

REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

CON/1

ACCESSION NBR: 8006060384 DOC. DATE: 80/05/30 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET #
 FACIL: ~~50-228~~ Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, Unit 1, Niagara Powe 05000220
 50-410 Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, Unit 2, Niagara Moha 05000410
 AUTH. NAME AUTHOR AFFILIATION
 WILKINSON, T.A. Army, Dept. of, Corps of Engineers
 RECIP. NAME RECIPIENT AFFILIATION
 MCMULLEN, R. Geosciences Branch


SUBJECT: Responds to 800215 & 0509 requests for review of plant-geotechnical investigations. Util should evaluate safety implications of crack in reactor bldg north wall.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: 8021S COPIES RECEIVED: LTR 1 ENCL 0 SIZE: 2
 TITLE: Dual Review Responsibility (DOR & DPM)


NOTES: _____

ACTION:	RECIPIENT	COPIES		RECIPIENT	COPIES	
	ID CODE/NAME	L	ENCL		ID CODE/NAME	L
ACTION:	05 PM P. POLK, H. SILVER	1		06 BC ORB#3; LWR# 5	1	
	AD B. GRIMES, LWR	1		LA ORB#3; LWR #4	1	
INTERNAL:	01 REG FILE	1		02 HRC PDR	1	
	11 I & E	2		13 TA/EDO	1	
	14 CORE PERF BR	1		15 ENGR BR	1	
	16 REAC SFTY BR	1		17 EEB	1	
	18 PLANT SYS BR	1		19 EFLT TRT SYS	1	
	20 BRINKMAN	1		21 EPB-DOR	1	
	22 OPERA LIC BR	1		23 GEOSCI BR	2	
	25 QAB	1		26 MECH ENG BR	1	
	27 STRUC ENG BR	1		28 MATL ENG BR	1	
	29 REAC SYS BR	1		30 ANALYSIS BR	1	
	31 AUX SYS BR	1		32 CONTAIN SYS	1	
	33 I & C SYS BR	1		34 POWER SYS BR	1	
	35 ACCNT ANLYS	1		36 RAD ASMT BR	1	
	37 KIRKWOOD	1		38 HYD-METOR BR	1	
	AD FOR ENG	1	0	AD PLANT SYS	1	0
	AD SITE ANLYS	1	0	AD SITE TECH	1	0
	AD/CORE & CONT	1	0	DIRECTOR NRR	1	0
	DELD	1	0			
	EXTERNAL:	03 LPDR	1	1	04 NSIC	1
39 ACRS		10	10			

JUN 9 1980



TOTAL NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED: LTR 65 ENCL 0





DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
BUFFALO DISTRICT, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
1776 NIAGARA STREET
BUFFALO, NEW YORK 14207

NCBED-DF

30 May 1980

Mr. Richard McMullen
Geology and Seismology Section
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear Dick:

Reference your transmittal dated 15 February 1980, and our verbal conversation on 9 May 1980, requesting our review of geotechnical investigations performed at Niagara Mohawk's Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2.


We have reviewed Niagara Mohawk's responses to several of the questions posed to them in your memorandum dated 11 May 1979. As discussed with you previously, at the present time we will only be providing comments regarding Niagara Mohawk's response to Question 361.22. Since many of the questions regarding the investigation performed at Unit 2 are interrelated, I would prefer to withhold commenting on any specific response until all questions have been addressed by Niagara Mohawk.

Regarding the response to Question 361.22, it appears that the Utility has altered its position on the postulated cause for the crack in the north wall of the Unit 1 Reactor Building. At the meeting held on 24 April 1977, Niagara Mohawk indicated that the crack was suspected to be caused by rock squeeze of excavation walls. In their response to Question 361.22, Niagara Mohawk now states that the cause of the crack has not been determined. The 3-foot wide slot between the rock and substructure walls would seem to preclude wall convergence from horizontal rock squeeze as a likely cause for cracking. However, as stated in the response, small movements of the foundation rock supporting the adjoining Screenwell Building's west wall, could produce sufficient load to cause cracking in the Reactor Building wall. Expansion of concrete comprising the west wall of the Screenwell Building was mentioned as another possible cause.

It is my opinion that the Utility should be required to evaluate the significance of the crack in the Unit 1 Reactor Building wall with respect to the safe operation of the plant. Further, they should undertake studies, beyond the simple monitoring of physical changes of the crack, in order to determine if possible its exact cause. The need for possible remedial treatment at

B021
5/10

8006060384




- NCBED-DF


Mr. Richard Mc Mullen

Unit 1 and the need for design and construction modification at Unit 2 should be thoroughly addressed by the Utility.

We look forward to receiving a copy of Niagara Mohawk's responses to the balance of the questions relating to the Unit 2 geologic investigation.

Sincerely,


THOMAS A. WILKINSON
District Geologist



NRC DISTRIBUTION FOR PART 50 DOCKET MATERIAL

TO: Mr. J. M. Toennies
Niagara Mohawk Pwr Corp
Syracuse, N.Y.

FROM: Dept of the Army
Buffalo, N.Y. 14207
Paul F. Gaume

DATE OF DOCUMENT
DATE RECEIVED

LETTER
 ORIGINAL
 COPY

NOTORIZED
 UNCLASSIFIED

PROP INPUT FORM

NUMBER OF COPIES RECEIVED
1 CC.

DESCRIPTION

PLANT NAME : NINE MILE POINT UNITS 1 & 2
jcm 06/07/78

ENCLOSURE Forwarding Dept of the Army's permit allowing Applicant to construct a submerged intake structure and a submerged discharge structure connected to shore by tunnel No 1, and a submerged intake structure connected to shore No 2 in Lake Ontario at Subject Facility, Oswego County, N.Y.

1 ENCL

SAFETY	FOR ACTION/INFORMATION	ENVIRONMENTAL
ASSIGNED AD:		ASSIGNED AD: V. MOORE (LTR)
BRANCH CHIEF:		BRANCH CHIEF: REGAN
PROJECT MANAGER:		PROJECT MANAGER: NORRIS
LIC. ASST:		LIC. ASST: M. DUNCAN
		B. HARLESS

INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION			
REG FILES	SYSTEMS SAFETY	PLANT SYSTEMS	SITE SAFETY & ENVIRON ANALYSIS
REG FILES DSB - Mail Desk	R. MATTSON	TEDESCO	DENTON & MULLER
I & E	SCHROEDER	BENAROYA	CRUTCHFIELD
OELD		LATNAS	
GOSSICK & STAFF	ENGINEERING	IPPOLITO	
HANAUER	KNIGHT	F. ROSA	ENVIRON TECH
MTPC	BOSNAK		ERNST
CASE	STHWELL	OPERATING REACTORS	BALLARD
BOYD	PAWLICKT	STELLO	YOUNGBLOOD
		EISENHUT	
PROJECT MANAGEMENT	REACTOR SAFETY	SHAO	SITE TECH
SKOVHOLT	ROSS	BAER	GAMMILL (2)
P. COLLINS	NOVAK	BUTLER	
HOUSTON	ROSZTOCZY	GRIMES	SITE ANALYSIS
MELTZ	CHECK		VOLLMER
HELTEMES			BUNCH
SK	AT & I		J. COLLINS
	SALTZMAN		KREGER
	RUTBERG		

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION	
LPDR:	NAT LAB:
TIC	
NSIC	
REG V (J. HANCHETT)	
16 CYS SENT CATEGORY	TO ACRS

CONTROL NUMBER
781580003

accs kb

