

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

<b>As of:</b> 1/9/18 9:56 AM <b>Received:</b> January 02, 2018 <b>Status:</b> Pending_Post <b>Tracking No.</b> 1k2-90pf-gtgt <b>Comments Due:</b> January 02, 2018 <b>Submission Type:</b> Web
---

**Docket:** NRC-2017-0211

Standard Review Plan for Spent Fuel Dry Storage Systems and Facilities

**Comment On:** NRC-2017-0211-0001

Standard Review Plan for Spent Fuel Dry Storage Systems and Facilities; Request for Comment on Draft NUREG

**Document:** NRC-2017-0211-DRAFT-0065

Comment on FR Doc # 2017-24734

## Submitter Information

(69)  
82 FR 52944  
11/15/2017

**Name:** Edward Hopkins

**Address:**

4978 Niagara Ave #9  
San Diego, CA, 92107

**Email:** edwardehopkins@yahoo.com

SUNSI Review Complete

Template = ADM - 013

E-RIDS= ADM-03

Add= Jeremy Smith (JSS)

## General Comment

As a Dartmouth College trained scientist and engineer with 20 years of experience I am completely shocked by the decision to use light walled steel cases in such close vicinity to salt water which degrades metal at a very fast rate, not to mention the earthquake and tsunami risk.

Clearly the Yucca Mountain project would have been the most intelligent long term storage option and it is unfortunate that bipartisan bickering has destroyed a national resource.

The danger with this project is not only the risk of a radiation leak that could affect tens of millions if Southern California residents, but that it also will set legal precedence for all other reactor waste storage sites across the nation.

This is a poorly planned project that does not even meet minimum military standards even though it is on leased Navy property, and is a political boondoggle that will continue to significantly degrade the reputation of anyone who chooses to fight for it until some reasonable and intelligent option is at least considered.