

## CHAIRMAN Resource

---

**From:** Tom Gurdziel <tgurdziel@twcny.rr.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 23, 2018 9:22 PM  
**To:** Transformation Resource  
**Cc:** 'Ed Stronski'; Bridget Frymire; Baval, Rochelle; CHAIRMAN Resource  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] NRC 2018 Transformation Thoughts #5

Good morning,

What do you think? Suppose you were interested in buying a used car sitting on a dealer's lot and you asked me to take a look at it. Since I am short of time, I agree to look at the car this weekend, then write a report in two or three weeks.

I looked over the car and can identify no problems. Yet, before I write the report, I pass by and see 2 flat tires. Would it be honest to include the later information in my report even though the problem did not occur/exist at the specific time of the inspection?

Because that is exactly the same situation I see at Grand Gulf. Go to Inspection Report 2017010. On page 4 you will find that

"The inspectors verified that the licensee satisfactorily:  
Developed and implemented adequate testing and maintenance of FLEX equipment to ensure their availability and capability."

The date of this report is May 16, 2017, although the inspection was done "between March 6 and March 10, 1017". Yet from Inspection Report 2017001 dated May 15, 2017, (which is a day earlier), you will read:

"FLEX debris removal equipment (1FLEXE001 and 1FLEXE002) unable to start due to dead batteries." March 23, 2017

Although the dead batteries were found before the Inspection Report was written, they were not mentioned in that report, (2017010), which, incidentally covered in part "implementation of mitigation strategies".

If this is typical of the way information is presently being presented in US NRC reports to the public, wouldn't you say that some Transformation is needed right now?

Thank you,

Tom Gurdziel



Virus-free. [www.avast.com](http://www.avast.com)