JUL 1 2 1982

Sec.

| Docket No(s). 50-272 and 50-311 | DISTRIBUTION: Docket File J. Thoma NRC PDR C. McCrack Local PDR ORB #1 Rdg. D. Eisenhut | en, CMEB |
|---|--|----------|
| Mr. Richard A. Uderitz, Vice President - Nuclear | OELD I&E (1) | |
| Public Service Electric and Gas Co. Mail Code T15A | W. Ross | |
| P. 0. Box 570 | K. Parrish | |
| Newark, New Jersey 07101 | NSIC (10) | |
| Dear Mr. Uderitz: | ACRS (10) J. Heltemes | |

SUBBECT: NUREG-0737 ITEM II.B.3 POST ACCIDENT SAMPLING SYSTEM

The staff will be conducting a post implementation review of NUREG-0737 Item II.B.3 Post Accident Sampling System. Enclosed you will find the criteria contained in NUREG-0737 along with the guidelines to be utilized by the staff to conduct our review. You are requested to make a submittal which documents how you have satisfied each criteria of NUREG-0737 Item II.B.3. If If you have made past submittals on this subject which you feel adequately or partially answers a particular criteria, please include them by reference. You are requested to provide a schedule for responding to the attached information request within 20 days of receipt of this letter.

This request for information was approved by the Office of Management and Budget under clearance number 3150-0065 which expires May 31, 1983.

Sincerely,

Original signed by: S. A. Varga

Steven A. Varga, Chief Operating Reactors Branch #1 Division of Licensing

Enclosure: As stated

• - •

cc: w/enclosure: See next page

| 820 PDR P | 8030101 820 ADOCK 0500 | 714 0272 PDR | | | | |
|-----------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------|-----|-------------------------|
| OFFICE | ORB #1 | ORB #1 | ORB #T | | | |
| | W. Ross // ` 7/2/82 | J.JThòmla 7/9/82 (| XS. Marga 74 182 | | | , |
| DATE | (10-80) NRCM 0240 | ······ | OFFICIAL | RECORD C | OPY | USGPO: 1981-335-960 |

Mr. R. A. Uderitz Public Service Electric and Gas Company

cc: Mark J. Wetterhahn, Esquire Conner and Wetterhahn Suite 1050 1747 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, D. C. 20006

> Richard Fryling, Jr., Esquire Assistant General Solicitor Public Service Electric and Gas Company Mail Code T5E - P.O. Box 570 Newark, New Jersey 07101

Gene Fisher, Bureau of Chief Bureau of Radiation Protection 380 Scotch Road Trenton, New Jersey 08628

Mr. R. L. Mittl, General Manager Corporate Ouality Assurance Public Service Electric and Gas Company Mail Code T16D - P.O. Box 570 Newark, New Jersey 07101

Mr. Henry J. Midura, Manager Salem Operations Public Service Electric and Gas Company P. O. Box 168 Hancocks Bridge, New Jersey 08038

Salem Free Library 112 West Broadway Salem, New Jersey 08079

Leif J. Norrholm, Resident Inspector Salem Nuclear Generating Station U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Drawer I Hancocks Bridge, New Jersey 08038 Mr. Edwin A. Liden, Manager -Nuclear Licensing Public Service Electric and Gas Company Mail Code T16D - P.O. Box 570 Newark, New Jersey 07101

Ronald C. Haynes Regional Administrator - Region I U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 631 Park Avenue King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406

ATTACHMENT NO. 1 TO POST ACCIDENT SAMPLING SYSTEM NUREG-0737, II.B.3 EVALUATION CRITERIA GUIDELINES

The post accident sampling system will be evaluated for compliance with the criteria from NUREG-0737, II.B.3. These eleven items have been copied verbatim from NUREG-0737. The licensees submittal should include information equivalent to that which is normally provided in an FSAR. System schematics with sufficient information to verify flow paths should be included, consistent with documentation requirements in NUREG-0737, with appropriate discussion so that the reviewer can determine whether the criteria have been met. Further information pertaining to the specific clarifications of NUREG-0737, which will be considered in the reviewers evaluation are listed below. Technically justified alternatives to these criteria will be considered.

- Criterion: The licensee shall have the capability to promotly obtain reactor (1)coolant samples and containment atmosphere samples. The combined time allotted for sampling and analysis should be 3 hours or less from the time a decision is made to take a sample.
- Clarification: Provide information on sampling(s) and analytical laboratories locations including a discussion of relative elevations, distances and methods for sample transport. Responses to this item should also include a discussion of sample recirculation, sample handling and analytical times to demonstrate that the three-hour time limit will be met (see (6) below relative to radiation exposure). Also describe provisions for sampling during loss of off-site power (i.e. designate an alternative backup power source, not necessarily the vital (Class IE) bus, that can be energized in sufficient time to meet the three-hour sampling and analysis time limit).
 - (2) The licensee shall establish an onsite radiological and chemical analysis capability to provide, within three-hour time frame established above, quantification of the following:
 - (a) certain radionuclides in the reactor coolant and containment atmosphere that may be indicators of the degree of core damage (e.g., noble gases; iodines and cesiums, and nonvolatile isotopes);
 - (b) hydrogen levels in the containment atmosphere;
 - (c) dissolved gases (e.g., H_2), chloride (time allotted for analysis subject to discussion below), and boron concentration of liquids.
 - (d) Alternatively, have inline monitoring capabilities to perform all or part of the above analyses.

Criterion:





<u>_____</u>

> 10 cc/kg is acceptable for up to 30 days after the accident. Within 30 days, consistent with minimizing personnel radiation exposures (ALARA), direct monitoring for dissolved oxygen is recommended.

Criterion: (5)

Clarification:

The time for a chloride analysis to be performed is dependent upon two factors: (a) if the plant's coolant water is seawater or brackish water and (b) if there is only a single barrier between primary containment systems and the cooling water. Under both of the above conditions the licensee shall provide for a chloride analysis within 24 hours of the sample being taken. For all other cases, the licensee shall provide for the analysis to be completed within 4 days. The chloride analysis does not have to be done onsite.

BWR's on sea or brackish water sites, and plants which use sea or brackfish water in essential heat exchangers (e.g. shutdown cooling) that have only single barrier protection between the reactor coolant are required to analyze chloride. within 24 hours. All other plants have 96 hours to perform a chlorida analysis. Samples diluted by up to a factor of one thousand are acceptable as initial scoping analysis for chloride, provided (1) the results are reported as D DUL Cl (the licensee should establish this value; the number in tha blank should be no greater than 10.0 ppm Cl) in the reactor coolant system and (2) that dissolved oxygen can be verified at <0.1 ppm, consistent with the guidelines above in clarification no. 4. Additionally, if chloride analysis is performed. on a diluted sample, an undiluted sample need also be taken and retained for analysis within 30 days, consistent with ALAPA.

Criterion: (6)

The design basis for plant equipment for reactor coolant and containment atmosphere sampling and analysis must assume that it is possible to obtain and analyze a sample without radiation exposures to any individual exceeding the criteria of GDC 19 (Appendix A, 10 CFR Part 50) (i.e., 5 rem whole body, 75 rem extremities). (Note that the design and operational review criterion was changed from the operational limits of 10 CFR Part 20 (NUREG-0578) to the GDC 19 criterion (October 30, 1979 letter from H. R. Denton to all licensees).

Clarification:

Consistent with Regulatory Guide 1.3 or 1.4 source terms, provide information on the predicted personnel exposures based on person-motion for sampling, transport and analysis of all required parameters.

Criterion: (7)

The analysis of primary coolant samples for boron is required for PWRs. (Note that Rev. 2 of Regulatory Guide 1.97 specifies the need for primary coolant boron analysis capability at BWR plants).

| | - 4 - |
|----------------|--|
| Clarification: | PWR's need to perform boron analysis. The guidelines for BWR's are to have the capability to perform boron analysis but they do not have to do so unless boron was injected. |
| Criterion: (8) | If inline monitoring in used for any sampling and analy- tical capability specified herein, the licensee shall provide backup sampling through grab samples, and shall demonstrate the capability of analyzing the samplies. Established planning for analysis at offsite facilities is acceptable. Equipment provided for backup sampling shall be capable of providing at least one sample per day for 7 days following onset of the accident, and at least one sample per week until the accident condition no longer exists. |
| Clarification: | A capability to obtain both diluted and undiluted backup samples is required. Provisions to flush inline monitors to facilitate access for repair is desirable. If an off-site laboratory is to be relied on for the backup analysis, an explanation of the capability to ship and obtain analysis for one sample per week thereafter until accident condition no longer exists should be provided. |
| Criterion: (9) | The licensee's radiological and chemical sample analysis capability shall include provisions to: |
| | (a) Identify and quantify the isotopes of the nuclide categories discussed above to levels corresponding to the source terms given in Regulatory Guide 1.3 or 1.4 and 1.7. Where necessary and practicable, the ability to dilute samples to provide capability for measurement and reduc- tion of personnel exposure should be provided. Sensi- tivity of onsite liquid sample analysis capability should be such as to permit measurement of nuclide concen- tration in the range from approximately lµ Ci/g to 10 Ci/g. |
| | (b) Restrict background levels of radiation in the radiolog- ical and chemical analysis facility from sources such that the sample analysis will provide results with an acceptably small error (approximately a factor of 2). This can be accomplished through the use of sufficient shielding |

of airborne radioactivity.

Clarification: (9) (a) Provide a discussion of the predicted activity in the samples to be taken and the methods of handling/dilution that will be employed to reduce the activity sufficiently to perform the required analysis. Discuss the range of radionuclide concentration which can be analyzed for, including an assessment of, the amount of overlap between post accident and normal sampling capabilities.

around samples and outside sources, and by the use of a ventilation system design which will control the presence

(9) (b) State the predicted background radiation levels in the counting room, including the contribution from samples which are present. Also provide data demonstrating what the background radiation levels and radiation effect will be on a sample being counted to assure an accuracy within a factor of 2.

5

(10)

Criterion:

Clarification:

Accuracy, range, and sensitivity shall be adequate to provide pertinent data to the operator in order to describe radiological and chemical status of the reactor coolant systems.

The recommended ranges for the required accident sample analyses are given in Regulatory Guide 1.97, Rev. 2. The necessary accuracy within the recommended ranges are as follows:

- Gross activity, gamma spectrum: measured to estimate core damage, these analyses should be accurate within a factor of two across the entire range.

- Boron: measure to verify shutdown margin.

In general this analysis should be accurate within $\pm 5\%$ of the measured value (i.e. at 6,000 ppm B the tolerance is \pm 300 ppm while at 1,000 ppm B the tolerance is \pm 50ppm). For concentrations below 1,000 ppm the tolerance band should remain at \pm 50 ppm.

- Chloride: measured to determine coolant corrosion potential.

For concentrations between 0.5 and 20.0 ppm chloride the analysis should be accurate within \pm 10% of the measured value. At concentrations below 0.5 ppm the tolerance band remains at \pm 0.05 ppm.

- Hydrogen or Total Gas: monitored to estimate core degradation and corrosion potential of the coolant.

An accuracy of \pm 10% is desirable between 50 and 2000 cc/kg but \pm 20% can be acceptable. For concentration below 50 cc/kg the tolerance remains at \pm 5.0 cc/kg.

- Oxygen: monitored to assess coolant corrosion potential.

For concentrations between 0.5 and 20.0 ppm oxygen the analysis should be accurate within \pm 10% of the measured value. At concentrations below 0.5 ppm the tolerance band remains at \pm 0.05 ppm.

- pH: measured to assess coolant corrosion potential.

Between a pH of 5 to 9, the reading should be accurate within ± 0.3 pH units. For all other ranges ± 0.5 pH units is acceptable.

To demonstrate that the selected procedures and instrumentation will achieve the above listed accuracies, it is necessary to provide information demonstrating their applicability in the post accident water chemistry and radiation environment. This can be accomplished by performing tests utilizing the standard test matrix provided below or by providing evidence that the selected procedure or instrument has been used successfully in a similar environment.

STANDARD TEST MATRIX

FOR

UNDILUTED REACTOR COOLANT SAMPLES IN A POST-ACCIDENT ENVIRONMENT

| <u>Constituient</u> | Nominal <u>Concentration (ppm)</u> | Added as (chemical salt) |
|---|--|---|
| I ⁻ Cs+ Ba+2 La+3 Ce+4 | 40 250 10 5 5 | Potassium Iodide Cesium Nitrate Barium Nitrate Lanthanum Chloride Ammonium Cerium Nitrate |
| CT- B LT+ | 2 | Boric Acid Lithium Hydroxide |
| NO3 NH4 K+ | 150 5 20 | |
| Gamma Radiation (Induced Field) | 10 ⁴ Rad/gm of Reactor Coolant | Adsorbed Dose |

NOTES:

- Instrumentation and procedures which are applicable to diluted samples only, should be tested with an equally diluted chemical test matrix. The induced radiation environment should be adjusted commensurate with the weight of actual reactor coolant in the sample being tested.
- 2) For PWRs, procedures which may be affected by spray additive chemicals must be tested in both the standard test matrix plus appropriate spray additives. Both procedures (with and without spray additives) are required to be available.
- 3) For BWRs, if procedures are verified with boron in the test matrix, they do not have to be tested without boron.

Passive flow restrictors in the sample lines may be replaced by redundant, environmentally qualified, remotely operated isolation valves to limit potential leakage from sampling lines. The automatic containment isolation valves should close on containment isolation or safety injection signals.

(11)(b) A dedicated sample station filtration system is not required, provided a positive exhaust exists which is subsequently routed through charcoal absorbers and HEPA filters. 4) In lieu of conducting tests utilizing the standard test matrix for instruments and procedures, provide evidence that the selected instrument or procedure has been used successfully in a similar environment.

All equipment and procedures which are used for post accident sampling and analyses should be calibrated or tested at a frequency which will ensure, to a high degree of reliability, that it will be available if required. Operators should receive initial and refresher training in post accident sampling, analysis and transport. A minimum frequency for the above efforts is considered to be every six months if indicated by testing. These provisions should be submitted in revised Technical Specifications in accordance with Enclosure 1 of NUREG-0737. The staff will provide model Technical Specifications at a later date.

Criterion:

- (11) In the design of the post accident sampling and analysis capability, consideration should be given to the following items:
 - (a) Provisions for purging sample lines, for reducing plateout in sample lines, for minimizing sample loss or distortion, for preventing blockage of sample lines by loose material in the RCS or containment, for appropriate disposal of the samples, and for flow restrictions to limit reactor coolant loss from a rupture of the sample line. The post accident reactor coolant and containment atmosphere samples should be representative of the reactor coolant in the core area and the containment atmosphere following a transient or accident. The sample lines should be as short as possible to minimize the volume of fluid to be taken from containment. The residues of sample collection should be returned to containment or to a closed system.
 - (b) The ventilation exhaust from the sampling station should be filtered with charcoal absorbers and high-efficiency particulate air (HEPA) filters.

Clarification: (11)(a) A description of the provisions which address each of the items in clarification 11.a should be provided. Such items, as heat tracing and purge velocities, should be addressed. To demonstrate that samples are representative of core conditions a discussion of mixing, both short and long term, is needed. If a given sample location can be rendered inaccurate due to the accident (i.e. sampling from a hot or cold leg loop which may have a steam or gas pocket) describe the backup sampling capabilities or address the maximum time that this condition can exist.

> BWR's should specifically address samples which are taken from the core shroud area and demonstrate how they are representative of core conditions.

- 7 -