301.00-00-0013, Voltage Regulator/Exciter Procurement Specification for McGuire Emergency Diesel Generators, Rev. 3  
MCS-2340.03-00-0001, McGuire Nuclear Station Plant Environmental Qualification and Parameters, Rev. 10  
ML9812010102, McGuire Nuclear Station's Response to GL 98-02, November 24, 1998  
Specification MCS-1201.05-1, Auxiliary Building Pumps to Section III, February 10, 1972  
Specification OSS-0254.00-00-0004, ASME Section III and Duke Safety Related Class F Miscellaneous Relief Valves Safety Valves and Safety Relief Valves, Rev. 0

**Condition Reports**

1452650	1622478	1899749	2042690	2078929
1469034	1624199	1903793	2048902	2103324
1557434	1658874	1928953	2049776	2122920
1567286	1685491	1976674	2053829	2154511
1572941	1706359	2015564	2073434	2156408

**Work Orders**

186003701	20017619 01	20128149	2189744 01	510575
1921780 03	20041667 01	20168875-01	366041	
1951920 01	20077933	211882501	374835	
20011956 02	20088655 01	2131159	375321	

**Condition Reports Written Due to this Inspection**

NCR 2184449, 2018 DBAI - SLC 16.9.23 Bases Change  
NCR 2184835, 2018 Design Bases Assurance Inspection (DBAI) KC NPSH  
NCR 2184882, 2018 DBAI -KC Tech Spec Bases erroneous discussion  
NCR 2185195, 2018 DBAI - RHR UFSAR Discussion not updated  
NCR 2185262, 2018 DBAI -Tech Spec 3.8.1 DG Max Steady-State Voltage Limit  
NCR 2185267, 2018 DBAI- Single Busline Analysis needed for CA Pump Start  
NCR 2185743, 2018 DBAI - Vital Charger Test Report Manual Missing Pages  
NCR 2186737, 2018 DBAI - UFSAR Discrepancy in Chapter 8  
NCR 2187619, 2018 DBAI EDG Voltage Reg -- RAD Dose TID Validation  
NCR 2187719, 2018 DBAI "Out of Cal" evals for KC disch gage not performed  
NCR 2187762, 2018 DBAI EDG MNS PEP Manual EDG RAD Zone Map



NCR 2187791, 2018 DBAI- Dose Analysis NC Cooldown Assumptions  
NCR 2188028, 2018 NRC DBAI- 1/2ND-1B/2AC design function for manual open  
NCR 2188216, 2018 DBAI Cooldown Rate Limits Not Eval for Dose Consequence  
NCR 2188539, 2018 DBAI: 1/2EMXA bkr to SMXE not fully coordinated  
NCR 2188642, DBAI 2018- Voltage Drop Size 3 and 4 Starters