#### UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

REGION III 801 WARRENVILLE ROAD LISLE. ILLINOIS 60532-4351 October 14, 1997

Mr. Thomas J. Palmisano Site Vice President Palisades Nuclear Generating Plant 27780 Blue Star Memorial Highway Covert, MI 49043-9530

SUBJECT: NRC INSPECTION REPORT NO. 50-255/97009(DRP)

Dear Mr. Palmisano:

On August 27, 1997, the NRC completed an inspection at your Palisades Nuclear Generating Plant. The enclosed report presents the results of that inspection.

The inspection covered a 7-week period. Areas examined during the inspection are identified in the report. Within these areas, the inspection consisted of a selective examination of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observation of activities in progress. The purpose of the inspection effort was to determine whether activities authorized by the license were conducted safely and in accordance with NRC requirements. The summary contained in the report provides an overview of our assessment of your performance.

During this inspection period the licensee performed prompt and thorough reviews of several operational issues, including an increase in main turbine vibration, repetitive failures of the thermal margin monitor, and the availability of emergency diesel generator lube oil. However, resident inspector review of these issues revealed additional opportunities for early discovery and resolution by existing licensee programs. In contrast, system engineering has demonstrated that it can proactively identify problems by performing a critical review of system performance. An example is the review performed by the system engineer of the high pressure safety injection system and high pressure air system. This review identified several component failures that were not classified as maintenance preventable functional failures but required increased attention due to the frequency of the component failures.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.790 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," a copy of this letter, and the enclosed inspection report, will be placed in the NRC Public Document Room (PDR).

Sincerely,

Mon 2

Geoffrey E. Grant, Director Division of Reactor Projects

Docket No. 50-255 License No. DPR-20

0280049

Enclosure: Inspection Report No. 50-255/97009(DRP)

See Attached Distribution



teol

T. Palmisano

# **Distribution:**

cc w/encl:

Robert A. Fenech, Senior Vice President, Nuclear, Fossil and Hydro Operations Thomas C. Bordine, Manager Licensing Department James R. Padgett, Michigan Public Service Commission Michigan Department of Environmental Quality Department of Attorney General (MI)

-2-

Mr. Thomas J. Palmisano Site Vice President Palisades Nuclear Generating Plant 27780 Blue Star Memorial Highway Covert, MI 49043-9530

### SUBJECT: NRC INSPECTION REPORT NO. 50-255/97009(DRP)

Dear Mr. Palmisano:

On August 27, 1997, the NRC completed an inspection at your Palisades Nuclear Generating Plant. The enclosed report presents the results of that inspection.

The inspection covered a 7-week period. Areas examined during the inspection are identified in the report. Within these areas, the inspection consisted of a selective examination of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observation of activities in progress. The purpose of the inspection effort was to determine whether activities authorized by the license were conducted safely and in accordance with NRC requirements. The summary contained in the report provides an overview of our assessment of your performance.

During this inspection period the licensee performed prompt and thorough reviews of several operational issues, including an increase in main turbine vibration, repetitive failures of the thermal margin monitor, and the availability of emergency diesel generator lube oil. However, resident inspector review of these issues revealed additional opportunities for early discovery and resolution by existing licensee programs. In contrast, system engineering has demonstrated that it can proactively identify problems by performing a critical review of system performance. An example is the review performed by the system engineer of the high pressure safety injection system and high pressure air system. This review identified several component failures that were not classified as maintenance preventable functional failures but required increased attention due to the frequency of the component failures.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.790 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," a copy of this letter, and the enclosed inspection report, will be placed in the NRC Public Document Room (PDR).

Sincerely,

/s/Marc L. Dapas for

Geoffrey E. Grant, Director Division of Reactor Projects

Docket No. 50-255 License No. DPR-20

Enclosure: Inspection Report No. 50-255/97009(DRP)

See Attached Distribution

DOCUMENT-NAME:—R:-Insprpts\powers\pali\pal97009.drp To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box "C" = Copy without attachment/enclosure "E" = Copy with attachment/enclosure "N" = No copy

OFFICE	RIII	RIII	RIII	RIII	
NAME	Burgessiop	Grant MPD for			
DATE	101097	10 <b>//#</b> 97			

### OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

T. Palmisano

## **Distribution:**

cc w/encl:

cl: Robert A. Fenech, Senior Vice President, Nuclear, Fossil and Hydro Operations Thomas C. Bordine, Manager Licensing Department James R. Padgett, Michigan Public Service Commission Michigan Department of Environmental Quality Department of Attorney General (MI)

Docket File w/encl DRP w/encl Project Manager, NRR w/encl RIII Enf. Coordinator w/encl CAA1 w/encl (E-mail) DRS (2) w/encl TSS w/encl PUBLIC IE-01 w/encl OC/LFDCB w/encl SRI Palisades w/encl A. B. Beach w/encl Deputy RA w/encl RIII PRR w/encl DOCDESK (E-mail)

-2-