

A CMS Energy Company

Palisades Nuclear Plant 27780 Blue Star Memorial Highway Covert, MI 49043 Tel: 616 764 2296 Fax: 616 764 2425

Thomas J. Palmisano Site Vice President

August 20, 1999

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
ATTN: Document Control Desk
Washington, DC 20555

DOCKET 50-255 - LICENSE DPR-20 - PALISADES PLANT

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT 99-002,"TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION SURVEILLANCE NOT COMPLETED WITHIN SPECIFIED FREQUENCY"

Licensee Event Report (LER) 99-002 is attached. The LER reports that a Technical Specification Surveillance from 1995 was discovered to have not been performed within the specified surveillance frequency plus allowable extension. This event is reportable in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B).

SUMMARY OF COMMITMENTS

This letter contains no new commitments and no revisions to existing commitments.

Thomas J. Palmisano Site Vice President

CC Administrator, Region III, USNRC Project Manager, NRR, USNRC NRC Resident Inspector - Palisades

Attachment

9908310259 990820 PDR ADDCK 05000255 S PDR

NRC	FORM	366
IE 1000		

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 06/30/2001

(See reverse for required number of digits/characters for each block)

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

Estimated burden per response to comply with this mandatory information collection request: 50 hrs. Reported lessons learned are incorporated into the licensing process and fed back to industry. Forward comments regarding burden estimate to the Records Management Branch (T-6 F33), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and to the Paperwork Reduction Project (3150-0104), Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503. If an information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, the information collection.

FACILITY NAME (1)

CONSUMERS ENERGY COMPANY - PALISADES NUCLEAR PLANT

DOCKET NUMBER (2)

PAGE (3)

05000255

1 OF 2

TITLE (4)

TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION SURVEILLANCE NOT COMPLETED WITHIN SPECIFIED FREQUENCY

EVENT DATE (5)			LER NUMBER (6)			REPORT DATE (7)			OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED (8)			
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL	REVISION	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	FAC	CILITY NAME	DOCKET NUMBER	
MUNTA	DAI	TEAN	I EAR	NUMBER	NUMBER.	MONTH	DAI	TEAN	_الـ		05000	
07	2	00	00		00	08	20	00	FACILITY NAME		DOCKET NUMBER	
07	22	99	99	002	00	08	20	99			05000	
OPERATING THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR \$: (Check one or more) (1								eck one or more) (11)				
MODE (9)		· N	20.2201(b)			20.2203(a)(2)(v)			X	50.73(a)(2)(i)	50.73(a)(2)(viii)	
POWER LEVEL (10)		99.9	20.2203(a)(1)			20.2203(a)(3)(i)				50.73(a)(2)(ii)	50.73(a)(2)(x)	
			20.2203(a)(2)(i)			20.2203(a)(3)(ii)			50.73(a)(2)(iii)	73.71		
			20.2203(a)(2)(ii)· 20.2203(a)(2)(iii)			20.2203(a)(4)			50.73(a)(2)(iv)	OTHER		
						50.36(c)(1) 50.36(c)(2)			Γ_{-}	50.73(a)(2)(v)	Specify in Abstract below	
			20	20.2203(a)(2)(iv)						50.73(a)(2)(vii)	or in NRC Form 366A	

LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12)

NAME

TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code)

Barbara E. Dotson, Palisades Licensing

(616) 764-2265

COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)													
CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFACTURER	REPORTABLE TO EPIX			CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFACTURER		REPORTABLE TO EPIX	
							,						
													n .
SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)						EXPECTED		MONTH	DAY	·,	YEAR		
YES (If yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE).					Χ	NO		SUBMISSION DATE (15)			,		

ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines) (16)

On July 22, 1999, with the plant at 99.9% power, during an internal audit of completed Technical Specification surveillance packages, it was discovered that a past performance of Technical Specification surveillance procedure RI-38, "SIRW Tank Level Indication", was not completed within the required 18 month interval plus allowable extension. RI-38 performs the channel calibration surveillance for Safety Injection and Refueling Water (SIRW) Tank Level, which is required in accordance with TS Section 4.17.5. Item 12.

RI-38 was completed on May 25, 1993. Based on an 18 month interval plus allowable extension, RI-38 should have been completed by April 15, 1995; but was not completed until May 19, 1995. This exceeded the required 18 month interval plus allowable extension by 34 days. This is reportable in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B).

NRC FORM 366a 6/98			U.S	. NUCLEAR REGU	JLATORY CO	MMISSION				
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION										
FACILITY NAME (1)		DOCKET(2)		LER NUMBER (6) PAG						
CONSUMERS ENERGY COMPANY PALISADES NUCLEAR PLANT		05000255	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	2 OF 2				
FALISADES NOCLEAR FLANT			99	002	00					

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

EVENT DESCRIPTION

On July 22, 1999 during an internal audit of completed Technical Specification (TS) surveillance packages, it was discovered that a past performance of TS Surveillance Procedure RI-38, "SIRW Tank Level Indication", was not completed within the required 18 month interval plus allowable extension. RI-38 performs the channel calibration surveillance for Safety Injection and Refueling Water (SIRW) Tank Level, which is required in accordance with TS Section 4.17.5, Item 12.

RI-38 was completed on May 25, 1993. Based on an 18 month interval plus allowable extension, RI-38 should have been completed by April 15, 1995; but was not completed until May 19, 1995. This exceeded the required 18 month interval plus allowable extension by 34 days.

CAUSE

During the period between the May 25, 1993 and May 19, 1995 performances of RI-38, the surveillance frequency was revised via TS Amendment 162 from a frequency of each refueling outage to an 18 month interval. This revised surveillance frequency was not incorporated into the surveillance schedule in a timely enough manner to accomplish the surveillance in accordance with the new 18 month frequency. The delay in revising the surveillance schedule resulted from an administrative weakness in the communication method for notifying the surveillance scheduler of the need to revise the surveillance schedule.

SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE

There was no safety significance as the result of this occurrence. Surveillance results from the channel calibration of May 19, 1995 showed that all affected equipment would have operated properly.

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

A review was conducted of all other affected surveillance requirements from TS Amendment 162 to verify correct implementation. All surveillances associated with TS Amendment 162 were found to be appropriately scheduled.