

Public Service Electric and Gas Company P.O. Box E Hancocks Bridge, New Jersey 08038

Salem Generating Station

May 31, 1989

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

Dear Sir:

SALEM GENERATING STATION LICENSE NO. DPR-70 DOCKET NO. 50-272 UNIT NO. 1 LICENSEE EVENT REPORT 89-017-00

This Licensee Event Report is being submitted pursuant to the requirements of the Code of Federal Regulations 10CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B). This report is required within thirty (30) days of discovery.

Sincerely yours.

L. K. Miller General Manage

General Manager - Salem Operations

MJP:pc

Distribution

8906090161 890531 S ADOCK 05000272 FDC IEZA

NRC Fer (9-83)	m 386	<u></u>					LIC	ENSE	E EVE	NT R	PORT	(LER)				APPR	OVE		9 NO		-0104	
FACILIT	Y NAME	(1)								_			DOC	KET N	UMBE	R (2)	_				PAGE	(3)
			ting	Stat	tion U	nit	1						0	<b> 5</b>	0 1 0	10	1 :	21 7	12	1	OF	
TITLE (4								<del></del>					_					<u>-, -</u>	<u>,-</u> .			
т. 9	s. Su	rvei.	llanc	e No	ot Com	ole <sup>.</sup>	ted W	/ithir	n Req	uired	Tine	Due To P	er	soni	ıel	Er	roi	-				
EV	ENT DAT	E (6)	T	LE	A NUMBER	(6)		RE	PORT DA	TE (7)		OTHER	FA	CILITIE	S INV	OLVE	D (6					
MONTH	MONTH DAY YEAR YEAR SEQUENTIAL			- 888	REVISION	MONTH	DAY	YEAR		FACILITY NA	MES	1		DO	CKE	T NU	48EF	R(S)				
								Ì								0	5 إ	10	10	10	1 1	i
0 4	2   9	8 9	8 9		0 1 7	_	0 0	0 5		8 9						_	<sub> </sub> 5	۱0	10	10	Ш	
	ERATING	ľ	<del> </del>			ED PU	RSUANT	1		ENTS OF	O CFR §: (	Check one or more	of t	he follo	wing) (	11)						
MODE (9)		6	┿┪▔	.402(b)			-	20,4050			<u> </u>	50.73(a)(2)(iv)				-	┥ `	3.71 (L				
LEVE	i l		<b>-</b>	,405(a)	*****		<u> </u>	50.36(e			<u> </u>	50.73(e)(2)(v)				$\vdash$	┥ `	3.71(c	•			
(10)	(10) R   B   F   20.405(a)(1)(ii)			50.38(e)(2)			50.73(a)(2)(vii)			<u> </u>	DENOW and In Text, NRC											
			¥—⊢⁻	.405(a)	•		<u>  X</u>	50.73(a			<u> </u>	50.73(a)(2)(viii)					3	55A)				
			░┣━┩▔	.405(a)				50,73(e			<u> </u>	50.73(e)(2)(vili)	(B)			1		•				
**************************************			S 24	.405(a)	(1)(v)			50,734				50.73(a)(2)(x)				L						
NAME								LICENSEE	CONTACT	FOR THE	LER (12)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<del>,</del>		TE	EBU	ONE A		150		
														AREA	CODE		Grn	ONE	10mi	JEN		
м. л	. Po	llaci	r T.	RR (	cordin	ato	ar.							6 (	١. ٥	١٦	.3	. a		.4	01:	<b>2 .</b> 2
								EACH ~		T 541111	. DESCRIPT	ED IN THIS REPO	<b>MT</b> 4	بلتبل	<u> </u>	13	1-	1 3		<u> </u>	٠,	-  -
	Γ	r		·		Ť			JAN ON BR	. FAILURI	- DESCRIBE	IN IN IN WEPO	<del></del>						*	<b>****</b>	×××××	*******
CAUSE	SYSTEM	COM	ONENT		ANUFAC- TURER		ORTABLE NPRDS			CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT		MANU		ľ		RTAS NPRD:				
										<b></b>		,							*			

MONTH

YEAR

ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately fifteen single-space typewritten lines) (16)

YES (If yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE)

SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)

On May 5, 1989, it was discovered by Maintenance-Planning personnel that the channel check surveillance for the Triaxial Time-History Accelographs, Technical Specification Surveillance 4.3.3.3.1, had not been completed within its required time frame. The surveillance is required to be performed monthly. It was overdue as of April 29, 1989. The root cause of this event has been attributed to personnel Maintenance-I&C supervision did not review the "Overdue Surveillance Report", issued every Monday, thoroughly. Additionally, the surveillance work package had been misfiled. It was placed in the 18 month surveillance work file instead of the monthly surveillance work file. This event has been reviewed by Maintenance management and appropriate corrective disciplinary action has been completed. Additionally, this event has been reviewed with applicable Maintenance personnel. The Overdue Surveillance Report issuance has been changed to daily instead of weekly. Maintenance scheduler responsibilities have been clarified in that they are held accountable to thoroughly review the Overdue Surveillance Report daily. Those items which appear overdue or are near overdue (within 3 - 4 days) will be identified and addressed with line supervision. The Work Order form for surveillances has been modified to identify the date the surveillance becomes overdue.

NRC Form 36

#### LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

Salem Generating	Station	DOCKET NUMBER	LER NUMBER	PAGE
	00001011			
Unit 1		5000272	89-017-00	2 of 4

#### PLANT AND SYSTEM IDENTIFICATION:

Westinghouse - Pressurized Water Reactor

Energy Industry Identification System (EIIS) codes are identified in the text as {xx}

# IDENTIFICATION OF OCCURRENCE:

Technical Specification Surveillance 4.3.3.3.1 not completed within its required time frame due to personnel error

Event Date: 4/29/89 Discovery Date: 5/5/89 Report Date: 5/31/89

This report was initiated by Incident Report No. 89-272.

# CONDITIONS PRIOR TO OCCURRENCE:

Refueling - Mode 6

# DESCRIPTION OF OCCURRENCE:

On May 5, 1989, it was discovered by Maintenance-Planning personnel that the channel check surveillance for the Triaxial Time-History Accelographs {IN}, Technical Specification Surveillance 4.3.3.3.1, had not been completed within its required time frame. The surveillance is required to be performed monthly. It was overdue as of April 29, 1989.

Technical Specification 3.3.3.3 states:

"The seismic monitoring instrumentation shown in Table 3.3-7 shall be OPERABLE"

APPLICABILITY: At all times.

#### ACTION:

- a. With one or more seismic monitoring instruments inoperable for more than 30 days, prepare and submit a Special Report to the Commission pursuant to Specification 6.9.2 within the next 10 days outlining the cause of the malfunction and the plans for restoring the instrument(s) to OPERABLE status."
- b. The provisions of Specifications 3.0.3 and 3.0.4 are not applicable.

Technical Specification Surveillance 4.3.3.3.1 states:

"Each of the above seismic monitoring instruments shall be demonstrated OPERABLE by the perfomance of the CHANNEL CHECK,

# LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

Salem Generating Station	DOCKET NUMBER	LER NUMBER	PAGE
Unit 1	5000272	89-017-00	3 of 4

### DESCRIPTION OF OCCURRENCE: (cont'd)

CHANNEL CALIBRATION and CHANNEL FUNCTIONAL TEST operations at the frequencies shown in Table 4.3-4."

### APPARENT CAUSE OF OCCURRENCE:

The root cause of this event has been attributed to personnel error. Maintenance-I&C supervision did not review the "Overdue Surveillance Report", issued every Monday, thoroughly. Additionally, the surveillance work package had been misfiled. It was placed in the 18 month surveillance work file instead of the monthly surveillance work file.

The surveillance work order was first issued on April 17, 1989. It was scheduled to be performed on April 24, 1989. On April 24, performance of the surveillance was delayed due to manpower constraints. The surveillance was then filed in the 18 month surveillance file instead of the monthly surveillance file. The surveillance became overdue on April 29 and was not discovered until May 5.

Every Monday, the Overdue Surveillance Report is issued. This report identifies those surveillances either overdue or coming overdue within the following two weeks from the issue date of the report. Due to the Unit 1 outage work load, this report was significantly larger than reports issued during non-outage periods. T&C senior supervision and the T&C schedulers are responsible to review this report to ensure surveillances are completed prior to them going overdue. Due to the larger report, these individuals did not observe the status of the surveillance in this report.

#### ANALYSIS OF OCCURRENCE:

The operability of the seismic instrumentation ensures that sufficient capability is available to promptly determine the magnitude of a seismic event and evaluate the response of those features important to safety. This capability is required to permit comparison of the measured response to that used in the design basis for the facility.

The surveillance was successfully performed on May 5, 1989, six days after it had been required to be surveilled. Since the equipment proved operable this event did not affect the health or safety of the public. However, since the surveillance was performed late, contrary to the requirements of Technical Specifications 4.3.3.3.1 and 4.0.2, this event is reportable in accordance with Code of Federal Regulations 10CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B).

### CORRECTIVE ACTION:

This event has been reviewed by Maintenance management and appropriate corrective disciplinary action has been completed. Additionally, this event has been reviewed with applicable Maintenance personnel.

# LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

Salem Generating Station	DOCKET NUMBER	LER NUMBER	PAGE
Unit 1	5000272	89-017-00	4 of 4

# CORRECTIVE ACTION: (cont'd)

The Overdue Surveillance Report issuance has been changed to daily instead of weekly.

Maintenance scheduler responsibilities have been clarified in that they are held accountable to thoroughly review the Overdue Surveillance Report daily. Those items which appear overdue or are near overdue (within 3 - 4 days) will be identified and addressed with line supervision.

The Work Order form for surveillances has been modified to identify the date the surveillance becomes overdue.

General Manager - Salem Operations

MJP:pc

SORC Mtg. 89-051