

ADOCK

PDR

05000220

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

٦

NIAGARA MOHAWK POWER CORPORATION

DOCKET_NO. 50-220

NINE MILE POINT NUCLEAR STATION UNIT NO. 1

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 140 License No. DPR-63

- 1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment by Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation (the licensee) dated November 20, 1990, as superseded February 7, 1992, as supplemented June 22, 1992, January 29, 1993, February 18, 1993, and March 29, 1993, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
 - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.
- Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment, and paragraph 2.C.(2) of Facility Operating License No. DPR-63 is hereby amended to read as follows:

· · · · * .

-

• • , ,

,

арана стана ст По стана с По стана с

ه را ر ایک ه ر ¥

(2) <u>Technical Specifications</u>

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendices A and B, as revised through Amendment No. 140, are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications.

3. This license amendment is effective as of the date of its issuance to be implemented within 90 days.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Robert a. Copra

Robert A. Capra, Director Project Directorate I-1 Division of Reactor Projects - I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment: Changes to the Technical Specifications

Date of Issuance: April 12, 1993

- 2 -

· · ·

. . **۔** ب

ب باریار ₹

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT

AMENDMENT NO. 140 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-63

DOCKET NO. 50-220

Revise Appendix A as follows:

<u>Remove Pages</u> 118 118a	<u>Insert Pages</u> 118 118a
119	119
-	119a (added page)
-	119b (added page)
120	120
120b	120b
135	135
136	136
137	137
138	138
139	139
140	140
-	140a (added page)
-	140b (added page)
-	140c (added page)
141	141
142	142 *
143	143
144	143a (added page)
144	144
148	146
147	147 148
-	
-	148a (added page) 148b (added page)
149	148b (added page) 149
145	143

e +

,

• r i

LIMITING CONDITIONS FOR OPERATION Table 3.2.7

REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM ISOLATION VALVES

Line or System	No. of Valves (Each Line)	Location Relative to Primery Containment	Normal Position	Motive Power*	Maximum Oper. Time (Sec)	Action on Initiating Signal	Initiating Signal (All Valves have Remote Manual Backup)
<u>Main Steam</u> (1) (Two Lines)	1 , 1	Inside Outside	Open Open	AC Motor Pn/DC Solenoid	10 10	Close Close	Reactor water level low-low or low reactor pressure, (with mode switch in run) or main steam line high radiation, or main steam line high flow, or low-low- low condenser vacuum, or high temperature in the steam tunnel
Feedwater(1)	1	Outside	Open	AC Motor	60		Remote Manual
(Two Lines) <u>Emergency Cooling</u>	I	Outside	_ Open	Self Act. Ck.			1
<u>Steam Leaving Reactor</u> ⁽¹⁾ (Two Lines)	1 1	Outside Outside	Open Open	AC Motor DC Motor	38 38	Close Close	High emergency cooling system flow
<u>Condensate Return to Reactor</u> (1) (Two Lines)	- 1 1	Inside Outside	Closed Closed	Self Act. Ck. Pn/DC Solenoid	60	Close	High emergency cooling system flo
					60	Open	Reactor water level low-low or high reactor pressure

AMENDMENT NO. 60, 96, 1/0, 140

,

· .

LIMITING CONDITIONS FOR OPERATION Table 3.2.7 (Continued)

REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM ISOLATION VALVES

Line or System	No. of Valves (Each Line)	Location Relative to Primary Containment	Normai Position	Motive Power*	Maximum Oper. Time (Sec)	Action on Initiating Signal	Initiating Signal (All Valves Have Remote Manual Backup)	
Reactor Cleanup		,						_1
<u>Water Leaving Reactor</u> (1) (One Line) <u>Water Return to Reactor</u> (1)	1	Inside Outside Inside	Open Open Open	AC Motor DC Motor AC Motor	18 18 18	Close Close Close	Reactor water level low-low or high area temperature or liquid poison initiation	
(One Line)	1	Outside	Open	Self Act. Ck.			 ,	•
<u>Shutdown Cooling</u> <u>Water Leaving Reactor</u> ⁽¹⁾ (One Line)	1 1	Inside Outside	Closed Closed	AC Motor DC Motor	40 40	Close Close	Reactor water level low-low, or high area temperature	l
<u>Water Return to Reactor</u> (1) (One Line)	1 1	Inside Outside	Closed Closed	AC Motor Self Act. Ck.	40	Close		

·

,

.

. , f •

÷ • • • • ₹

LIMITING CONDITIONS FOR OPERATION Table 3.2.7 (Continued)

REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM ISOLATION VALVES

Line or System	No. of Valves (Each Line)	Location Relative To Primary Containment	Normal Position	Motive Power*	Maximum Oper. Time (Sec)	Action on Initiating Signal	Initiating Signal (All Valves Have Remote Manual Backup)
<u>Liquid Poison</u> (1) (One Line)	1 1	Inside Outside	Closed Closed	Self Act. Ck. Self Act. Ck.			
<u>Control Rod Drive Hydraulic</u> ⁽²⁾ (One Line)	1 1	Inside Outside	Open Open	Self Act. Ck. Self Act. Ck.			
<u>Screm Discherge Volume</u> (1) <u>System Vent</u> ** (One Line)	. 2	Outside	Open	Pn/AC Solenoid	10	Close	Automatic or manual reactor sc ram
<u>Scram Discharge Volume⁽¹⁾ System Drain</u> •• (One Line)	2	Outside	Open	Pn/AC Solenoid	10	Close	ا -
<u>Core Spray</u> <u>Core Spray Injection</u> ⁽³⁾ (Two Lines)	2 1	Inside Outside	Closed Open	AC Motor AC Motor	22.5 22.5	Open Open	Reactor water level low-low or high drywell pressure coincident with reactor vessel pressure less than 365 psig
<u>Core Spray High Point Vent</u> ^{(4)⁻ (Two Lines)}	1 1	Inside Outside	Closed Closed	AC Motor Pn/DC Solenoid	27 27	Close Close	Reactor water level low-low or high drywell pressure
<u>Core Spray Condensate Supply</u> ⁽⁵⁾ (Keep Fill) (Two Lines)	2	Outside	Open	Self Act. Ck.		<u> </u>	
<u>Core Spray System Valves</u> ⁽⁵⁾ (Two Lines)	1	Outside	Closed	Self Act. Ck.			·
<u>Core Spray Pump Discharge</u> ⁽⁴⁾ (Two Test Lines to Suppression Chamber)	1	Outside	Closed	AC Motor	27	Close	Reactor water level low-low or high drywell pressure

· ·

• 2

LIMITING CONDITIONS FOR OPERATION Table 3.2.7 (Continued)

\$

2

é.

REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM ISOLATION VALVES

Line or System	No. of Val (Each Lin		Normal	Motive Power*	Meximum Oper. Time (Sec)	Action on Initiating Signal	Initiating Signal (All Valves Have Remote Manual Backup)
Post Accident Reactor Samplin (One Line)	_{ומ} (1)(6) - 1	Outside	Open	Self Act. Flow Fuse		· · ·	
	1	Outside	Closed	Pn/DC Solenoid	30	Close	Reactor water level low-low or main steam line high radiation or low-low-low condenser vacuum or reactor low pressure, (with mode
Reactor Recirculation System S (One Line)	<u>Sempling</u> (1) 1 1	Inside Outside	Closed Closed	AC Motor DC Motor	20 20	Close Close	switch in run) or high temperature in the steam tunnel or main steam line high flow

,

.

۰ • • •

Notes:

* Pn - Pneumatically Operated

** Section 3.1.1e for LCO Requirements

- (1) These values do not have to be vented during the Type A test. However, Type C leakage from these values is added to the Type A test results, if not vented.
- (2) These values have flow through them during and following an accident (a water seal) and receive a water leak rate test in accordance with the IST Program.
- (3) The inside core spray injection isolation values are water sealed during and after an accident. These values are leak rate tested with water in accordance with the IST Program. The outside core spray injection isolation values are open with their breakers locked in the off position. Therefore, the outside core spray injection isolation values do not have to be tested under the IST or Appendix J Leakage Program.
- (4) These values are provided with a water seal. Values shall be tested during each refuel outage not to exceed two years consistent with Appendix J water seal testing requirements. Leakage rates shall be limited to 0.5 gpm per nominal inch of value diameter up to a maximum of 5 gpm.
- (5) These valves are tested in accordance with Section 4.2.7.1a.
- (6) The self actuating flow fuse is tested in accordance with Section 4.3.4c.

· ·

.

. .

,

•

. •

BASES FOR 3.2.7 AND 4.2.7 REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM ISOLATION VALVES

Double isolation valves are provided in lines which connect to the reactor coolant system to assure isolation and minimize reactor coolant loss in the event of a line rupture. The specified valve requirements assure that isolation is already accomplished with one valve shut or provide redundancy in an open line with two operative valves. Except where check valves are used as one or both of a set of double isolation valves, the isolation valves shall be capable of automatic initiation and the closure times presented in Table 3.2.7. These closure times were selected to minimize coolant losses in the event of the specific line rupturing. Using the longest closure time on the main-steam-line valves following a main-steam-line break (Section XV C.1.0)⁽¹⁾, the core is still covered by the time the valves close. Following a specific system line break, the cleanup and shutdown cooling closing times will upon initiation from a low-low level signal limit coolant loss such that the core is not uncovered. Feedwater flow would quickly restore coolant levels to prevent clad damage. Closure times are discussed in Section VI-D.1.0⁽¹⁾.

The valve operability test intervals are based on periods not likely to significantly affect operations, and are consistent with testing of other systems. Results obtained during closure testing are not expected to differ appreciably from closure times under accident conditions as in most cases, flow helps to seal the valve.

The test interval of once per operating cycle for automatic initiation results in a failure probability of 1.1×10^{-7} (Fifth Supplement, p. 115)⁽²⁾ that a line will not isolate. More frequent testing for valve operability results in a more reliable system.

(1) UFSAR(2) FSAR

.

· · ·

, • *

· · · ·

-• • • • ~*

TABLE 3.2.7.1

PRIMARY COOLANT_SYSTEM PRESSURE ISOLATION_VALVES

	<u>System</u>	Valve No.	Maximum ^(a) <u>Allowable Leakage</u>
1.	Core Spray System	40-03 40-13	≤5.0 gpm ≤5.0 gpm
2.	Condensate Supply to Core Spray (Keep Fill System)	40-20 40-21 40-22 40-23	≤5.0 gpm ≤5.0 gpm ≤5.0 gpm ≤5.0 gpm

Footnote:

- (a) 1. Leakage rates shall be limited to 0.5 gpm per nominal inch of valve diameter up to a maximum of 5 gpm.
 - 2. Test differential pressure shall not be less than 150 psid.
 - 3. The observed leakage at test differential pressure shall be adjusted to the functional maximum pressure differential.

. • . . .

...

- د • ۱۰

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENT LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION 4.3.3 LEAKAGE RATE 3.3.3 LEAKAGE RATE **Applicability: Applicability:** Applies to the primary containment system leakage Applies to the allowable leakage rate of the primary containment system. rate. **Objective: Objective:** To verify that the leakage from the primary To assure the capability of the containment in limiting containment system is maintained within specified radiation exposure to the public from exceeding values specified in 10CFR100 in the event of a lossvalues. of-coolant accident accompanied by significant fuel cladding failure and hydrogen generation from a metal-water reaction. To assure that periodic surveillances of reactor containment penetrations and isolation valves are performed so that proper maintenance and repairs are made during the service life of the containment, and systems and components penetrating primary containment. **Specification: Specification:**

Whenever the reactor coolant system temperature is above 215F the primary containment leakage rate shall be within the limits of 4.3.3.b.

a. Integrated Primary Containment Leakage Rate -Type A Test

(1) Integrated leak rate tests shall be performed at the test pressure (P_t) of 22 psig.

• na van staat in de staat en st

•

· ·

. ; • • ¥ •

i.

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION	
- -	 Containment pressure shall not be permitted to decrease more than one (1) psi below Pt. (2) Type B and C tests should be completed prior to each Type A test. Type B and C leakages (penalties) not accounted for in the Type A test shall be incorporated as minimum pathway additions to the Upper Confidence Limit (UCL) to determine the overall as left integrated leakage rate.
	 (3) If the leakage rate exceeds the acceptance criterion, corrective action shall be required. If, during the performance of a Type A test, excessive leakage occurs through locally testable penetrations or isolation valves to the extent that it would interfere with the satisfactory completion of the test, these leakage paths may be isolated and the Type A re-test continued until completion. The Type A test shall be considered a failed test. A local leakage test shall be performed at P_t before and after the repair of each isolated leakage path. The sum of the post repaired local leakage rates and the UCL shall be less than 75 percent of the maximum allowable leakage rate, L_t (22). Local leakage rates shall not be subtracted from the Type A test results to determine the acceptability of a test. The as found and as left leakage data values of excessive leakage areas beyond acceptance criteria shall be provided to the NRC.

.

. , • • •

. . .

v ava a s

.

v

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION	SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENT
	(4) Closure of the containment isolation valves for the purpose of the test shall be accomplished by the means provided for normal operation of the valves.
	(5) A Type A test shall last a minimum of eight (8) hours with leakage rates calculated based on "Total Time" method. If a twenty- four (24) hour test is performed the "Mass Point" method will be used to calculate leakage rates. A verification test shall be performed following each Type A test. The verification test provides a method for assuring that systematic error or bias is given adequate consideration. During the verification test, containment pressure may not decrease more than one (1) psi below P _t .
- -	b. <u>Acceptance Criteria - Type A Test</u>
<i>"</i>	 (1) The maximum allowable leakage rate L_t (22) shall not exceed 1.19 weight percent of the contained air per 24 hours at the test pressure of 22 psig (P_t).
	 (2) The maximum allowable operational leakage, rate L_{to} (22) which shall be met prior to power operation following a Type A test

a N

, **、** .

. "

, *'*

, r ę

د ۲۰ ۲۰ میں ۲۰ میں ۲۰ میں ۲۰ میں ۲۰ میں ۲۰

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION	SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENT
	(either as measured or following repairs and retest) shall not exceed 0.75 L_t (22) (0.892 weight percent per day).
	(3) When adding the leakage rate measured during a Type C test to the results of a Type A test, the leakage rate shall be determined using minimum pathway analysis.
	c. <u>Frequency</u>
•	(1) Three Type A tests shall be conducted during each ten year service interval at approximately equal intervals. The third test will be conducted when the plant is shutdown for the 10 year inservice inspections.
	(2) Retesting
•	(a) If a Type A test fails to meet the acceptance criteria of 4.3.3.b.(1), a Corrective Action Plan that focuses attention on the cause of the problem shall be developed and implemented. A Type A test that meets the

AMENDMENT NO. 7, 140

۰.

•

and the second and the

•

•

4 1 1

'n

.

• •

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION	SURVE	
*	*	4.3.3.a.(3) and 4.3.3.b.(2) is required prior to plant start-up. A report of the Corrective Action following the failed Type A shall be submitted to the NRC for review and approval with the Containment Leak Test Report.
	. (b)	If any periodic Type A test fails to meet the acceptance criteria of 4.3.3.b.(1), the test schedule for subsequent Type A tests will be reviewed and approved by the NRC.
	(c)	If two consecutive periodic Type A tests (not including an immediate retest under (a)) fail to meet the acceptance criteria of 4.3.3.a.(3), 4.3.3.b.(1) or 4.3.3.b.(2), not- withstanding the periodic retest schedule of 4.3.3.c.(1), a Type A test must be performed at each refueling outage or every 18 months, which- ever occurs first, unless alternative leak test requirements are accepted by the NRC by means of specific exemption from Appendix J per
		10CFR50.12. This testing shall be performed until two

ра, ^{да}, -

1

· ·

•

7**2** 1 * 25 r. V •

. •

Y

,

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION	SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENT
·	consecutive periodic Type A tests (not including an immediate retest under (a)) meet the acceptance criteria of 4.3.3.a.(3), 4.3.3.b.(1) and 4.3.3.b.(2), then the retest schedule specified in 4.3.3.c.(1) should be resumed.
	d. Local Leak Rate-Type B and Type C Tests
	(1) Primary containment testable penetrations and isolation valves required to be Type B or Type C tested by regulatory requirements, shall be tested at a pressure of 35.0 psig (P_a) each major refueling outage, not to exceed two years, except as provided in (a) and (b) below.
×	(a) Bolted double gasketed seals which shall be tested whenever the seal is closed after being opened and at least at each refueling outage not to exceed a two year interval.
	(b) Type B tests for primary containment penetrations employing a continuous leakage monitoring system shall be conducted at intervals not to exceed three years.
AMENDMENT NO. 140	140

....

.

.

• •

• . .

1 -

4) 11 .

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION	SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENT	<u></u>
	 (2) When system pressure (Psys) on the opposite side of the isolation value un test cannot be reduced to atmospher pressure, then the test pressure shall less than P_a + Psys. 	ic .
	(3) Personnel airlocks shall be leak tested accordance with the following:	d in
•	(a) The airlocks shall be tested at a pressure of 35 psig following a refueling outage or maintenanc outage requiring drywell access to primary containment integrit required.	e s prior
	(b) Airlocks opened during periods primary containment integrity is required shall be tested within days after being opened. For a doors opened more frequently once every three days, the airlo shall be tested at least once ev three days.	s three iirlock than ocks
	(c) The airlocks shall be tested eve months at a test pressure of 3	
	(d) Leakage rate for airlocks shall r exceed 0.05L _a at 35 psig.	าot

.

.

. મુખ્ય ગય જ જ

.

• •

- .

ج ج f A

*

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION	SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENT
	 (4) Primary containment penetrations and isolation valves that are not defined as Type B or Type C test components (e.g., seal welded cold instrument lines, CRD lines, drywell to wetwell connections, etc.) shall not be individually tested. The penetrations will be considered as integral parts of the Type A test.
	e. Acceptance Criteria - Type B and Type C Tests
	The combined leakage rate for penetrations and valves subject to Type B and C tests determined by maximum pathway analysis shall be less than 0.60 L_a . If this value is exceeded, repairs and retests shall be performed to correct the condition.
	f. <u>Continuous Leak Rate Monitor</u>
	(1) When the primary containment is inerted, the containment shall be monitored for gross leakage by a weekly review of the inerting system makeup requirements.
	(2) This monitoring system may be taken out of service for the purpose of maintenance or testing but shall be returned to service as

• • .

, , ,

• -

•

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION	SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENT
	g. Inspection
•	The accessible interior surfaces of the primary containment shall be visually inspected each operating cycle for evidence of deterioration.
	·
•	•
-	
-	-
*	
	· · ·
-	

AMENDMENT NO. 140

.

. .

• • • • •

. .

۰. .

BASES FOR 3.3.3 AND 4.3.3 LEAKAGE RATE

The primary containment preoperational test pressures are based upon the calculated primary containment pressure response in the event of a loss-of-coolant accident. The peak drywell pressure would be 35 psig which would rapidly reduce to 22 psig within 100 seconds following the pipe break. The total time the drywell pressure would be above 22 psig is calculated to be about 10 seconds. Following the pipe break, the suppression chamber pressure rises to 22 psig within 10 seconds, equalizes with drywell pressure and thereafter rapidly decays with the drywell pressure decay. ⁽¹⁾

The design pressures of the drywell and suppression chamber are 62 psig and 35 psig, respectively.⁽²⁾ As pointed out above, the pressure response of the drywell and suppression chamber following an accident would be the same after about 10 seconds. Based on the calculated primary containment pressure response discussed above and the suppression chamber design pressure; primary containment preoperational test pressures were chosen. Also, based on the primary containment pressure response and the fact that the drywell and suppression chamber function as a unit, the primary containment will be tested as a unit rather than testing the individual components separately.

The design basis loss-of-coolant accident was evaluated at the primary containment maximum allowable accident leak rate of 1.9%/day at 35 psig. The analysis showed that with this leak rate and a standby gas treatment system filter efficiency of 90 percent for halogens, 95 percent for particulates, and assuming the fission product release fractions stated in TID-14844, the maximum total whole body passing cloud dose is about 6.0 rem and the maximum total thyroid dose is about 150 rem at the site boundary considering fumigation conditions over an exposure duration of two hours. The resultant doses that would occur for the duration of the accident at the low population distance of 4 miles are lower than those stated due to the variability of meteorological conditions that would be expected to occur over a 30-day period. Thus, the doses reported are the maximum that would be expected in the unlikely event of a design basis loss-of-coolant accident. These doses are also based on the assumption of no holdup in the secondary containment resulting in a direct release of fission products from the primary containment through the filters and stack to the environs. Therefore, the specified primary containment leak rate and filter efficiency (Specification 4.4.4) are conservative and provide margin between expected off-site doses and 10 CFR 100 guideline limits.

-

1⁴. .

•

• •

19 A

BASES FOR 3.3.3 AND 4.3.3 LEAKAGE RATE

The maximum allowable leakage rate (L_a) is 1.5%/day at a pressure of 35 psig (P_a) . This value for the test condition was derived from the maximum allowable accident leak rate of about 1.9%/day when corrected for the effects of containment environment under accident and test conditions. In the accident case, the containment atmosphere initially would be composed of steam and hot air depleted of oxygen whereas under test conditions the test medium would be air or nitrogen at ambient conditions. Considering the differences in mixture composition and temperatures, the appropriate correction factor applied was 0.8 and determined from the guide on containment testing.⁽³⁾

Although the dose calculations suggest that the allowable test leak rate could be allowed to increase to about 3.0%/day before the guideline thyroid dose limit given in 10 CFR 100 would be exceeded, establishing the limit at 1.5%/day provides an adequate margin of safety to assure the health and safety of the general public. It is further considered that the allowable leak rate should not deviate significantly from the containment design value to take advantage of the design leak-tightness capability of the structure over its service lifetime. Additional margin to maintain the containment in the "as built" condition is achieved by establishing the allowable operational leak rate. The operational limit is derived by multiplying the allowable test leak rate by 0.75 thereby providing a 25% margin to allow for leakage deterioration which may occur during the period between leak rate tests.

A reduced pressure test program is used for the integrated test. The test pressures are based on loss-of-coolant accident conditions. The peak primary containment pressure following a loss-of-coolant accident would be 35 psig. This would rapidly reduce to 22 psig. The total time drywell pressure would be above 22 psig would be about 10 seconds. Preoperational integrated leak tests were performed at test pressures at 35 psig and 22 psig. Subsequent integrated tests are performed at a test pressure of 22 psig.

Closure of the containment isolation valves for the purpose of the test is accomplished by the means provided for normal operation of the valves. The reactor is vented to the containment atmosphere during testing.

The acceptance criteria states that the maximum allowable leakage rate (L_t) shall not exceed 1.19 weight percent of the contained air in 24 hours at 22 psig (P_t). This corresponds to the maximum allowable leakage rate (L_a) of 1.5 weight percent at 35 psig (P_a). The maximum allowable test leak rate L_t (at 22 psig) shall not exceed the 1.5%/day times the square root of the ratio of the pressures P_t (at 22 psig) and P_a (at 35 psig), respectively since the ratio of measured leakages for Nine Mile Point Unit 1 is 0.735. The allowable operational leakage rate, L_{to} (at 22 psig) shall not exceed 75 percent of L_t (at 22 psig) and shall be met prior to resumption of power operation following a test.

۴ .

• • •

.

• •

,

• " . .

4 •

٠,

The primary containment leak rate test frequency is based on maintaining adequate assurance that the leak rate remains within the specification. The leak rate test frequency is based on 10 CFR 50 Appendix J.

The penetration and air purge piping leakage test frequency, along with the containment leak rate tests, is adequate to allow detection of leakage trends. Whenever a double-gasketed penetration (primary containment head equipment hatches and the suppression chamber access hatch) is broken and remade, the space between the gaskets is pressurized to determine that the seals are performing properly. The test pressure of 35 psig is consistent with the accident analyses and the maximum preoperational leak rate test pressure. It is expected that the majority of the leakage from valves, penetrations and seals would be into the reactor building. However, it is possible that leakage into other parts of the facility could occur. Such leakage paths that may affect significantly the consequences of accidents are to be minimized. If the leakage rates of the double-gasketed seal penetrations, testable penetration isolation valves, containment air purge inlets and outlets and the vacuum relief valves are at the maximum specified, they will total 90 percent of the allowed leak rate.⁽²⁾ Hence, 10 percent margin is left for leakage through walls and untested components.

Leakage from airlocks is measured under accident pressures in accordance with 10 CFR 50 Appendix J.

Monitoring the nitrogen make-up requirements of the inerting system provides a method of observing leak rate trends. This instrumentation | equipment must be periodically removed from service for test and maintenance, but this out-of-service time will be kept to a practical minimum.

The test program follows the guidelines stated in the Bechtel Topical Report.⁽⁴⁾ This program provides adequate assurance that the test results realistically estimates the degree of containment leakage following a loss-of-coolant accident. The containment leakage rate is calculated using the Absolute Methodology.⁽⁸⁾ Containment leakage results are presented in the test report as calculated using the Total Time and Mass Point techniques. The results of local leak rate tests, including, "as-found" and "as-left" leakages, are also included in the containment leak test report.

, 1

-K 4.

The specific treatment of selective valve arrangements including the acceptability of the interpretations of 10 CFR 50 Appendix J requirements are given in References 5, 6, and 7. They serve as the bases for alternative test configurations (e.g., reverse accident, multi-valve, water leakage flow tests) as well as relaxations from previous leakage limits or constraints.

References:

- (1) FSAR, Volume II, Appendix E
- (2) UFSAR, Section VI B.2.1
- (3) TID-20583, Leakage Characteristics of Steel Containment Vessels and the Analysis of Leakage Determinations
- (4) BN-TOP-1 "Testing Criteria for Integrated Leakage Rate Testing of Primary Containment Structures for Nuclear Power Plants,".Revision 1, Bechtel Corporation, November 1, 1972
- (5) NRC Safety Evaluation Report dated May 6, 1988, "Regarding Proposed Technical Specifications and Exemption Requests Related to Appendix J."
- (6) Niagara Mohawk Letter dated July 28, 1988, "Clarifications, Justifications & Conformance with 10 CFR 50 Appendix J SER."
- (7) NRC Letter dated November 9, 1988, "Review of the July 28, 1988 Letter on Appendix J Containment Leakage Rate Testing at Nine Mile Point Unit 1."
- (8) ANSI/ANS 56.8 1987, "Containment System Leakage Testing Requirements."

• • •

• •

. .

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENT 3.3.4 PRIMARY CONTAINMENT ISOLATION VALVES 4.3.4 PRIMARY CONTAINMENT ISOLATION VALVES **Applicability: Applicability:** Applies to the operating status of the system of Applies to the periodic testing requirements of the isolation valves on lines open to the free space of the primary containment isolation valve system. primary containment. **Objective: Objective:** To assure the operability of the primary containment isolation valves to limit potential leakage paths from To assure that potential leakage paths from the primary containment in the event of a loss-of-coolant the containment in the event of a loss-of-coolant accident are minimized. accident. **Specification: Specification:** The primary containment isolation valves surveillance shall be performed as indicated (See Table 3.3.4) a. Whenever the reactor coolant system temperature is greater than 215F, all a. At least once per operating cycle the operable containment isolation valves on lines open to the isolation valves that are power operated and automatically initiated shall be tested for free space of the primary containment shall be operable except as specified in 3.3.4b below. automatic initiation and closure times. b. In the event any isolation valve becomes At least once per quarter all normally open power b. inoperable the system shall be considered operated isolation valves shall be fully closed and operable provided that within 4 hours at least reopened. one valve in each line having an inoperable valve

condition.

is in the mode corresponding to the isolated

, 1.5

• 3

·

- **-**

ج م¹¹ م

•

· . ..

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

Table 3.3.4

PRIMARY CONTAINMENT ISOLATION VALVES LINES ENTERING FREE SPACE OF THE CONTAINMENT

Une or System	No. of Valves (Each Line)	Location Relative To Primery Containment	Normai Position	Motive Power*	Maximum Oper. Time (Seo)	Action on Initiating Signal	Initiating Signal (All Valves Have.Remote Manual Backup)	ł
Drywell Vent & Purge	•							_
N <u>2 Connection</u> (One Line)	· 1 · 1	Outside Outside	Closed Closed	Pn/DC Solenoid AC Motor	15 30	Close Close	Reactor water level low-low or high drywell pressure or	
Air Connection (One Line)	1 1	Outside Outside	Closed Closed	Pn/DC Solenoid AC Motor	15 30	Close Close	high radiation at stack monitoring	
Suppression Chamber Vent & Purge								
<u>N2_Connection</u> (One Line)	1 1	Outside Outside	Closed Closed	Pn/DC Solenoid AC Motor	15 30	Close Close	Reactor water level low-low or high drywell pressure or	1
<u>Air Connection</u> (One Line)	1 1	Outside Outside	Closed Closed	Pn/DC Solenoid AC Motor	15 30	Close Close	high radiation at stack monitoring	I
<u>Drywell N₂ Makeup</u> (One Line)	2	Outside	Closed	Pn/DC Solenoid	60	Close	Reactor water level low-low or drywell high pressure	1
<u>Suppression Chamber N₂ Makeup</u> (One Line)	2	Outside	Closed	Pn/DC Solenoid	60	Close	Reactor water level low-low or drywell high pressure	
Drywell Equipment Drain Line ⁽¹⁾ (One Line)	• 1	Inside Outside	Open	AC Motor Pn/DC Solenoid	60 60	Close Close	Panatan watan lawal	T
	l i		Open			•	Reactor water level low-low or drywell	
<u>Drywell Floor Drein Line</u> ⁽¹⁾ (One Line)	1 1	Inside Outside	Open Open	AC Motor Pn/DC Solenoid	60 60	Close Close	high pressure	
<u>Vacuum Relief</u> Atmosphere to Pressure Suppression System (Three Lines)	1	Outside	Closed	Pn/DC Solenoid	5	Open	Negative pressure relative to atmosphere	·
-	1	Outside	Closed	Self Act. Ck.				1
<u>Reactor Cleanup System Relief Valve</u> ⁽²⁾ Discharge						×		1.
(One Line to Suppression Chamber)	2	Outside	Closed	Self Act. Ck.				<mark>ا</mark> ر

.

×

· · · · · · s,

LIMITING CONDITIONS FOR OPERATION

Table 3.3.4 (Continued)

PRIMARY CONTAINMENT ISOLATION VALVES LINES ENTERING FREE SPACE OF THE CONTAINMENT

Line or System	No. of Valves (Each Line)	Location Relative To Primary Containment	Normal Position	Motive Power*	Maximum Oper. Time (Sec)	Action on Initiating Signal	Initiating Signal (All Valves Have Remote Manual Backup)
H ₂ O ₂ #11 Sampling Drywell Supply (Two Lines)	2	Outside	Open	Pn/DC Solenoid	60	Close	
<u>Suppression Chamber Supply</u> (One Line)	2	Outside	Open	Pn/DC Solenoid	60	Close	Reactor Water level low-low or high
<u>Drywell Return</u> (One Line)	2	Outside	Open	Pn/DC Solenoid	60	Close	drywell pressure
<u>Suppression Chamber Return</u> (One Line)	2	Outside	Open	Pn/DC Solenoid	60	Close	
H ₂ O ₂ #12 Sempling Drywell Supply(1) (Three Lines)	ُ 2	Outside	Open	Pn/DC Solenoid	60	Close	Reactor water level low-low or high
<u>Suppression Chember Supply</u> (1) (One Line)	2	Outside	Open	Pn/DC Solenoid	. 60	Close	drywell pressure
Drywell Return ⁽¹⁾ (One Line)	2 -	Outside	Open	Self Act. Ck.			-
<u>Suppression Chember Return</u> (1) (One Line)	2	Outside	Open	Self Act. Ck.			

• *****

, ,

יר

.

.

u .

7

د والا _ ت

•

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

_

Table 3.3.4 (continued)

PRIMARY CONTAINMENT ISOLATION VALVES LINES ENTERING FREE SPACE OF THE CONTAINMENT

Line or System	No. of Valves (Each Line)	Location Relative To Primary Containment	Normal Position	Motive Power*	Maximum Oper. Time (Seo)	Action on Initiating Signal	Initiating Signal (All Valves Have Remote Manual Backup)
Core Spray							
<u>Pump Suction</u> (3) (Four Lines From Suppression Chamber)	1	Outside	Open	AC Motor	90	••••	Remote Manual
<u>Pump Discharge</u> ⁽⁴⁾ (Two Test Lines to Suppression ⁻ Chamber)	1 -	Outside	Closed	AC Motor	27	Close	Reactor water level low-low or high drywell pressure
<u>Condensate Supply</u> ⁽⁴⁾ (Keep Fill) (Two Lines)	2	Outside	Open	Self Act. Ck.			• •••
<u>Core Spray High Point Vent</u> ⁽⁴⁾ (Two Lines)	1 1	Outside Inside	Closed Closed	Pn/DC Solenoid AC Motor	27 27	Close Close	Reactor water level low-low or high drywell pressure
<u>Containment Spray</u> <u>Drywell & Suppression Chember</u> ⁽²⁾ <u>Common Supply</u> (Four Lines)	1	Outside	Open	Pn/DC Solenoid	60	\ 	Remote Manual
<u>Drywell Brench</u> (2) (Four Lines)	`1	Outside	Closed	Self Act. Ck.			
<u>Suppression Chamber Branch</u> (2) (One Branch for Each System)	2**	Outside	Closed	Self Act. Ck.		 `	
Pump Suction From Suppression Chember ⁽³⁾ (Four Lines)	1	Outside -	Open	AC Motor	70		Remote Manual
<u>Containment Spray Test Line to Torus</u> ⁽²⁾ (One Line)	1	Outside	Closed	AC Motor	60	•••	Remote Manual
Emergency Cooling Vent to Torus ⁽²⁾ (One Line)	2	Outside	Closed	AC Motor			Remote Manual

· · ·

۲

.

· ·

4 . 9

LIMITING CONDITIONS FOR OPERATION

Table 3.3.4 (Continued)

.

PRIMARY CONTAINMENT ISOLATION VALVES LINES ENTERING FREE SPACE OF THE CONTAINMENT

Line or System	No. of Vaives (Each Line)	Location Relative To Primary Containment	Normal Position	Motive Power*	Maximum Oper. Time (Sec)	Action on Initiating Signal	Initiating Signal (All Valves Have Remote Manual Backup)			
<u>Containment Atmosphere Monitoring Supply</u> <u>Line</u> (One Line)	2	Outside	Open	Pn/DC Solenoid	60	Close	Reactor water, level Iow-low or high drywell pressure	¢		
<u>Containment Post LOCA Vent</u> (Two Lines)	2	Outside	Closed	Pn/DC Solenoid	60	Close	Reactor water level low-low or high drywell pressure			
<u>N2 Purge - TIP Indexers</u> (1) (One Line)	2	Outside	Closed	Self Act. Ck.			·			
<u>Traversing Incore Probe</u> (1) (Four Lines)	1	Outside	Closed	AC Motor	60	Close	Reactor water level low-low or high drywell pressure			
<u>Breathing Air Connection</u> (One Line)	1 1	Inside Outside	Closed Closed			= {	Local Manual	Ŧ		
<u>Service Water Connection</u> ⁽¹⁾ (One Line)	1 1	Inside Outside	Closed Closed			=]				
	LINES WITH A CLOSED LOOP INSIDE CONTAINMENT VESSELS									
<u>Recirculation Pump Cooling Water</u> ⁽⁵⁾ Supply Line Return Line	1 1	Outside Outside	Open Open	Self Act. Ck. DC Motor	60		 Remote Manual	•		
<u>Drywell Cooler Water</u> ⁽⁵⁾ Supply Line Return Line	1 1	Outside Outside	Open Open	Self Act. Ck. DC Motor	 60		 Remote Manual	 -		

κ.

.

.

٤ ٩,

۰. ۰.

• •

,

-برم^ر ،

Notes:

- * Pn Pneumatically Operated
- ** One valve in each separate line and one valve in each common line.
 - (1) These values do not have to be vented during the Type A test. However, Type C leakage from these values is added to the Type A test results, if not vented.
 - (2) These valves are provided with a water seal capability. No Appendix J or IST leakage rate testing is required.
 - (3) These valves are water leak rate tested and acceptance criteria are established in accordance with the IST Program.
 - (4) These values are provided with a water seal. Values shall be tested during each refuel outage not to exceed two years consistent with Appendix J water seal testing requirements. Leakage rates shall be limited to 0.5 gpm per nominal inch of value diameter up to a maximum of 5 gpm.
 - (5) These valves do not meet the requirements of 10CFR50 Appendix J, Section II-H. No testing required.

. . . .

۷ .

. •

· • •

, -, 4, 1 , .

Double isolation values are provided on lines penetrating the primary containment and open to the free space of the containment. Closure of one of the values in each line would be sufficient to maintain the integrity of the pressure suppression system. Except where check values are used as one or both of a set of double isolation values, the isolation closure times are presented in Table 3.3.4. Automatic initiation is required to minimize the potential leakage paths from the containment in the event of a loss-of-coolant accident. Details of the isolation values are discussed in Section VI-D.⁽¹⁾ For allowable leakage rate specification, see Section 3.3.3/4.3.3.

For the design basis loss-of-coolant accident fuel rod perforation would not occur until the fuel temperature reached 1700F which occurs in approximately 100 seconds.⁽²⁾ A required closing time of 60 seconds for all primary containment isolation valves will be adequate to prevent fission product release through lines connecting to the primary containment.

For reactor coolant system temperatures less than 215F, the containment could not become pressurized due to a loss-of-coolant accident. The 215F limit is based on preventing pressurization of the reactor building and rupture of the blowout panels.

The test interval of once per operating cycle for automatic initiation results in a failure probability of 1.1 x 10⁻⁷ that a line will not isolate (Fifth Supplement, p. 115).⁽³⁾ More frequent testing for valve operability results in a more reliable system.

In addition to routine surveillance as outlined in Section VI-D.1.0⁽¹⁾ each instrument-line flow check valve will be tested for operability. All instruments on a given line will be isolated at each instrument. The line will be purged by isolating the flow check valve, opening the bypass valves, and opening the drain valve to the equipment drain tank. When purging is sufficient to clear the line of non-condensibles and crud, the flow-check valve will be cut into service and the bypass valve closed. The main valve will again be opened and the flow-check valve allowed to close. The flow-check valve will be reset by closing the drain valve and opening the bypass valve depressurizing part of the system. Instruments will be cut into service after closing the bypass valve. Repressurizing of the individual instruments assures that flow-check valves have reset to the open position.

An in-depth review of the NMP-1 design and operation relative to Appendix J requirements has evaluated the various system/valving configurations.⁽⁴⁾ The results of the evaluation and subsequent clarifications⁽⁵⁾ are reflected in this specification and its bases.

- (1) UFSAR
- (2) Nine Mile Point Nuclear Generation Station Unit 1 Safer/Corecool/GESTR-LOCA Loss of Coolant Accident Analysis, NEDC-31446P, Supplement 3, September, 1990.
- (3) FSAR
- (4) NRC Safety Evaluation Report, dated May 6, 1988, "Regarding Proposed Technical Specifications and Exemption Requests Related to Appendix J."
- (5) Niagara Mohawk Letter dated July 28, 1988, "Clarifications, Justifications & Conformance with 10CFR50 Appendix J SER."

AMENDMENT NO. 140

. .

۰ ۲

· ·