

FEB 18 1983

Docket Nos. 50-272  
and 50-311

Public Service Electric and Gas Company  
ATTN: Mr. Richard A. Uderitz  
Vice President - Nuclear  
Post Office Box 236  
Hancocks Bridge, New Jersey 08038

Gentlemen:

We have received copies of the following applications which were filed with the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation (ONRR) for review:

1. A June 22, 1982 (LCR-82-03) application for Technical Specification changes related to protection of fire barrier penetrations for the Salem Nuclear Generating Station Unit No. 1. A Class III fee (\$4,000) was remitted pursuant to 10 CFR 170.22.
2. An October 7, 1982 application for Technical Specification changes related to (a) deferral of pressurizer surveillance for Salem Unit No. 1, (b) deferral of pressurizer drop test for Salem Unit No. 2, (c) steam generator inspection ports for Salem Unit No. 2, and (d) inservice inspection of snubbers for Salem Unit No. 2. A Class IV fee (\$12,300) was remitted with your application.

Based on information provided by the ONRR staff as the result of their preliminary and/or final reviews of the above applications, it has been determined that:

1. A Class II fee (\$1,200) is appropriate for Item 1 because the review was pro forma in nature since the requested action had already been approved for Unit No. 2. Therefore, a refund of \$2,800 is appropriate. This application was approved by Amendment No. 49 dated November 5, 1982.
2. Four Class III fees (\$16,000) are appropriate for Item 2 since the review involves consideration of three single safety issues for Unit 2 and an unrelated single safety issue for Unit No. 1. Therefore, an additional sum of \$3,700 is due for this application. Items 2.a, 2.b and 2.c were approved by Amendment No. 47 dated October 12, 1982, Amendment No. 12 dated October 15, 1982 and Amendment No. 17 dated January 27, 1983. Item 2(d) is still pending final review.

B302250024 B3021B  
PDR ADOCK 05000272  
P PDR

OFFICE							
SURNAME							
DATE							

FEB 18 1983

Although 10 CFR 170.22 permits the collection of a Class IV fee of \$12,300 for an application containing changes which involve more than one single safety issue, it is USNRC policy to charge individual Class III fees in lieu of a Class IV fee when the number of single safety issues are less than four and only involve one unit. Therefore, you will note that for the three changes for Salem Unit No. 2, we are assessing a total of \$12,000 in lieu of \$12,300. As a matter of information, the fee schedule in 10 CFR 170.22 is based on an application per unit with provisions for duplicate units that are essentially identical and filed at the same time. For several safety issues to be a Class IV fee, they must either be all for the same unit or common to both units. If common to both units, a duplicate unit Class I fee of \$400 is also applicable.

In conclusion, we are applying to Item 2 the \$2,800 refund due you for Item 1. We request that your Company remit an additional sum of \$900 to complete the fees for Item 2. If the ONRR's staff's final review of Item 2(d) reveals that the Class III fee (\$4,000) is not appropriate for it, you will be notified and any necessary adjustments will be made.

Sincerely,

Signed, *C. James Holloway, Jr.*

*WOM*  
 William O. Miller, Chief  
 License Fee Management Branch  
 Office of Administration

DISTRIBUTION:

- PDR
- LPDR
- Regulatory Files ✓
- W. J. Ross, ORB-1
- C. Parrish, ORB-1
- R. M. Diggs, LFMB
- LFMB Reactor File (2)
- LFMB Pending Check File
- LFMB R/F

OFFICE	LFMB:ADM <i>WJ</i>	LFMB:ADM <i>RM</i>	LFMB:ADM <i>CH</i>	LFMB:ADM <i>WOM</i>		
SURNAME	LTremper/RM	Diggs:rmf	CJHolloway	WOMiller		
DATE	2/17/83	2/17/83	2/17/83	2/17/83		