Form 306	LICENSEE EVENT F	EPORT (LER)	APP	EAR REGULATORY COMMISSION ROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104 IRES: B/31/85
LITY NAME (1)			OCKET NUMBER (2	
alem Generating Station	- Unit 2	<u>_</u>	0   5   0   0	0 3 <u> 1 µ</u>  1 ОF 0 .
oth CS Header Isolation	Valves Have Through Wa	ll Cracks		
EVENT DATE (5)			FACILITIES INVOLV	
TH DAY YEAR YEAR SEQUENT	R NUMBER MONTH DAY YEAR			
0 3 1 8 8 8 8 0 2		Salem Unit 1		
OREPATING THIS REPORT IS SUBMIT	TTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS O		of the following) (11)	
MODE (8) 5 20.402(b)	20.405(c)	50,73(a)(2)(iv)		73.71(b)
$\begin{array}{c c} \text{OWER} \\ \text{EVEL} \\ \text{(10)} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c c} \mathbf{R} \\ \mathbf{E} \\ \mathbf$	60,36(c)(1) 60,36(c)(2)	50.73(a)(2)(v) 50.73(a)(2)(vii)		73.71(c) V OTHER (Specify in Abstract
(10) 20.405(a)(1)(ii) 20.405(a)(1)(iii)	<b>50.73(s)(2)(i)</b>	50.73(a)(2)(viii)(4	<b>r</b>	below and in Text, NRC Form 355A)
20.405(s)(1)(iv)	60.73(a)(2)(ii)	50,73(a)(2)(viii){	D} · _	Information Only
20.405(a)(1)(v)	50.73(a)(2)(iii)	50.73(s)(2)(x)		
	LICENSEE CONTACT FOR T	HIS LER (12)		
-	•••		AREA CODE	
J. Pollack - LER Coord	linator		6 0 9	3 3 9 - 4 <sub> </sub> 0 2
COMPLE	TE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILL	RE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPOR	T (13)	
JSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFAC- TURER	REPORTABLE CAL	SYSTEM COMPONENT	MANUFAC- TURER	REPORTABLE TO NPRDS
8UPPLE	EMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)	•	EXPECTED	MONTH DAY YEA
YES (If yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DA			SUBMISSION DATE (15)	'   ,   ,   ,
RACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately fifth	teen single-spece typewritten lines) (16)		4	<u>_</u> <u>_</u> <u>_</u> <u>_</u>
valves 21 & 22CS6 and liquid penetra cracks. This was Analysis indicates attributed to tran the outside surface weld or the heat induced by chloric System leakage free Unit (CFCU), loca leakage occurred	0/23/88 visual example revealed cracks in ant (LP) examination discovered with the s the apparent cause nsgranular stress of ce of the valve boom affected zone metal des originating from om piping associate ted above the CS6 however, records of	n the valve ca ons of the value ne unit in "Co se of the CS6 corrosion crace dy. The faile 1. It appears om historical ed with No. 2 valves. In 19	asting. lve verif old Shute valve cr cking or ure did n s the cra Service 5 Contain 982, No. uoride an	Radiography fied casting lown". facks is ginating on hot involve th acking was Water (SW) mment Fan Coil 25 CFCU SW halysis could

# LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

Salem Generating Station	DOCKET NUMBER	LER NUMBER	PAGE
Unit 2	5000311	88-022-00	2 of 4

#### PLANT AND SYSTEM IDENTIFICATION:

Westinghouse - Pressurized Water Reactor

Energy Industry Identification System (EIIS) codes are identified in the text as {xx}

# IDENTIFICATION OF OCCURRENCE:

Both Containment Spray System Header Isolation Valves (CS6) Valves Were Found To Have Through Wall Cracks

Event Date: 10/21/88

Report Date: 11/16/88

This report was initiated by Incident Reports 88-450 and 88-451.

# CONDITIONS PRIOR TO OCCURRENCE:

Refueling Outage: Mode 5 Reactor Power 0% - Unit Load 0 MWe

# DESCRIPTION OF OCCURRENCE:

On October 22, 1988 a visual examination of Containment Spray {BE} valve 22CS6 (Header Isolation Valve) revealed a 2 inch crack in the valve casting. On October 23, visual examination of the 21CS6 valve revealed a 2.5" crack with buildup of boric acid crystals. Radiography and liquid penetrant examinations of the valves verified casting cracks.

Although the Containment Spray System is not required to be operable in Mode 5, the casting cracks on the CS6 valves makes both trains of Containment Spray potentially inoperable. Subsequently, this was reported to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission within four hours as required by Code of Federal Regulations 10CFR 50.72(b)(2)(iii)(D).

#### APPARENT CAUSE OF OCCURRENCE:

Analysis by Maplewood Laboratories (reference Lab Report No. 72215) indicates the apparent cause of the CS6 valve cracks is attributed to transgranular stress corrosion cracking originating on the outside surface of the valve body. The failure did not involve the weld or the heat affected zone metal. The lab analysis indicated that the valve material was within the chemical requirements of A351 cast stainless steel, grade CF8.

Upon discovery of the cracking, random chloride swipes were taken on piping and components in the vicinity of the Unit 2 CS6 valves. Results indicated chloride contamination levels ranging up to 5.61 mg/dm<sup>2</sup>. The maximum permissible limit for chlorides on a stainless steel component which has been cleaned as a result of foreign material surface contamination is 0.015 mg/dm<sup>2</sup>.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

Salem Generating Station	DOCKET NUMBER	LER NUMBER	PAGE
Unit 2	5000311	88-022-00	3 of 4

APPARENT CAUSE OF OCCURRENCE: (cont'd)

The results of the chloride analysis indicate that the cracking was induced by chlorides. Located above the CS6 valves is the No. 25 Containment Fan Coil Unit (CFCU) {BK}. Service Water System {BI} water is used in the CFCU's cooling coils as the medium for heat removal. Service Water is obtained from the Delaware River which has a high salt concentration. Significant Service Water leakage has occurred from this piping as documented in LER 82-074/01T, and LER 82-078/01X-1. A review of the 1982 records associated with the service water leakage did not reveal any chloride/fluoride analysis or request for analysis.

Administrative Procedure AP-21, "Mechanical Systems Cleanliness Program" specifies cleanliness classifications and the methods to maintain cleanliness standards. AP-21, Revision 2 was in effect in 1982 at the time of the No. 25 CFCU service water leakage. The requirements to request stainless steel surface analysis for chlorides/fluorides was not mandatory, after cleaning a stainless steel surface of foreign material. Currently, Revision 5 to AP-21 is in effect. This latest revision does include wording which specifically requires completion of a form requesting analysis for chlorides/fluorides after cleaning stainless steel components of foreign material surface contamination.

### ANALYSIS OF OCCURRENCE:

The Containment Spray System is required to be operable in Modes 1, 2, 3, and 4 as per Technical Specification 3.6.2.1. The system is 100% redundant to the Containment Fan Coil Units in providing containment depressurization and cooling capability in the event of a Loss of Coolant Accident (LOCA). In addition the system sprays NaOH into the containment atmosphere to enhance iodine removal from the atmosphere after a LOCA. In Mode 5 (Cold Shutdown), the system is not required to be operable as per the Updated Final Safety Analysis Report (UFSAR).

The CS6 values are locked open in Modes 1 - 4 to prevent their inadvertent closure if a design base accident were to occur. These values are used to isolate the Containment Spray header nozzles from the rest of the system to support full flow testing and type "C" testing.

A preliminary engineering assessment of the valve cracks indicate that the system would have functioned in accordance with the UFSAR within the last fuel cycle. This is based upon a successfully completed full flow test conducted approximately two weeks prior to discovery of the cracks and the relatively small amount of observed leakage from the cracks (slight trickling).

Due to the relatively significant nature of having cracks on both valves, this event is being reported via the LER system for information.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

Salem Generating Station	DOCKET NUMBER	LER NUMBER	PAGE
Unit 2	5000311	88-022-00	4 of 4

### CORRECTIVE ACTION:

The valves have been cut out of their line and have temporarily been replaced with piping spools until new valves can be obtained.

Pipe welds up and downstream of the CS6 valves as well as other area pipe welds were liquid penetrant (LP) tested. This included all Containment spray pipe welds from the Containment penetrations up to 10' above the top of the No. 25 CFCU. Of the 65 Containment Spray pipe weld LP tests, 16 were rejects due to rounded indications (pitting) that exceeded ASME code B31.7 criteria. This pitting is believed to be chloride induced. These areas were reworked by flapping and were retested with successful results. Of the remaining 22 non Containment Spray pipe welds, none failed LP testing.

Service Water System leakage has occurred from the other CFCUs, although, no piping or components are present below them which would warrant a stress corrosion cracking concern.

The inlet and outlet side of the Unit 1 CS6 valves were radiographed. Results were acceptable.

The chloride contaminated piping and components were cleaned to within the chloride contamination limit.

Salem Unit 1 CS6 valves and associated piping were also checked for chloride contamination. Results indicated levels significantly lower than found in Unit 2, however, these levels were still above the AP-21 acceptance criteria. The piping and components were cleaned to within the chloride contamination limit.

Salem station management will issue a letter, to station supervisory personnel, addressing the need for continued compliance with AP-21 as it pertains to the controls associated with maintaining mechanical systems and equipment cleanliness.

General Manager -Salem Operations

MJP:pc

SORC Mtg. 88-101



Public Service Electric and Gas Company P.O. Box El Hancocks Bridge, New Jersey 08038

Salem Generating Station

November 16, 1988

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

Dear Sir:

SALEM GENERATING STATION LICENSE NO. DPR-75 DOCKET NO. 50-311 UNIT NO. 2 LICENSEE EVENT REPORT 88-022-00

This Licensee Event Report is being submitted pursuant to the requirements of the Code of Federal Regulations 10CFR 50.73 for information only. This report is being submitted within thirty (30) days of discovery.

Sincerely yours,

L. K. Miller General Manager-Salem Operations

I<sup>ÉUU</sup>

MJP:pc

Distribution

The Pherov Fleory?