# ACCELERATED DOCUMENT DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM

REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION FACIL: 50 50 AUTH. N	N NBR:9310130140 0-259 Browns Ferry 0-260 Browns Ferry 0-296 Browns Ferry AME AUTHOR #	DOC.DATE: Nuclear Pow Nuclear Pow Nuclear Pow AFFILIATION	93/10/06 NOTARIZED ver Station, Unit 1, ver Station, Unit 2, ver Station, Unit 3,	: NO Tennessee Tennessee Tennessee	DOCKET # 05000259 05000260 R 05000296 I
SALAS,P RECIP.1	. Tennessee NAME RECIPIEN Documer	Yalley Aut TAFFILIATI TControl B	hority ON Branch (Document Cor	trol Desk)	D
SUBJECT	: Suppls response t 50-259/93-25,50-2	co notice of 260/93-25 &	violation from Ins 50-296/93-25,in res	p Repts ponse to NR	c S
	930907 RAI.Commit	ments for R	PS & PCIS encl.		<i>n 1</i>
DISTRIBU TITLE: (	UTION CODE: IE01D General (50 Dkt)-In	COPIES RECE nsp Rept/Not	CIVED:LTR ENCL Lice of Violation Re	SIZE: 4	A
NOTES:					D
	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTTR ENCL	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTTR ENCL	D
	PD2-4-PD WILLIAMS,J.	1 1 1 1	ROSS,T.	1 1	S
INTERNAL:	ACRS AEOD/DSP/ROAB AEOD/TTC NRR/DORS/OEAB NRR/DRIL/RPEB NRR/PMAS/ILPB1 NUDOCS-ABSTRACT OGC/HDS3	2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	AEOD/DEIB AEOD/DSP/TPAB DEDRO NRR/DRCH/HHFB NRR/DRSS/PEPB NRR/PMAS/ILPB2 OE DIR REG FILE 02		
EXTERNAL:	RES/HFB EG&G/BRYCE,J.H. NSIC	$\begin{array}{c}1\\1\\1\\1\\1\end{array}$	RGN2 FILE 01 NRC PDR	1 1	

R

I

D

S

1

Α

D

D

S

NOTE TO ALL "RIDS" RECIPIENTS:

PLEASE HELP US TO REDUCE WASTE! CONTACT THE DOCUMENT CONTROL DESK, ROOM PI-37 (EXT. 504-2065) TO ELIMINATE YOUR NAME FROM DISTRIBUTION LISTS FOR DOCUMENTS YOU DON'T NEED!



25 25 ENCL TOTAL NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED: LTTR

14 19-2 ,

.

v

· · · · · , , ı I

•

I.

,



Tennessee Valley Authority, Post Office Box 2000, Decatur, Alabama 35609

October 6, 1993

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555

Gentleman:

In the Matter of ) Docket Nos. 50-259 Tennessee Valley Authority ) 50-260 50-296

BROWNS FERRY NUCLEAR PLANT (BFN) - NRC INSPECTION REPORT 50-259, 50-260, 296/93-25 - SUPPLEMENT REPLY TO NOTICE OF VIOLATION (NOV)

NRC letter dated September 7, 1993, from R. V. Crlenjak (NRC) to Dr. Mark O. Medford (TVA), requested additional information concerning TVA's response to the subject violation. Specifically, TVA was requested to: 1) indicate why the additional actions identified in TVA's internal investigation of the event were not discussed in the response to the NRC; 2) justify why these corrective actions were not considered necessary to fully address the violation; and, 3) why the corrective actions should not be completed in a more timely manner.

With regard to Item 1, the assessment conducted of the incident investigation (II) results identified the development of a standard methodology to insert trips in the Reactor Protection System (RPS) as an enhancement and not a necessary action to preclude recurrence of the event. It was felt that due to the unique configuration of the turbine stop valve and the main steam isolation valve (MSIV) the actions taken, as described in TVA's response, would be effective in preventing recurrence. In TVA's judgement, the existing practice of relying on the shift personnel's ability to evaluate drawings to detect what actions were necessary to comply with technical specification action statements was adequate. However, TVA considered that this practice alone placed an unnecessary burden on the crew that could be alleviated by the development of a standard methodology.

- へつつび 9310130140 931006 PDR ADOCK 05000259 PDR

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Page 2 October 6, 1993

In response to Item 2, TVA offers no justification for omitting the corrective action from the original response. In retrospect, TVA considers that, due to both the significance of the planned actions and the impact that the change in operating philosophy will have, the actions should have been discussed in the response.

In response to Item 3, the due date for the completion of the development of the standard methodology was established based upon the scope of the undertaking and the need for training prior to implementation. In addition, the actual scope of the development of the methodology is greater than implied in The approach currently being taken is not only your letter. to address the RPS but also to include the Primary Containment Isolation System (PCIS). The completion dates for the development of this methodology is predicated on three reasons. First, the approach of providing procedural guidance for inserting trips deviated considerable from the current practice of relying on the knowledge of the operators and their ability to research drawings/prints in an expeditious manner. Due to the potential significance of errors that could occur in the implementation of this new methodology, TVA considered that a gradual implementation of the program coupled with specific training was appropriate. Second, as noted in our response to Item 1, TVA believes that the existing practice of relying on the ability of operators to review drawings is an adequate method to determine necessary actions to comply with TS actions statements. Third, TVA has heightened operators' awareness of the unique configuration of the turbine stop valves and MSIVs through required reading, training, and revising the affected divisional functional surveillance instructions.

TVA is currently ahead of the schedule for completion of the development of the standard methodology for the RPS and the PCIS. The development of the methodology for taking compensatory measures in the event of implementation of TS action statements, as well as the necessary training, will be completed by December 15, 1993, for the RPS, and May 1, 1993, for the PCIS.

Finally, NRC also expressed a concern that the NOV response did not address the failure mode of the turbine stop valve limit switch. TVA did not include this information in the response because it considered that it had already met its reporting obligations for this type of hardware malfunction. In LER 260/93008, which had been submitted in July 15, 1993, TVA had reported that "the limit switch for the #1 turbine stop valve was disassembled. A piece of the switch lever U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Page 3 October 6, 1993

return spring was found lodged in the switch that prevented internal movement of the switch." Since the failure of the limit switch had not caused the operators to make the mistake and the information had been previously reported, TVA did not consider it pertinent to address in the NOV response. However, TVA does not object to making the information part of the NOV record.

The enclosure to this letter describes the commitments in this reply.

If you have any questions regarding this reply, please telephone me at (205) 729-2636.

Sincerely

Pedro Salas Manager of Site Licensing

Enclosure cc (Enclosure): Mr. R. V. Crlenjak, Project Chief U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region II 101 Marietta Street, NW, Suite 2900 Atlanta, Georgia 30323

> NRC Resident Inspector Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant Route 12, Box 637 Athens, Alabama 35611

Mr. J. F. Williams, Project Manager U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission One White Flint, North 11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, Maryland 20852

Mr. D. C. Trimble, Project Manager U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission One White Flint, North 11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, Maryland 20852



ł

\$

• • • .

ŗ , •

ι.

i

1

ı

.

•

.

" " "

#### ENCLOSURE

## Tennessee Valley Authority

### Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant (BFN)

Reply to Letter for Additional Information

# Inspection Report Number <u>50-259, 260, 296/93-25</u>

#### COMMITMENTS

۳.

- 1. The development of the methodology for taking compensatory measures in the event of implementation of TS action statements, as well as the completion of the necessary training, will be completed by December 15, 1993, for the RPS.
- The development of the methodology for taking compensatory measures in the event of implementation of TS action statments, as well as the necessary training, will be completed by May 1, 1993, for the PCIS.

. . .

1