. 3 1 107**9** 

cents al files

Υ.

Docket No. 50-255

Consumers Power Company ATTN: Mr. R. B. DeWitt Vice President Nuclear Operations 212 West Michigan Avenue Jackson, MI 49201

Gentlemen:

The enclosed IE Bulletin No. 79-17, Revision 1 is forwarded to you for action. A written response is required. If you desire additional information regarding this matter, please contact this office.

Sincerely,

James G. Keppler Director

Enclosure: IE Bulletin No. 79-17, Revision 1 cc w/encl: Mr. J. G. Lewis, Manager Central Files Director, NRR/DPM Director, NRR/DOR PDR Local PDR

NSIC TIC Ronald Callen, Michigan Public Service Commission Myron M. Cherry, Chicago

RIII Heishman/bk 10/29/79

RIII Gal Keppler

CCP



SSINS No.: 6820 UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION Accession No.: OFFICE OF INSPECTION AND ENFORCEMENT 7908220117 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555

October 29, 1979

IE Bulletin No. 79-17 Revision 1

3

PIPE CRACKS IN STAGNANT BORATED WATER SYSTEMS AT PWR PLANTS

Description of Circumstances:

IE Bulletin No. 79-17, issued July 26, 1979, provided information on the cracking R1 experienced to date in safety-related stainless steel piping systems at PWR R1 plants. Certain actions were required of all PWR facilities with an operating R1 license within a specified 90-day time frame. R1

After several discussions with licensee owner group representatives and inspection R1 agencies it has been determined that the requirements of Item 2, particularly R1 the ultrasonic examination, may be impractical because of unavailability of R1 R1 qualified personnel in certain cases to complete the inspections within the time specified by the Bulletin. To alleviate this situation and allow licensees the R1 resources of improved ultrasonic inspection capabilities, a time extension and R1 R1 clarifications to the bulletin have been made. These are referenced to the affected items of the original bulletin. R1

During the period of November 1974 to February 1977 a number of cracking incidents have been experienced in safety-related stainless steel piping systems and portions of systems which contain oxygenated, stagnant or essentially stagnant borated water. Metallurgical investigations revealed these cracks occurred in the weld heat affected zone of 8-inch to 10-inch type 304 material (schedule 10 and 40), initiating on the piping I.D. surface and propagating in either an intergranular or transgranular mode typical of Stress Corrosion Cracking. Analysis indicated the probable corrodents to be chloride and oxygen contamination in the affected systems. Plants affected up to this time were Arkansas Nuclear Unit 1, R. E. Ginna, H. B. Robinson Unit 2, Crystal River Unit 3, San Onofre Unit 1, and Surry Units 1 and 2. The NRC issued Circular No. 76-06 (copy enclosed) in view of the apparent generic nature of the problem.

During the refueling outage of Three Mile Island Unit 1 which began in February of this year, visual inspections disclosed five (5) through-wall cracks at welds in the spent fuel cooling system piping and one (1) at a weld in the decay heat removal system. These cracks were found as a result of local boric acid buildup and later confirmed by liquid penetrant tests. This initial identification of cracking was reported to the NRC in a Licensee Event Report (LER) dated May 16, **1979.** A preliminary metallurgical analysis was performed by the licensee on a section of cracked and leaking weld joint from the spent fuel cooling system.

R1 - Identifies those additions or revision to IE Bulletin No. 79-17

October 29, 1979 Page 2 of 5

The conclusion of this analysis was that cracking was due to Intergranular Stress Corrosion Cracking (IGSCC) originating on the pipe I.D. The cracking was localized to the heat affected zone where the type 304 stainless steel is sensitized (precipitated carbides) during welding. In addition to the main through-wall crack, incipient cracks were observed at several locations in the weld heat affected zone including the weld root fusion area where a miniscule lack of fusion had occurred. The stresses responsible for cracking are believed to be primarily residual welding stresses in as much as the calculated applied stresses were found to be less than code design limits. There is no conclusive evidence at this time to identify those aggressive chemical species which promoted this IGSCC attack. Further analytical efforts in this area and on other system welds are being pursued.

Based on the above analysis and visual leaks, the licensee initiated a broad based ultrasonic examination of potentially affected systems utilizing special techniques. The systems examined included the spent fuel, decay heat removal, makeup and purification, and reactor building spray systems which contain stagnant or intermittently stagnant, oxygenated boric acid environments. These systems range from 2 1/2-inch (HPC1) to 24-inch (borated water storage tank suction), are type 304 stainless steel, schedule 160 to schedule 40 thickness respectively. Results of these examinations were reported to the NRC on June 30, 1979 as an update to the May 16, 1979 LER. The ultrasonic inspection as of July 10, 1979 has identified 206 welds out of 946 inspected having UT indications characteristic of cracking randomly distributed throughout the aforementioned sizes (24"-14"-12"-10"-8"-2" etc.) of the above systems. It is important to note that six of the crack indications were reportedly found in 2 1/2-inch diameter R1 pipe of the high pressure injection lines inside containment. These lines are attached to the main coolant pipe and are nonisolable from the main coolant system **R1** except for check valves. All of the six crack indications were found in two high pressure injection lines containing stagnated borated water. No crack **R1** indications were found in high pressure injection lines which were utilized for R1 makeup operations.

**R1** Recent data reported from Three Mile Island Unit 1 indicates that the extent R1 of IGSCC experienced in stainless steel piping at that facility may be more **R1** limited than originally stated above. Of the 1902 total welds originally inspected 350 contained U.T. indications which required further evaluation. R1 R1 These 350 welds have been reinspected with a second U.T. procedure which purportedly provides better discrimination between actual cracks and geometrical **R1 R1** reflectors. Hence, the licensee now estimates that approximately 38 of the 350 welds contain IGSCC and the remaining welds, including those in high pressure **R1 R1** injection and decay heat lines, contain only geometrical reflectors. Further metallurgical analysis of these welds is required to verify the adequacy of the R1 U.T. procedures and to determine the nature of the cracking. R1

October 29, 1979 Page 3 of 5

For All Pressurized Water Reactor Facilities with an Operating License:

1. Conduct a review of safety related stainless steel piping systems within 30 days of the date of this Bulletin (July 26, 1979) to identify systems and portions of systems which contain stagnant oxygenated borated water. These systems typically include ECCS, decay/residual heat removal, spent fuel pool cooling, containment spray and borated water storage tank (BWST-RWST) piping.

For this review, the term "stagnant, oxygenated borated water systems" refers R1 to those systems serving as engineered safeguards having no normal operating R1 functions and contain essentially air saturated borated water where dynamic R1 flow conditions do not exist on a <u>continuous basis</u>. However, these systems R1 must be maintained ready for actuation during normal power operations. Where R1 your definition for stagnant differed from the one given above please supple- R1 ment your previous response within 30 days of this Bulletin revision.

- (a) Provide the extent and dates of the hydrotests, visual and volumetric examinations performed per 10 CFR 50.55a(g) (Re: IE Circular No. 76-06 enclosed) of identified systems. Include a description of the nondestructive examination procedures, procedure qualifications and acceptance criteria, the sampling plan, results of the examinations and any related corrective actions taken.
- (b) Provide a description of water chemistry controls, summary of chemistry data, any design changes and/or actions taken, such as periodic flushing or recirculation procedures to maintain required water chemistry with respect to pH, B, Cl-, F-,  $O_2$ .
- (c) Describe the preservice NDE performed on the weld joints of identified systems. The description is to include the applicable ASME Code sections and supplements (addenda) that were followed, and the acceptance criterion.
- (d) Facilities having previously experienced cracking in identified systems, Item 1, are requested to identify (list) the new materials utilized in repair or replacement on a system-by-system basis. If a report of this information and that requested above has been previously submitted to the NRC, please reference the specific report(s) in response to this Bulletin.
- 2. All operating PWR facilities shall complete the following inspection on the R1 stagnant piping systems identified in Item 1 at the earliest practical date R1 not later than twelve months from the date of this bulletin revision. Fa-R1 cilities which have been inspected in accordance with the original Bulletin, R1 Sections 2(a) and 2(b) satisfy the requirements of this Revision. R1

October 29, 1979 Page 4 of 5

(a) Until the examination required by 2(b) is completed a visual examination Rl shall be made of all normally accessible welds of the engineered safety Rl systems at least monthly to verify continued systems integrity. Sim- Rl ilarly, the normally inaccessible welds, shall be visually examined Rl during each cold shutdown.

R1 The relevant provisions of Article IWA 2000 of ASME Code Section XI and Article 9 of Section V are considered appropriate and an acceptable R1 basis for this examination. For insulated piping, the examination may R1 R1 be conducted without the removal of insulation. During the examination particular attention shall be given to both insulated and noninsulated R1 R1 piping for evidence of leakage and/or boric acid residues which may have accumulated during the service period preceding the examination. R1 Where evidence of leakage and/or boric acid residues are detected at R1 locations, other than those normally expected, (such as valve stems, R1 R1 pump seals, etc.) the piping shall be cleaned (including insulation removal) to the extent necessary to permit further evaluation of the R1 piping condition. In cases where piping conditions observed are not R1 sufficiently definitive, additional inspections (i.e., surface and/or R1 R1 volumetric) shall be conducted in accordance with Item 2.(b).

- (b) An ultrasonic examination shall be performed on<sub>x</sub>a representative sample R1 of circumferential welds in normally accessible portions of systems R1 identified by 1 above. It is intended that the sample number of welds R1 selected for examination include all pipe diameters within the 2 1/2- R1 inch to 24-inch range with no less than a 10 percent sampling being R1 taken. The approach to selection of the sample shall be based on the R1 following criteria:
  - Pipe Material Chemistry As a first consideration, those welds in austenitic stainless steel piping (Types 304 and 316 ss) having 0.05 to 0.08 wt. % carbon content based on available material certification reports.
  - (2) Pipe Size and Thickness An unbiased mixture of pipe diameters and actual wall thickness distributed among both horizontal and vertical piping runs shall be included in the sample.
  - (3) System Importance The sample welds shall focus the examination R1 primarily on those systems required to function in the emergency R1 core cooling mode and secondly, on the containment spray system.
    R1

The U.T. examination sample may be focused on noninsulated piping R1 runs. The evaluation shall cover the weld root fusion zone and a R1 minimum of 1/2 inch on the pipe I.D. (counterbore area) on each side R1 of the weld. The procedure(s) for this examination shall be essentially R1

\*Normally accessible refers to those areas of the plant which can be entered during reactor operation.

R1 R1

R1

R1

R1 R1

**R1** 

R1 R1



October 29, 1979 Page 5 of 5

in accordance with ASME Code Section XI, Appendix III and Supplements R1 of the 1975 Winter Addenda, except all signal responses shall be eval-R1 uated as to the nature of the reflectors. Other alternative examination R1 methods, combination of methods, or newly developed techniques may be R1 used provided the procedure(s) have a proven capability of detecting R1 stress corrosion cracking in austenitic stainless steel piping. R1

For welds of systems included in the sample having pipe wall thickness R1 of 0.250 inches and below, visual and liquid penetrant surface examina- R1 tion may be used in lieu of ultrasonic examination. R1

- (c) If cracking is identified during Item 2(a) and 2(b) examinations, all R1 welds in the affected system, shall be subject to examination and repair R1 considerations. In addition, the sample welds to be examined on the R1 remaining normally accessible noninsulated piping shall be increased to R1 25 percent using the criteria outlined in paragraph 2(b). In the event R1 that cracking is identified in other systems at this sampling level, R1 all accessible and inaccessible welds of the systems identified in R1 item 1 shall be subject to examination.
- 3. Identification of cracking in one unit of a multi-unit facility which causes safety-related systems to be inoperable shall require immediate examination of accessible portions of other similar units which have not been inspected under the ISI provisions of 10 CFR 50.55a(g) unless justification for continued operation is provided.
- 4. Any cracking identified shall be reported to the Director of the apppropriate NRC Regional Office within 24 hours of identification followed by a 14 day written report.
- 5. Provide a written report to the Director of the appropriate NRC Regional R1 Office within 30 days of the date of this bulletin revision addressing the R1 results of your review if required by Item 1. Provide a schedule of your R1 inspection plans in response to Item 2(b) in those cases in which the R1 inspections have not been completed. R1
- Provide a written report to the Director of the appropriate NRC Regional R1 Office within 30 days of the date of completion of the examinations required R1 by Items 2(a), 2(b), or 2(c) describing the inspection results and any corrective actions taken.
- Copies of the reports required by Items above shall also be provided to the Director, Division of Operating Reactors, Office of Inspection and Enforcement, Washington, D.C. 20555.

Approved by GAO, B180225 (R0072), clearance expires 7/31/80. Approval was given under a blanket clearance specifically for identified generic problems.

Enclosures:

- 1. IE Circular No. 76-06
- 2. List of IE Bulletins Issued in the Last Six Months