

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

<b>As of:</b> 1/9/18 11:44 AM <b>Received:</b> January 02, 2018 <b>Status:</b> Pending_Post <b>Tracking No.</b> 1k2-90pg-xl1e <b>Comments Due:</b> January 02, 2018 <b>Submission Type:</b> Web
--

**Docket:** NRC-2017-0211

Standard Review Plan for Spent Fuel Dry Storage Systems and Facilities

**Comment On:** NRC-2017-0211-0001

Standard Review Plan for Spent Fuel Dry Storage Systems and Facilities; Request for Comment on Draft NUREG

**Document:** NRC-2017-0211-DRAFT-0115

Comment on FR Doc # 2017-24734

## Submitter Information

**Name:** William Volk

**Address:**

2658 Cazadero Drive  
Carlsbad, CA, 92009

**Email:** william.volk@gmail.com

114  
82 FR 62944  
11/15/2017

SUNSI Review Complete  
Template = ADM - 013  
E-RIDS = ADM-03  
Add = Jeremy Smith (used)

## General Comment

In light of these well-reasoned plans for Spent Fuel Dry Storage Systems and Facilities, it behooves the NRC to take a leadership role in regards to the San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station waste situation.

The plan to bury 3.5 million pounds of nuclear waste in 5/8th's inch thick stainless containers 108 feet from the high tide mark on a beach is a serious incident waiting to happen. These plans by Southern California Edison need to be put on hold, until a more conservative plan with proper storage in a location not as subject to environmental and geological hazards be determined, and proper casks be employed for the storage of these wastes.

Having studied physics at the University of Pennsylvania and University of New Hampshire, I believe the current plan San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station is ill-advised and hurried. It

will hurt the reputation of the nuclear power industry and thwart the future of Nuclear Power in the USA.

Thank you,

William Volk  
MS, Physics