Distribution whenclosure:

D	ocket File	
	RC PDR	
L	ocal PDR	
L	VR #3 File	
D	Vassallo 👘	
D	Ross	
	•	

S. Varga F. Williams O. Parr A. Dromerick M. Rushbrook R. Mattson



OELD IE (3)

BCC: JBuchanan TAbernathy ACRS (16)

Docket No. 50-311

JUL 3 0 1979

Mr. R. L. Mittl, General Manager Licensing and Environment Engineering and Construction Department Public Service Electric and Gas Company 80 Park Place Newark, New Jersey 07101

Dear Mr. Mittl:

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FOR THE REVIEW OF THE SALEM UNIT 2 FINAL SAFETY ANALYSIS REPORT (FSAR)

As a result of our continuing review of the Salem FSAR, we find that we need additional information to complete our evaluation. The specific information required is listed in the Enclosure.

Our review schedule is based on the assumption that this additional information will be available for our review by August 10, 1979. If you cannot meet this date, please inform us within seven days after receipt of this letter so that we may revise our schedule accordingly.

Please contact us if you desire any discussion or clarification of the enclosed request.

Sincerely,

Original Signed by O. D. Parr

Olan D. Parr, Chief Light Water Reactors Branch No. 3 Division of Project Management

Enclosure:

NEC FORM 318 (9-76) NRCM 0249

Request for Additional Information

cc w/enclosure:
See next page

7908300062

OFFICE		LWR #3/BC	 	
8URNAME ⋗	ADremerick/LM	ODParr		
DATE	7/ JO /79	7/ 30 /79		

TU.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1978 - 265

Mr. R. L. Mittl, General Manager

JUL 3 0 1979

2 -

cc: Richard Fryling, Jr., Esq. Assistant General Counsel Public Service Electric & Gas Company 80 Park Place Newark, New Jersey 07100

> Mark Wetterhahn, Esq. Conner, Moore & Cober 1747 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Suite 1050 Washington, D.C. 20006

Mr. Leif J. Norrholm U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region I Drawer I Hancocks Bridge, New Jersey 08038

ENCLOSURE

REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

SALEM NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION, UNIT 2

DOCKET NO. 50-311

3.0 REACTOR

3.13 During a recent refueling outage at a <u>W</u> plant (Salem Unit 1), strap damage was observed on a number of fuel spacer grids. It is not known whether the damage occurred during the initial core loading or during the refueling withdrawal. What assurances can you provide that the same type of grid damage will not be experienced at Salem Unit 2?