



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

November 28, 1978

Docket No. 50-272

Public Service Electric and Gas Company  
ATTN: Mr. F. P. Librizzi  
General Manager - Electric  
Production  
Production Department  
80 Park Place, Room 7221  
Newark, New Jersey 07101

Gentlemen:

RE: CONTAINMENT PURGING DURING NORMAL PLANT OPERATION

A number of events have occurred over the past several years which directly relate to the practice of containment purging during normal plant operation. During recent months, two specific events have occurred which have raised several questions relative to potential failures of automatic isolation of the large diameter purge penetrations which are used during power operation. On July 26, 1978, the Northeast Nuclear Energy Company (NNEC) reported to the NRC such an event at Millstone Unit No. 2, a pressurized water reactor located in New London County, Connecticut. On September 8, 1978, you reported a similar event at Salem Unit No. 1.

During a review of operating procedures on July 25, 1978, NNEC discovered that since May 1, 1978, intermittent containment purge operations had been conducted at Millstone Unit No. 2 with the safety actuation isolation signals to both inlet and outlet redundant containment isolation valves (48 inch butterfly valves) in the purge inlet and outlet penetrations manually overridden and inoperable. The isolation signals which are required to automatically close the purge valves for containment integrity were manually overridden to allow purging of containment with a high radiation signal present. The manual override circuitry designed by the plant's architect/engineer defeated the high radiation signal and all other isolation signals to these valves. To manually override a safety actuation signal, the operator cycles the valve control switch to the closed position and then to the open position. This action energized a relay which blocked the safety signal and allowed manual operation independent of any safety actuation signal. This circuitry was designed to permit reopening these valves after an accident to allow manual operation of certain safety equipment.

7812140332

P

4

On September 8, 1978, the staff was advised that, as a matter of routine, Salem Unit No. 1 has been venting the containment through the containment ventilation system valves to reduce pressure. In certain instances this venting has occurred with the containment high particulate radiation monitor isolation signal to the purge and pressure-vacuum relief valves overridden. Override of the containment isolation signal was accomplished by resetting the train A and B reset buttons. Under these circumstances, six valves in the containment vent and purge systems could be opened with a high particulate isolation signal present. This override was performed after verifying that the actual containment particulate levels were acceptable for venting. After further investigation of this practice you determined that the reset of the particulate alarm also bypasses the containment isolation signal to the purge valves and that the purge valves would not have automatically closed in the event of an emergency core cooling system (ECCS) safety injection signal.

These events and information gained from recent licensing actions have raised several concerns relative to potential failures affecting the purge penetration valves which could lead to a degradation in containment integrity and, for PWR's, a degradation in ECCS performance. Should a loss-of-coolant accident (LOCA) occur during purging there could be insufficient containment backpressure to assure proper operation of the ECCS. As the practice of containment purging during normal operation has become more prevalent in recent years, we have required that applicants for construction permits or operating licenses provide test results or analyses to demonstrate the capability of the purge isolation valves to close against the dynamic forces of a design basis LOCA. Some licensees have Technical Specifications which prohibit purging during plant operation pending demonstration of isolation valve operability.

In light of the above, we request that you provide within 30 days of receipt of this letter your commitment to cease all containment purge during operation (hot shutdown, hot standby, startup and power operation) or a justification for continuing purging at your facility. Specifically, provide the following information:

- (1) Propose an amendment to the plant Technical Specifications based upon the enclosed model Technical Specification, or
- (2) If you plan to justify limited purging, you must propose a Technical Specification change limiting purging during operation to 90 hours per year as described in the enclosed Standard Review Plan Section 6.2.4, Revision 1. Your justification must include a demonstration (by test or by test and analysis similar to that required by Standard Review Plan 3.9.3) of the ability of the containment isolation valves to close under postulated design basis accident conditions. Within thirty days of receipt of this letter, you are requested to provide a schedule for completion of your evaluation justifying continuation of limited purging during power operation.
- (3) If you plan to justify unlimited purging you need not propose a Technical Specification change at this time. You must, however, provide the basis for purging and a schedule for responding to the issues relating to purging during normal operation as described in the enclosed Standard Review Plan Section 6.2.4, Revision 1, and the associated Branch Technical Position CSB 6-4. As discussed in these documents, purging during normal operation may be permitted if the purge isolation valves are capable of closing against the dynamic forces of a design basis loss-of-coolant accident. Also, basis for unlimited purging must include an evaluation of the impact of purging during operation on ECCS performance, an evaluation of the radiological consequences of any design basis accident requiring containment isolation occurring during purge operations, and an evaluation of containment purge and isolation instrumentation and control circuit designs. Within thirty days of receipt of this letter, you are requested to provide a schedule for completion of your evaluation justifying continuation of unlimited purging during power operation.

Pending completion of the NRC staff review of the justification for continued purging in (2) or (3) above, you should commit to either cease purging or limit purging to an absolute minimum, not to exceed 90 hours per year.

The staff believes that both the Millstone and Salem events resulted from lack of proper management control, procedural inadequacies, and possible design deficiencies. While the containment atmosphere was properly sampled and the purging (venting) discharges at both facilities were within regulatory requirements, the existing plant operating procedures approved by the licensee's management did not adequately address the operability of the purge valves and the need for strict limitations on (or prohibition of) overriding a safety actuation closure signal. The requirements for valve operability were not discussed and the related Technical Specifications were not referenced in the procedures. Design deficiencies probably contributed to the events as the safety actuation bypass condition is not annunciated nor is a direct manual reset of the safety actuation signal available. Consequently, we have developed the position specified below to assure that the design and use of all override circuitry in your plant is such that your plant will have the protection needed during postulated accident conditions.

Whether or not you plan to justify purging, you should review the design of all safety actuation signal circuits which incorporate a manual override feature to ensure that overriding of one safety actuation signal does not also cause the bypass of any other safety actuation signal, that sufficient physical features are provided to facilitate adequate administrative controls, and that the use of each such manual override is annunciated at the system level for every system impacted. Within thirty days of receipt of this letter, you are requested to provide (1) the results of your review of override circuitry and (2) a schedule for the development of any design or procedural changes imposed or planned to assure correction of any non-conforming circuits. Until you have reviewed circuitry to the extent necessary to verify that operation of a bypass will affect no safety functions other than those analyzed and discussed on your docket, do not bypass that signal. Our Office of Inspection and Enforcement will verify that

you have inaugurated administrative controls to prevent improper manual defeat of safety actuation signals as a part of its regular inspection program.

Sincerely,

Original Signed By

A. Schwencer, Chief  
Operating Reactors Branch #1  
Division of Operating Reactors

Enclosures:

- 1. Model Technical Specification
- 2. Standard Review Plan
- 3. Branch Technical Position CSB 6-4

cc: w/enclosures  
See next page

DISTRIBUTION  
Docket File

NRC PDR  
 Local PDR  
 ORBI Rdg  
 A. Schwencer  
 C. Parrish  
 Project Manager  
 M. Mlynczak  
 W. Russell  
 I&E (3)  
 D. Eisenhut  
 OELD  
 B. Grimes  
 TERA  
 J. Buchanan  
 ACRS (16)

*Oral M M  
Schwencer  
11/57*

|         |            |              |  |  |  |
|---------|------------|--------------|--|--|--|
| OFFICE  | DOR:ORB1   | DOR:ORB1     |  |  |  |
| SURNAME | Proj. Mgr. | A. Schwencer |  |  |  |
| DATE    | 11/29/78   | 11/29/78     |  |  |  |

cc: Mark J. Wetterhahn, Esquire  
Conner, Moore & Corber  
Suite 1050  
1747 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20006

Richard Fryling, Jr. Esquire  
Assistant General Solicitor  
Public Service Electric & Gas Company  
80 Park Place  
Newark, New Jersey 07101

Gene Fisher  
Bureau Chief  
Bureau of Radiation Protection  
380 Scotch Road  
Trenton, New Jersey 08628

Public Service Electric & Gas Company  
ATTN: Herbert J. Heller  
Manager, Salem Nuclear Generating  
Station  
Hancocks Bridge, New Jersey 08038

Public Service Electric & Gas Company  
ATTN: Mr. R. L. Mittl  
General Manager - Licensing and  
Environment  
80 Park Place  
Newark, New Jersey 07101

Salem Free Library  
112 West Broadway  
Salem, New Jersey 08079

Leif J. Norrholm  
USNRC  
Drawer I  
Hancocks Bridge, New Jersey 08038