

ENCLOSURE 1

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Tennessee Valley Authority
Browns Ferry 1, 2, and 3

Docket Nos. 50-259, 50-260, and 50-296
License Nos. DPR-33, DPR-52, and DPR-68

During the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) inspection conducted on July 17 - August 20, 1993, violations of NRC requirements were identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C, the violations are listed below:

- A. 10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion XII requires that measures shall be established to assure that tools, gauges, instruments, and other measuring and testing devices used in activities affecting quality are properly controlled, calibrated, and adjusted to maintain accuracy within specified limits.

Site Standard Practice 6.7, Control of Measuring and Test Equipment, section 3.9, states that M&TE is to be checked out on a shift by shift basis unless the user records an expected return date on the usage log. When M&TE is returned to the control area the usage log shall be updated to reflect the date returned, any additional uses, and the status of the M&TE. Step 3.11.6.A states that if the M&TE is to be left unattended, the M&TE is to be tagged to identify the controlling document and the responsible individuals.

Contrary to the above, these requirements were not met for the following four examples identified by an NRC inspector:

1. On July 27, 1993, M&TE was in the unit 1 diesel generator building that was not tagged as unattended and was logged as having been returned to the control area.
2. On July 28, 1993, M&TE was in the unit 3 diesel generator building that was not tagged as unattended and was logged as having been returned to the control area.
3. On August 4, 1993, three pieces of M&TE had been checked out for a period exceeding that which was allowed without the proper documentation in the usage log.
4. On August 4, 1993, M&TE was located in the control area but due to mistakes in the usage log was believed to still be in use.

This is a Severity Level IV Violation (Supplement I) applicable to all three units.



Tennessee Valley Authority
Browns Ferry 1, 2, and 3

2 Docket Nos. 50-259, 50-260, and 50-296
License Nos. DPR-33, DPR-52, and DPR-68

- B. Technical Specification 6.8.1.1.f, requires that written procedures shall be established, implemented, and maintained covering implementation of the Fire Protection Program. Section I-C of the Fire Protection Report Volume 2, step 5.1.1, states that in critical areas, any combustible material that is not permanently installed shall be designated as a transient combustible. Furthermore, the responsible supervisor/foreman shall initiate a transient combustible permit as required by this procedure and obtain Fire Protection Operations approval. The permit shall be posted in the vicinity of the transient fire load until the fire load is removed.

Contrary to the above, these requirements were not met when on July 29, 1993, the inspector identified six reels of electrical cable, containing an amount of combustible material in excess of that allowed, located in the unit two reactor building, without a transient combustible permit and compensatory measures having first been established.

This is a Severity Level IV Violation (Supplement I) applicable to all three units.

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, the Tennessee Valley Authority is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, DC 20555, with a copy to the Regional Administrator, Region II, a copy to the NRC Resident Inspector, within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice of Violation. This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include for each violation: (1) the reason for the violation, or if contested, the basis for disputing the violation, (2) the corrective steps that have been taken and the results achieved, (3) the corrective steps that will be taken to avoid further violations, and (4) the date with full compliance will be achieved. If an adequate reply is not received in the time specified in this Notice, an order or demand for information may be issued as to why the license should not be modified, suspended, or revoked, or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the response time.

Dated at Atlanta, Georgia
this 17th day of Sept. 1993