

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

WASHINGTON, D. C. 2055

July 24, 1992

Docket Nos. 50-272 and 50-311

Mr. Steven E. Miltenberger
Vice President and Chief Nuclear
Officer
Public Service Electric and Gas
Company
Post Office Box 236
Hancocks Bridge, New Jersey 08038

Dear Mr. Miltenberger:

SUBJECT:

STAFF REVIEW OF GENERIC LETTER 90-06, "RESOLUTION OF GENERIC ISSUE 70, 'POWER-OPERATED RELIEF VALVE AND BLOCK VALVE RELIABILITY,' AND GENERIC ISSUE 94, 'ADDITIONAL LOW-TEMPERATURE OVERPRESSURE PROTECTION FOR LIGHT-WATER REACTORS,' PURSUANT TO 10 CFR 50.54(f)", SALEM NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2 (TAC NOS. M77374, M77375, M77447 AND M77448)

By letters dated December 21, 1990 and June 20, 1991, Public Service Electric and Gas Company (PSE&G), responded to Generic Letter 90-06. The generic letter represented the technical resolution of two generic issues and included plant backfits which were cost-justified safety enhancements. Generic Issue 70 included upgrades in quality requirements, inservice testing requirements, and modified technical specifications for all pressurized water reactor facilities that incorporate PORVs and block valves in their design. The intended purpose was to enhance the overall reliability of the PORVs and block valves so that they could better perform the safety functions identified in the generic letter. Generic Issue 94 included modified technical specifications for all Westinghouse and Combustion Engineering facilities to reduce the allowed outage time for an inoperable LTOP channel and thus reduce the probability of overpressurization events during shutdown conditions.

The staff has reviewed your submittals and finds that you have deviated from the model technical specification included in the generic letter. It is the staff's position that a regulatory analysis has been performed in accordance with 10 CFR 50.109(a)(3) and 50.109(c) which justifies the backfit. Therefore, absent any information that demonstrates that your facility is not bounded by the regulatory analysis that accompanied the generic letter, you are requested to resubmit a response that is in keeping with the intent of the generic letter. The specific areas of concern are as follows.

nrc file center co

9207310064 920724 PDR ADDCK 05000272 P PDR As discussed in NUREG-1316, most of the safety enhancement for the proposed backfit is derived from the increase in feed and bleed capability. Therefore, for those facilities that can accomplish the three safety functions identified in the generic letter without relying on PORVs for the feed and bleed function, the allowed outage time (AOT) for an inoperable PORV (for reasons other than excessive leakage) may be increased from the recommended 72 hours to 7 days. The staff's position is that a change in allowed outage times based solely on a PRA argument is not acceptable. Only differences in plant hardware relative to that assumed in the staff's cost/benefit analysis will be considered.

Therefore, based on the additional guidance provided above, you are requested to resubmit your response to the generic letter within 60 days following receipt of this letter.

If you would like to further discuss these issues prior to your resubmittal, please feel free to contact me.

> Sincerely, /S/ James C. Stone, Senior Project Manager Project Directorate I-2 Division of Reactor Projects - I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

·cc: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket File MO'Brien(2) NRC & Local PDRs

JStone

ACRS(10)

PDI-2 Reading

OGC

EWenzinger, RGN-I JWhite, RGN-I

SVarga

DPickett

JCalvo CMiller RFrahm GHammer 1

OFFICE	PDA-2-UAR	PDI-2/PMOV	PDIV-2/PM	PDI-2/D	
NAME	MO2BRYEN	JSTONE:t1c	DPICKETT	CMILLER /	
DATE	02392	7 /23/92	7 /27/92	7 12/192	1 1

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY FILENAME: A:\SA77374.GEN

Mr. Steven E. Miltenberger July 24, 1992 - 2 -As discussed in NUREG-1316, most of the safety enhancement for the proposed backfit is derived from the increase in feed and bleed capability. Therefore, for those facilities that can accomplish the three safety functions identified in the generic letter without relying on PORVs for the feed and bleed function, the allowed outage time (AOT) for an inoperable PORV (for reasons other than excessive leakage) may be increased from the recommended 72 hours to 7 days. The staff's position is that a change in allowed outage times based solely on a PRA argument is not acceptable. Only differences in plant hardware relative to that assumed in the staff's cost/benefit analysis will be considered. Therefore, based on the additional guidance provided above, you are requested to resubmit your response to the generic letter within 60 days following receipt of this letter. If you would like to further discuss these issues prior to your resubmittal, please feel free to contact me. Sincerely. James C. Stone James C. Stone, Senior Project Manager Project Directorate I-2 Division of Reactor Projects - I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation cc: See next page

Mr. Steven E. Miltenberger Public Service Electric & Gas Company

cc:

Mark J. Wetterhahn, Esquire Winston & Strawn 1400 L Street NW Washington, DC 20005-3502

Richard Fryling, Jr., Esquire Law Department - Tower 5E 80 Park Place Newark, NJ 07101

Mr. Calvin A. Vondra General Manager - Salem Operations Salem Generating Station P.O. Box 236 Hancocks Bridge, NJ 08038

Mr. S. LaBruna Vice President - Nuclear Operations Nuclear Department P.O. Box 236 Hancocks Bridge, New Jersey 08038

Mr. Thomas P. Johnson, Senior Resident Inspector Salem Generating Station U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Drawer I Hancocks Bridge, NJ 08038

Dr. Jill Lipoti, Asst. Director Radiation Protection Programs NJ Department of Environmental Protection CN 415 Trenton, NJ 08625-0415

Maryland People's Counsel American Building, 9th Floor 231 East Baltimore Street Baltimore, Maryland 21202

Mr. J. T. Robb, Director Joint Owners Affairs Philadelphia Electric Company 955 Chesterbrook Blvd., 51A-13 Wayne, PA 19087 Salem Nuclear Generating Station, Units 1 and 2

Richard Hartung Electric Service Evaluation Board of Regulatory Commissioners 2 Gateway Center, Tenth Floor Newark, NJ 07102

Regional Administrator, Region I U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 475 Allendale Road King of Prussia, PA 19406

Lower Alloways Creek Township c/o Mary O. Henderson, Clerk Municipal Building, P.O. Box 157 Hancocks Bridge, NJ 08038

Mr. Frank X. Thomson, Jr., Manager Licensing and Regulation Nuclear Department P.O. Box 236 Hancocks Bridge, NJ 08038

Mr. David Wersan Assistant Consumer Advocate Office of Consumer Advocate 1425 Strawberry Square Harrisburg, PA 17120

Mr. J. A. Isabella MGR. - Generation Department Atlantic Electric Company P.O. Box 1500 1199 Black Horse Pike Pleasantville, NJ 08232

Carl D. Schaefer External Operations - Nuclear Delmarva Power & Light Company P.O. Box 231 Wilmington, DE 19899

Public Service Commission of Maryland Engineering Division ATTN: Chief Engineer 231 E. Baltimore Street Baltimore, MD 21202-3486