

SEP 23 1981

DISTRIBUTION  
Dockets  
NRC PDR  
TERA  
NSIC  
ORB#1 Rdg  
DEisenhut  
OELD  
IE-3  
CParrish  
SVarga  
GMeyer  
JShea  
ACRS-10  
Gray File

Docket Nos. 50-272  
and 50-311

Mr. F. W. Schneider, Vice President  
Production  
Public Service Electric and Gas Company  
80 Park Plaza 15A  
Newark, New Jersey 07101

Dear Mr. Schneider:

SUBJECT: TMI TOPIC II.F.2.3 - SALEM 1 AND 2

We understand that you plan to install the Westinghouse  $\Delta$ P reactor vessel level instrument. Westinghouse has made a generic submittal to the NRC entitled "Summary Report, Westinghouse Reactor Vessel Level Instrumentation System for Monitoring Inadequate Core Cooling (7300 System), (UHI Plant), and (Microprocessor System)", dated December 1980.

Since the Westinghouse generic submittal has an option for three different levels of data processing, you should provide a plant specific submittal showing the option selected. Also, please respond to the enclosed request for additional information within 30 days.


Sincerely,

Original signed by:

Steven A. Varga, Chief  
Operating Reactors Branch #1  
Division of Licensing

Enclosure:  
Request for Additional  
Information

cc w/enclosure:  
See next page



8110010418 810923  
PDR ADDCK 05000272  
P PDR

OFFICE	ORB#1:DL	ORB#1:DL					
SURNAME	GMeyer:ds	SVarga					
DATE	9/22/81	9/22/81					

Mr. F. W. Schneider  
Public Service Electric and Gas Company

cc: Mark J. Wetterhahn, Esquire  
Conner, Moore and Corber  
Suite 1050  
1747 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW  
Washington, D. C. 20006

Richard Fryling, Jr., Esquire  
Assistant General Solicitor  
Public Service Electric and Gas Company  
80 Park Place  
Newark, New Jersey 07101

Gene Fisher, Bureau of Chief  
Bureau of Radiation Protection  
380 Scotch Road  
Trenton, New Jersey 08628

Mr. R. L. Mittl, General Manager  
Licensing and Environment  
Public Service Electric and Gas  
Company  
80 Park Plaza 17C  
Newark, New Jersey 07101

Mr. Henry J. Midura, Manager  
Salem Nuclear Generating Station  
Public Service Electric and Gas  
Company  
P. O. Box 168  
Hancocks Bridge, New Jersey 08038

Salem Free Library  
112 West Broadway  
Salem, New Jersey 08079

Leif J. Norrholm, Resident Inspector  
Salem Nuclear Generating Station  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Drawer I  
Hancocks Bridge, New Jersey 08038

John M. Zupko, Jr., Manager  
Nuclear Operations Support  
Public Service Electric and Gas Company  
80 Park Plaza - 15A  
Newark, New Jersey 07101

Mr. R. A. Uderitz  
General Manager, Nuclear  
Production  
Public Service Electric and  
Gas Company  
80 Park Plaza 15A  
Newark, New Jersey 07101

Mr. J. T. Boettger  
General Manager, Quality  
Assurance I&E  
Public Service Electric and  
Gas Company  
80 Park Plaza  
Newark, New Jersey 07101

Mr. Edwin A. Liden, Manager  
Nuclear Licensing  
Licensing and Environmental Dept.  
Public Service Electric and Gas  
Company  
80 Park Plaza 16D  
Newark, New Jersey 07101

ON SUMMARY REPORT  
"WESTINGHOUSE REACTOR VESSEL LEVEL INSTRUMENTATION SYSTEM  
FOR MONITORING INADEQUATE CORE COOLING"

---

1. Justify that the single upper head penetration meets the single failure requirement of NUREG-0737 and show that it does not negate the redundancy of the two instrument trains.
2. Describe the location of the level system displays in the control room with respect to other plant instrument displays related to ICC monitoring, in particular, the saturation meter display and the core exit thermocouple display.
3. Describe the provisions and procedures for on-line verification, calibration and maintenance.
4. Describe the diagnostic techniques and criteria to be used to identify malfunctioning components.
5. Estimate the in-service life under conditions of normal plant operations and describe the methods used to make the estimate, and the data and sources used.
6. Explain how the value of the system accuracy (given as  $\pm \epsilon\%$ ) was derived. How were the uncertainties from the individual components of the system combined? What were the random and systematic errors assumed for each component? What were the sources of these estimates?
7. Assume a range of sizes for "small break" LOCA's. What are the relative times available for each size break for the operator to initiate action to recover the plant from the accident and prevent damage to the core? What is the dividing line between a "small break" and a "large break"?
8. Describe how the system response time was estimated. Explain how the response times of the various components (differential pressure transducers, connecting lines and isolators) affect the response time.
9. There are indications that the TMI-2 core may be up to 95% blocked. Estimate the effect of partial blockage in the core on the differential pressure measurements for a range of values from 0 to 95% blockage.

10. Describe the effects of reverse flows within the reactor vessel on the indicated level.
11. What is the experience, if any, of maintaining Dp cells at 300% over-range for long periods of time?
12. Five conditions were identified which could cause the Dp level system to give ambiguous indications. Discuss the nature of the ambiguities for 1) accumulator injection into a highly voided downcomer, 2) when the upper head behaves as a pressurizer, 3) upper plenum injection, and 4) periods of void redistribution.
13. No recommendations are made as to the uncertainties of the pressure or temperature transducers to be used, but the choice appears to be left to the owner of AE. What is the upper limit of uncertainties that should be allowed? Describe the effect of these uncertainties on the measurement of level. What would be the effect on the level measurement should these uncertainties be exceeded?
14. Only single RTD sensors on each vertical run are indicated to determine the temperatures of the impulse lines. Where are they to be located? What are the expected temperature gradients along each line under normal operating conditions and under a design basis accident? What is the worst case error that could result from only determining the temperature at a single point on each line?
15. What is the source of the tables or relationships used to calculate density corrections for the level system?
16. The microprocessor system is stated to display the status of the sensor input. Describe how is this indicated and what this actually means with respect to the status of the sensor itself and the reliability of the indication.
17. Describe the provisions for preventing the draining of either the upper head of hot leg impulse lines during an accident. What would be the resultant errors in the level indications should such draining occur?
18. Discuss the effect of the level measurement of the release of dissolved, noncondensable gases in the impulse lines in the event of a depressurization.
19. In some tests at Semi-scale, voiding was observed in the core while the upper head was still filled with water. Discuss the possibility of cooling the core-exit thermocouples by water draining down out of the upper head during or after core voiding with a solid upper head.

20. Describe behavior of the level measurement system when the upper head is full, but the lower vessel is not.
21. One discussion of the microprocessor system states that water in the upper head is not reflected in the plot. Does this mean that there is no water in the upper head or that the system is indifferent to water in the upper head under these conditions?
22. Describe the details of the pump flow/Dp calculation. Discuss the possible errors.
23. Have tests been run with voids in the vessel? Describe the results of these tests.
24. Estimate the expected accuracy of the system after an ICC event.
25. Describe how the conversion of RTD resistance to temperature made in the analog level system.